The Reversed Field Pinch for Fusion-Fission Hybrid Application

J.S. Sarff, G. Fiksel, MST Group UW-Madison

A.A. Ivanov and V.I Davydenko Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics

> Fusion-Fission Research Workshop Sept 30 – Oct 2, 2009 – Gaithersburg, MD

The Reversed Field Pinch (RFP) configuration could provide a relatively simple neutron source.

- Advantages for fusion
 - Small applied field, with normal magnets
 - High beta (esp. engineering beta)
 - Possibility for Ohmic heating to burning plasma conditions
 - Possibly free choice of aspect ratio
 - Advantages confirmed in TITAN system study (1990)
- Advantages for FFH similar to pure fusion
- NBI-driven neutron source
 - ~10¹⁸ neutron/sec for plasma parameters close to present-day RFP experiments
 - FFH waste burner (lower output power)
 - Fusion development in toroidal geometry

Applied toroidal field BT is more than 10X smaller in an RFP than for a tokamak





Tokamak-like confinement achieved in the RFP with current profile control (transiently).



- J(r)-control reduces tearing instability and magnetic turbulent transport.
- Residual transport may be electrostatic in character.





Transformational change in performance at high current observed in RFX experiment (Italy).



- Spontaneous reduction in tearing mode magnetic turbulence.
- Plasma is still self-organized, using standard toroidal induction.



Fast ion confinement very good.



- Fast ion particle confinement > 20X thermal particle and energy confinement in standard RFP plasmas with large magnetic stochasticity.
- Longer pulse 1 MW NBI experiments in 2010.





Efficient, steady-state current sustainment possible using Oscillating Field Current Drive (*inductive* method).



- Demonstrated in nonlinear resistive MHD computation.
- Requires low plasma resistance (high Lundquist number) to avoid large AC modulation in the equilibrium magnetic field.
- 10% OFCD demonstrated in MST (agrees with expectations).



NBI-driven neutron source based on parameters close to present-day RFP experiments.



- Only an example to expose features and possibility.
- Assume 140 keV injection energy, and classical slowing down.

Plasma current, $I_p = 2 \text{ MA}$	Neutral beam power, $P_{inj} = 18 \text{ MW}$
Major/minor radii, $R = 1.5 \text{ m}$, $a = 0.5 \text{ m}$	Fusion power, $P_f = 7.3 \text{ MW}$
Electron temperature, $T_e = 1.5 \text{ keV}$	Ohmic power, $P_{\Omega} = 8 \text{ MW} (Z_{\Omega} = 2.4)$
Bulk ion temperature, $T_i = 1.0 \text{ keV}$	Neutron production, 2.6 ×10 ¹⁸ n/s
Density, $n = 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} (n/n_G = 0.16)$	Fusion gain, $Q = 0.3$
Thermal energy confinement, $\tau_E = 5.5$ ms	Neutron load, $P_n = 0.2 \text{ MW/m}^2$
Magnetic field on axis, $B(0) = 1.9 \text{ T}$	Avg. heat load, $P_w = 0.9 \text{ MW/m}^2$
Poloidal field at magnet, $ < B_p(a) = 0.8 \text{ T}$	Fast ion beta, $\beta_{fi} = 2\mu_0 \langle p_{fi} \rangle / B(a)^2 = 30\%$
Toroidal field at magnet, $< B_T(a) = 0.2 \text{ T}$	Thermal beta, $\beta_{th} = 2\mu_0 n(T_e + T_i)/B(a)^2 = 8\%$
Lundquist number, $S = \tau_R / \tau_A = 6 \times 10^7$	Fast ion gyroradius, $\rho_{fi}/a \le 0.13$



Next steps.



- Conclusive demonstration of confinement scaling and OFCD will require a 2-4 MA next-step facility.
- An upgradeable facility approach could be used to establish integrated boundary control and demonstrate performance on which to base a neutron source or burning plasma experiment.
- Challenges with materials, etc. similar to other magnetic configurations. Plasmaboundary interface control may need RFP-specific solutions.



Summary.



- The same potential benefits of the RFP for pure fusion could make an attractive FFH fusion neutron source.
- Development of the RFP lags the tokamak, but a $P_{fusion} \sim 10$ MW NBI-driven neutron source is a modest extension of established RFP performance.
- If a FFH program goes forward, worth exploring physics-engineering tradeoffs associated with various concepts.

