## MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

# DormCon

MIT DORMITORY COUNCIL MEETING Sept. 13 2006, 6:30pm -- East Campus

Special Guest: Robin Smedick (Undergraduate Housing)

ON THE AGENDA:

Get Food (6:15)

Welcome & Welfare (6:30)

Present: Baker, Burton-Conner, EC, MacGregor, McCormick, Next, Random, Senior House

Absent: Bexley, New, Simmons

**UPDATES (6:35)** 

- Housing
  - -no update
- Dining
  - -meeting with Rich Berlin tomorrow to talk about Dunkin Donuts
    - -trying to get it to 24 hours
  - -LaVerde's going back to 24 hours?
    - -will be doing that temporarily
    - -not profitable for them because it's hard to get staff
    - -maybe be doing that for one more year
- JudComm
  - -new director Veronica Mendoza Office of Student Mediation and Community Standards
- Risk Management
  - -Friday IFC having a talk for alumni about risk management in general

- -Chris will be attending and giving a 10 minute talk on risk management for dorms
- Student Groups
  - -UA elections happen in a week or so
  - -Senate start in 2-3 weeks
- -Cultural House Program Update
  - -Committee formed about 18 months ago to identify purpose etc.
    - -supposed to have a core academic purpose which seemed to have been lost
    - -David, Harvey, Martini, and Ian have attended meetings waiting for a report
    - -once we get the report we will have the opportunity to comment on it
- ASA Hazing Policy Reminder
  - -required to agree to the Massachusetts No Hazing policy

#### ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

- 1. REX & Orientation Debriefing (6:45)
- what went well
  - -all the freshmen stayed in their temporary dorms
  - -mentioned DormCon website was different from Hitchhiker's Guide, these should be combined
  - -Facebook groups for events
  - -REX brochures were helpful, but freshman didn't know about them
  - -feedback on parties: nice because there was a wide variety of choices
  - -no one felt pressured to stay or to leave
- what needs to be improved
  - -a lot of freshmen took food and quickly left
  - -no one knew about Daily Confusion maybe integrate that more into official REX printing
    - -no one even knew what it was
    - -worked better when it was printed in daily issues of the Tech
    - -bad when there was too much nonsense on the front of the Tech
    - -Orientation leaders give them out?
      - -a lot of times there was low attendance within orientation groups so that wouldn't solve a lot of problems

- -one never even met their orientation leader (didn't know who it was or where to go)
- -early returns should be allowed to come back around a week earlier from a planning perspective
- -especially the orientation leaders and the REX chairs
- -a little bit more time for people to shift from UPOPs to orientation to REX
- -Robin could probably get the ~20 Rush chairs to come back an extra week early
- -why does each dorm only have a certain amount of early returns the more should be better
  - -difficult to coordinate the switch from summer housing (800-900) to early returns
- -encourage people that have summer UROPs that usually end around Aug 15th to help out with REX
  - -Robin says they could email the summer residents that DormCon is looking for volunteers to help the early returns with REX
- -Sarah summary:
  - -REX went really well because a lot of people moved around
  - -Things that didn't go well
    - -some people completely disappeared especially REX chairs around 2 weeks before REX started which caused problems
    - -encourage them to be there for the whole summer
- REX survey & housing lottery results
  - -Robin gave out results for summer lottery, readjustment lottery and surveys
    - -thanks freshmen for giving us feedback
  - -Robin sent the more detailed comments to David so if you are interested in those contact him <nedzel>
  - -Summer Lottery
    - -1011 freshmen in class of 2010 in housing
      - ~750 freshmen were put in automated housing lottery
      - ~250 were put in manually (cultural houses and RBA)
    - -gives information about how many freshman spots there were and what percent were filled with their first choice
    - -70% to their first choice, 23% to their second choice, 7% to their third choice
    - -most popular buildings in the summer lottery Baker and Random, but depends on perspective
  - -Adjustment Lottery
    - -1002 freshmen total
    - -791 freshmen were allowed to enter the lottery (freshmen in Next and McCormick could not)

- -152 entered the readjustment lottery (15% of total entered) and ~80% of those were moved
- -results were very similar to last year
- -distribution of freshmen in each building was 30-40% for each dorm (35% is ideal)
- -lots of good feedback about the Guide and REX in general etc.
- -will discuss at administrative level and SLOPE about students who were very upset about being in Next
  - -Upset because their RBA advising options were limited and they felt like they were imprisoned
  - -even though they might want to stay they still want to have the choice of leaving
  - -most people meet their advisors until after REX so why should Next and McCormick have to meet them before REX is over
  - -Petra says she received a lot of questions about classes which she thought that she was helpful for them
  - -Frank: have a poll after about a semester asking whether they are happy with how things turned out
  - -Next got lowest opinion about advising options and Jessie thinks that it's very unfair that the freshmen can't participate in the opportunity to move
  - -Parents were unhappy that if a woman wanted to live in an all women dorm she would have to be in RBA
  - -Is there a way to either during CPW or during the summer to advertise the specifics of RBA better?
    - -this has been very confusing for parents and freshmen just because they have a traditional advisor they are in RBA just not in a seminar

#### 2. Smoking Policy (7:00)

- -All the smokers must agree in writing to the policy in order to be allowed to smoke in their rooms (this includes both roommates of a double)
- -good thing is that we can do it electronically
- -will be working with either the presidents or the RACs to get a list of all the rooms that have 'smokers'
- -both of the students must be smokers in order for this to be allowed
- -smoking should be in the rooms only even though there are "smoking floors"
- -if there are suites just the person who is actually in the room has to sign because they are not supposed to be smoking in the common room anyway
- -Robin would not report this information to the presidents or publish the names of the people who are listed as smokers
- -How is this going to be enforced?
  - -if we aren't in compliance then MIT could become non-smoking everywhere to comply with Cambridge law.
- -Who would be able to solicit more response presidents or RACs?
  - -also contact hall chairs they would probably know the best
- -Contact Robin with questions and spread the word that this is not "cracking down" on smoking but just a proactive response to Cambridge's laws
- -MIT policy is that smoking can only happen in the individual rooms not in bathrooms, common spaces in suites, halls etc.

### -this is the way the policy has been -Have housemasters remind the people of the smoking policy

#### ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

- 1. Financial Report & Budget (7:10)
  - -Last year DormCon spent \$1700 more than we got
  - -Estimated income is about \$15,000
    - -\$6500 will go into a reserve account from REX
    - -\$1000 in exec
      - -maybe make that a little more so we don't have to vote on smaller items
    - -Meeting food budget remains the same
  - -Last year we decided that we weren't going to raise the DormCon tax so we have less money than other years to fund for events
  - -Propose: we free up \$6000 of surplus funds to spend on events for the fall and the spring
    - -Last year we struggled a little with which events we should be funding
  - -We have been building up a surplus but we shouldn't deplete it very quickly
  - -Should we change the allocation between Fall and Spring because there were definitely more events in the Spring
    - -rollover the leftover money from the Fall into the Spring allocation
- Baker Y Burton-Conner Y EC Y MacGregor Y McCormick Y Next Y Random Y Senior House Y
  -BUDGET PASSES-
- 2. Fall Formal Funding (\$ 4,000) (7:20)
  - -big event good to get out -nice hotel
  - -event is held by four dorms Simmons, McCormick, New, and MacGregor
    - -these dorms get a discount on tickets and specially reserved tickets
    - -Presidents can still offer contributions
  - -but it is open to the rest of the campus
  - -Budget:
- -food and venue: \$15,000
- -entertainment: \$700
- -transportation: \$2000
- -favors: \$700

-publicity: \$400

- -Tickets Sales ~\$4,000
- -Sponsoring dorms: \$8 per person \$12 per couple
- -Non-sponsoring dorms: \$10 per person \$15 per couple
- -Occupancy at the Hyatt is about 450 people which is more and only need one bus instead of 3 because it is so close

Baker Y Burton-Conner Y EC Y MacGregor Y McCormick Y Next Y Random Y Senior House Y

-FALL FORMAL FUNDING PASSES-

#### FINAL THOUGHTS

- -East Side party last year for about \$800 to Random Rush Chairs
  - -asking to refund this because the budget cycle from last year has passed already
  - -receipts are original and dated from last year
  - -asking to restore '04-'05 budget for this \$800

Baker Y Burton-Conner Y EC Y MacGregor Y McCormick Y Next Y Random Y Senior House Y

-REIMBERSEMENT PASSES-

Next Meeting at Senior House

Presidents fill out the wiki for times that they can make it to set up next meeting time