Meeting started at 7:37:

- Elections!
  - Happening in 3 weeks exactly!
- Officer Updates
  - Kate
    - Had another meeting about kitchens and dining
  - There was an idea about something close to a communal pantry stocked by the dining provider. The idea would be cheaper than traditional dining, and easier than cook for yourself. This in addition to prep space and dining space would occupy the space normally allocated to a dining hall.
    - Would be able to bring your own food as well
    - Jacqui: How many people could it serve?
      - Ideally would be able to accommodate ⅓ to ⅔ of the dorm at a time.
    - Allie: Maybe the kitchen could be open 24/7 but the pantry not?
  - Lily
    - Meeting in two weeks with the consultants
- Should be fun since no one really knows what the consultants are going to recommend
- UA and Panhel are now invited and will have representatives
  - Housing
    - Summer Storage
      - No insurance waiver happening this year
      - There are some rules on the size and shape of the boxes being stored, but some house managers seemed pretty down to be flexible.
    - Culture Training
      - We held culture training for the Allied Universal staff in the past week
      - It went well, mostly talked how we would like them to be a part of the community and us nice to them and them nice to us etc.
      - Exchange desk worker and Allied Universal shift schedules so that they are better in the loop
      - MacGregor guest policy is pretty murky, and that might need working on.
      - It would be nice if we submitted guest lists for semi-large events that they didn’t have on their schedule.
        - Kate: Maybe the policy should be clearer and possibly more consistent across dorms
      - Random has this weird door which is currently kept closed before, and that was awkward for everyone involved, so that’s going to be changed.
      - Next’s desk arrangement will be flipped around.
      - BC, Keith doesn’t have an issue with the Burton side door being allowed to be used, but currently the HoH is preventing that.
  - Sarah (RAC/i3)
    - The freshman housing form is getting looked at
      - The GIH form was the originator of the discussion, but that brought up more questions about the form overall.
      - Presidents, talk to your RAC’s about the form and see what they use, what they don’t, and what they wish they had.
      - Tomorrow’s meeting is mostly expected to be an preliminary meeting, but over the next month or so there should be more progress.
      - Issac: Presently the preferences between single gender floor, suite, or room is pretty hard to get from the current form.
      - Rob: What precisely information are you looking for?
        - Sarah: What stuff on the form is taking up unnecessary space? What stuff do you really value? What stuff do RAC’s wish they had from the forms?
I3 is due tomorrow technically, but the i3 chairs were collectively not finished, so there has been a blanket extension granted until after the long weekend

- Please make sure that your i3 chairs are getting things done
- Also it would help if presidents would take a look at the videos before submitting them to double check against the guidelines
- Don’t have references to drugs or alcohol
- Also make sure there aren’t fire code violations in the shots.

○ CPW feedback
  ■ Cynthia
    - The event deadline could be made a little clearer
    - Also if the deadline for safety plans could be made more clear
  ■ Sabrina
    - Why do they deny people from hosting then send an email asking for more hosts?
    - Sarah: Not all dorms get prefrosh in equal proportions?
    - Generally it would be nice to have more transparency on the hosting process
  ■ Issac: Sometimes it’s unclear what purpose the backup host field serves
  ■ CPW App / Map
    - The map could not cut off Next
    - They could also make the event filtering include events that started at other times but are still going.
    - Yuge: It would be nice to get feedback when admissions changes things on their side that we get updated on our side.

○ Event Reg (Piper)
  ■ There is a “final draft” of the event reg policy in our inboxes to review
  ■ Bartender training
    - There is an easier version of the TIPs training that is all online
    - Planned to be incorporated into the party safe training
  ■ There is a stipulation in there that says not more than 75 people per floor which really doesn’t make sense because Maseeh has more people living on each floor than that
  ■ Emma: Could someone other than the RLAD sign off on the registration form?
    - GRT’s might be able to do that, but it puts them in more regulatory role
  ■ Sarah: Are there live music triggers in this? It’s really annoying when live music complicates an otherwise relatively simple event
  ■ Kate: If the event reg form claims that tier 2 events can be registered in a week, but PD can arbitrarily trigger things, then they might defeat the point of trying to hold to those timelines
  ■ MacGregor: Is there a way that we can know what the fire code limit is so that we know? It would be nice to know for us.
Kate: As long as admin is pretty lax and not going to make anything of it, do we need to care?

Emma: It would be nice to know about the fire code so that we know, because we have had events denied for this sort of thing.

Piper: definition, “open source” means in this sense that there is no control on the alcohol source.

Sarah: It would be nice for Senior House to also not have to go to an RLAD because they don’t technically have one right now.

Also if you are having a tier 3 event, you need to have a third party bartender
  • Emma: Also you could make it more clear that it needs to be through MIT for DS