Electrochemical energy storage and extended-range electric vehicles

Mark Verbrugge

Director, Materials and Processes Lab

General Motors Research & Development Center



National Science Foundation workshop: "Drug Discovery Approach to Breakthroughs in Batteries," 8-9 September 2008.



□Outline

- Automotive trends and technology drivers
- GM roll-out plan for
 - -Hybrid Electric Vehicle (HEV)
 - Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicle (PHEV)
 - Extended Range- Electric Vehicle (EREV)
 - Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle (FCEV)
- EFlex Rechargeable Energy Storage System (RESS)
 - Requirements, Status, Outlook
- How might we address battery life issues...a research problem
- Summary



Personal vehicles: a growth industry - 2007: 71M sales - 2017: 98M sales Challenge: Sustainability -Energy - Safety - Congestion -Environment



Advanced Propulsion Technology Strategy



GM E-Flex

Common drivetrain system uses electricity created and stored on-board the vehicle

- Engine-generator
- Hydrogen fuel cell
- Advanced battery
- Plug-in capable

Electricity can be generated from a wide range of energy sources

E-Flex enables energy diversity



120kw electric motor Powers front wheels

16 kilowatt-hour lithiumion battery pack

Stores electricity from the grid

74 Hp engine 53 kW generator

E-Flex range-extender *Creates electricity on-board*



Typical One-Way Miles From Home To Work



Based on OmniStats Data posted by the U.S. Bureau of Transportation





Rechargeable Energy Storage System (**RESS**) for the EFLEX program

□Two System Suppliers contracts were awarded (June 2007):

- Compact Power Inc. (CPI) subsidiary of LG Chem
 - Integrating LG Chem cells
- Continental Automotive (Conti)
 - Integrating A123 Systems cells

□Cell development contract awarded to A123 Systems (Aug 2007)



EFLEX RESS Test Status

□ Tested successfully on pack level

- Power
- Energy
- Efficiency
- Thermal systems
- Controls

□ Tested successfully on the cell level

- All of the above
- Accelerated Life
- Abuse





Extended Range Electric Vehicle Operation Modes





Time



Usable Energy definition



Usable Energy is defined by the operating range over which the charge and discharge power requirements are fulfilled at end of life (Battery Temperature: 20°C). *This enables predictable vehicle performance in EV mode.*

EFLEX: Power required to drive City Cycle in charge depletion (EV) mode







Power profile in US06 cycle EFLEX charge depletion mode (EV)



US06 drive cycle power profile exceeds the average power of more than 95% of drivers surveyed in a study in California.

Comparison of GM's requirements to USABC specs







Requirements of End of Life Energy Storage Systems for PHEVs					
Characteristics at EOL (End of Life)		High Power/Energy Ratio Battery	GM 2-Mode PHEV (EFLEX FCEV)	High Energy/Power Ratio Battery	EFLEX EREV
Reference Equivalent Electric Range	miles	10	10	10	
Peak Pulse Discharge Power - 2 Sec / 10 Sec	kW	50 / 45	50/45	46 / 38	115/110
Peak Regen Pulse Power (10 sec)	kW	30	27	25	60
Available Energy for CD (Charge Depleting) Mode, 10 kW Rate	kWh	3.4	3.5	11.6	8
Available Energy for CS (Charge Sustaining) Mode	kWh	0.5	0.3	Uis	0.35
Minimum Round-trip Energy Efficiency (USABC HEV Cycle)	%	90	90	90	90
Cold cranking power at -30 °C, 2 sec - 3 Pulses	kW	7	7	7	8
CD Life / Discharge Throughput	Cycles/MWh	5,000 / 17		5,000 / 58	4700 / 54
CS HEV Cycle Life, 50 Wh Profile	Cycles	300,000		300,000	
Calendar Life, 35℃	year	15	10	15	10
Maximum System Weight	kg	60	90	120	160
Maximum System Volume	Liter	40	TBD	80	100
Maximum Operating Voltage	Vdc	400	420	400	410
Minimum Operating Voltage	Vdc	>0.55 x Vmax	170	>0.55 x Vmax	232
Maximum Self-discharge	Wh/day	50		50	5% in 60 Days
System Recharge Rate at 30 °C	kW	1.4 (120V/15A)	1.4	1.4 (120V/15A)	3.6 (230V/16 A)
Unassisted Operating & Charging Temperature Range	°C	-30 to +52	-30 to +52	-30 to +52	-30 to +52
Survival Temperature Range	°C	-46 to +66	-46 to +66	-46 to +66	-46 to +66
Maximum System Production Price @ 100k units/yr	\$	\$1,700		\$3,400	

EFLEX EREV requires 2.5 times the power of USABC requirements

Batteries for extended range EV's and plug in Hybrids...future focus



❑Cost

- Can we size pack closer to end-of-life requirements?
- Can we reduce materials & processes costs?

Life

- How do electrodes fail?
- Can we develop an accelerated life test?



□Temperature tolerance

- Can we improve low temperature power?
- Why is battery life shorter at higher temperatures?



Research questions around degradation phenomena



Formation of Lithium-Graphite Intercalation Compounds in Nonaqueous Electrolytes and Their Application as a Negative Electrode for a Lithium Ion (Shuttlecock) Cell

Tsutomu Ohzuku,* Yasunobu Iwakoshi, and Keijiro Sawai

Electrochemistry and Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi, Osaka 558, Japan

J. Electrochem. Soc., Vol. 140, No. 9, September 1993

$$\Box C_{6} + LiNiO_{2}$$

$$(1.19 \text{ cm}^{3} \cdot \text{Ah}^{-1})(0.76 \text{ cm}^{3} \cdot \text{Ah}^{-1})$$

$$\rightleftharpoons LiC_{6} + \Box NiO_{2}$$

$$(1.34 \text{ cm}^{3} \cdot \text{Ah}^{-1})(0.69 \text{ cm}^{3} \cdot \text{Ah}^{-1})$$

Total volume of $\Box C_6$ and LiNiO₂ in the discharged state is 1.95 cm³ · Ah⁻¹, and that of LiC₆ and \Box NiO₂ in charged state is 2.03 cm³ · Ah⁻¹. Therefore, the volume change of the ma-





What governs the durability and reliability of *lithium ion cells?* Reactions, transport phenomena, and fracture within insertion electrodes.



Playa with mud cracks, dawn. Black Rock Desert, Nevada, USA http://www.terragalleria.com/pictures-subjects/dried-mud/picture.dried-mud.usnv9106.html Mark Verbrugge with YT Cheng and many others inside and outside GM

Crack (fracture) propagation takes place during drying (moisture extraction) when the surface undergoes tensile contraction.

Structural modifications of disordered mesocarbon microbeads with lower temperatures of heat treatment



Prathap Haridoss, Francisco A. Uribe, Fernando H. Garzon, and Thomas A. Zawodzinski, Jr. *Electronic and Electrochemical Materials and Devices Group, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico* 87545 J. Mater. Res., Vol. 13, No. 7, Jul 1998



FIG. 12. Schematic representation of structural changes resulting from heat treatment.

Mesocarbon microbeads (MCMB's) are spherical carbon particles that are produced from mesophase pitch or coal tar.²⁰ These carbon particles have a size range of about $1-80 \ \mu$ m. As a result, they have low surface to volume ratios which reduces the total irreversible capacity loss due to passivating film formation on the surface. The electrochemical properties of mesocarbon

FIG. 1. SEM micrograph of MCMB 6-G as received.



Intercalation process





Typically 10% expansion for negatives (carbons) and 5 to 10% for positives (metal phosphates or oxides)

contraction \leftarrow Negative (carbon) discharge + \cdot \mathbf{O} \cdot \cdot δ + \mathbf{O} δ - \mathbf{I}

 $Li^{+} + S + e^{-} = [Li^{\delta_{+}} - S^{\delta_{-}}]$

Negative (carbon) charge \longrightarrow expansion

Capacity fading of lithiated graphite electrodes studied by a combination of electroanalytical methods, Raman spectroscopy and SEM

E. Markervich, G. Salitra, M.D. Levi, D. Aurbach*



Journal of Power Sources 146 (2005) 146–150

Department of Chemistry, Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel



SEM images of the surface of the KS-15 composite pristine electrode (a) and the same electrode after 140 intercalation-deintercalation cycles at 25 \circ C (b and c).

(a)



During cycling, graphite particles crack into smaller pieces that are less oriented than the original platelets, with the possible filling of the cracks thus formed by the reduction products of the electrolyte solution. In addition, the average crystalline size (estimated by Raman spectroscopy) decreases as cycling progresses. Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters, 4 (9) A137-A140 (2001) 1099-0062/2001/4(9)/A137/4/\$7.00 © The Electrochemical Society, Inc.

Colossal Reversible Volume Changes in Lithium Alloys

L. Y. Beaulieu,^{a,*} K. W. Eberman,^{b,**} R. L. Turner,^{b,**} L. J. Krause,^{b,**} and J. R. Dahn^{a,c,**,z}

0



Scan Number 440nm 200 300 400 500 600 100 Voltage 0 0.0 0 30 50 60 70 90 10 20 30 40 80 100 20 10 Time (hours) um 1 Li/Metal 1000 mAh/g 175nm Figure 3. Selected AFM images with scan ranges and contrasts given in

Table II. The images a-1 were collected at the corresponding points indicated in the voltage-time curve. A scale bar displays the length of time that corresponds to a charge transfer of 1000 mAh/g and another scale bar displays the time that corresponds to the reaction of 1 mol Li per mole M.

Figure 4. Three dimensional views of (a) image 2h and (b) image 2i. The out-of-plane distance is shown using the contrast scale given to the right of each image.

20

μm

10

30

g

(a)

g

(b)

20 En

0

20 ШП

6

0













Fig. 5. Acoustic emission observed during the continuous charge and discharge of a Li/HEMD(400) cell in a voltage range of 2.0 to 4.0 V at a rate of 0.1 mA cm⁻²; (a) charge and discharge curves for the initial two cycles and (b) the rate of acoustic events in counts per min together with the total number of events. The positive electrode was prepared by pasting a mix (74 mg), consisting of 80 w/o HEMD(400), 10 w/o acetylene black, and 10 w/o Teflon on an aluminum screen.





Monitoring of Particle Fracture by Acoustic Emission during Charge and Discharge of Li/MnO₂ Cells

Tsutomu Ohzuku,* Hirohiko Tomura, and Keijiro Sawai*

J. Electrochem. Soc., Vol. 144, No. 10, October 1997



Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of electrochemical cell used for monitoring acoustic emission. Cracking causing cyclic instability of LiFePO₄ cathode material

Deyu Wang, Xiaodong Wu, Zhaoxiang Wang, Liquan Chen*







(







Journal of Power Sources 140 (2005) 125–128

POSITIVE ELECTRODE

LiFePO₄ possesses an olivine structure with threedimensional network. The lattice constants of LiFePO₄ are a = 10.33 Å, b = 6.01 Å, c = 4.69 Å, V = 291.2 Å³ and the lattice constants of FePO₄ are a = 9.81 Å, b = 5.79 Å, c =4.78 Å, V = 271.5 Å, respectively [11]. The volume change of this phase transformation is 6.77 %. The volume change is



Li-extraction/insertion. The formation of cracks will lead to increased polarization of electrode and poor electric contact between active particles and conductive additives or aluminum foil current collector. This should be one of the











□ For the stress functions, the transient terms are proportional to \triangle SOC (\triangle SOC \propto stress)

On surface energy and surface stress

- J. Willard Gibbs, H. A. Bumstead, W. R. Longley, R. G. Van Name, *The Collected Works of J. Willard Gibbs*, Longmans, Green and Co., 1928.
 - Pioneering work on surface thermodynamics
- R. Shuttleworth, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, Ser. A, 63 (1950)444.
 - Relates surface stress to the work of formation of an elastically strained surface
- J. C. Eriksson, Surf. Sci., 14(1969)221.
 - Gibbs-Eriksson equation...generalized surface thermodynamics
- B.M. Grafov, G. Paasch, W. Plieth, A. Bund, Electrochim. Acta, 48(2003) 581.
 - Unifying treatment for Shuttleworth equation for the elastic spherical electrode with the Laplace formula and the Gibbs adsorption equation
- P. Sharma, S. Ganti, and N. Bhate, Appl. Phys. Lett., 82(2003)535, 89(2006)049901.
 - Boundary condition used in this work, $\sigma_{\theta}^{surf} = \sigma_{\phi}^{surf} = \tau^0 + K^s \mathcal{E}_{\theta}$

Helpful related texts

D. Maugis, Contact, Adhesion, and Rupture of Elastic Solids, Springer, 1999.

J. Newman and K. E. Thomas-Alyea, *Electrochemical Systems*, 3rd ed., Wiley, 2004.

Next steps on life modeling

□ Crack initiation and propagation within a particle

- A difficult problem even in the absence of electrochemical phenomena
- Flaw distributions within electrode particles?
- Primary particles, potentially with grains, and secondary particles (agglomerates)
- Incorporate the influence of chemical degradation processes
- How does temperature come into play?
 - Mechanical deformation within particles is not substantially affected by the limited temperature fluctuations
 - Chemical reactions rates are thermally activated
- □ Last, scale up from individual particles to porous electrodes







Details

Model equations Plots of intercalate and stress distributions



Surface Mechanics.
$$\sigma_{\theta}^{surf} \equiv \sigma_{\theta\theta}^{surf} = \sigma_{\phi\phi}^{surf} = \tau^{0} + 2(\mu^{s} + \lambda^{s})\varepsilon_{\theta\theta} \equiv \tau^{0} + K^{s}\varepsilon_{\theta},$$

where $K^s = 2(\mu^s + \lambda^s)$ is known as the "surface modulus." For mechanical equilibrium, $\sigma_r(r \to R) = -\frac{2\sigma_{\theta}^{surf}}{R}$

Solid Mechanics









- □ Positive stress: tension. Negative stress: compresion.
- □ Charge (lithiation) of negative (carbon) electrode
- Conventional surface conditions
- □ Maximum tensile (radial) stress at the particle center at τ = 0.0574
 - No concentration gradients initially and at end of charge





- □ Charge (lithiation) of negative (carbon) electrode
- Conventional surface conditions
- □ Max (hydrostatic) tensile stress at the particle center
- Max shear stress and circumferential compressive stress at the surface initially





□ Charge (lithiation) of negative (carbon) electrode

□ Influence of surface mechanics are quite significant

- · Radial stress transformed from tensile to compressive
- · Similar influence on tangential (circumferential) stess

Note: it is more challenging to make electrodes with smaller particles...enhanced stability comes with a cost



Summary

- Automotive trends and technology drivers
- GM HEV (hybrid electric vehicle) and EREV (extended-range electric vehicle) roll-out plan
 - –Eflex/Volt Rechargeable Energy Storage System for extended range electric vehicles
- A look towards understand battery life degradation issues