**C. elegans** CARMIL negatively regulates UNC-73/Trio function during neuronal development
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Whereas many molecules that promote cell and axonal growth cone migrations have been identified, few are known to inhibit these processes. In genetic screens designed to identify molecules that negatively regulate such migrations, we identified CRML-1, the *C. elegans* homolog of CARMIL. Although mammalian CARMIL acts to promote the migration of glioblastoma cells, we found that CRML-1 acts as a negative regulator of neuronal cell and axonal growth cone migrations. Genetic evidence indicates that CRML-1 regulates these migrations by inhibiting the Rac GEF activity of UNC-73, a homolog of the Rac and Rho GEF Trio. The antagonistic effects of CRML-1 and UNC-73 can control the direction of growth cone migration by regulating the levels of the SAX-3 (a Robo homolog) guidance receptor. Consistent with the hypothesis that CRML-1 negatively regulates UNC-73 activity, these two proteins form a complex in vivo. Based on these observations, we propose a role for CRML-1 as a novel regulator of cell and axon migrations that acts through inhibition of Rac signaling.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Precise wiring of a nervous system requires that migrating neurons and axonal growth cones navigate to their targets by integrating attractive and repulsive signals. Studies of pathways regulated by Slit and Netrin cues provide a glimpse into the mechanisms of guidance and migration (Yu and Bargmann, 2001). Rho family GTPases and the Ena/VASP family of actin-binding proteins appear to mediate the effects of Slit and Netrin receptors (Guan and Rao, 2003). Rho GTPases cycle between active GTP-bound and inactive GDP-bound states. Guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP to activate the GTPase, whereas GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) stimulate GTP hydrolysis. In *Drosophila*, mutations in genes encoding Rac GTPases (members of the Rho superfamily), their GEFs and GAPs, and Enabled (Ena), all result in misguided axons (Gertler et al., 1995; Hakeda-Suzuki et al., 2002; Hu et al., 2005; Lundstrom et al., 2004; Ng et al., 2002; Yang and Bashaw, 2006).

Rac signaling appears to regulate events not only downstream but also upstream of guidance receptor signaling. Two recent studies suggest that Rac signaling regulates the function of both Netrin and Slit receptors in *C. elegans* (Levy-Strumpf and Culotti, 2007; Watari-Goshima et al., 2007). The kinesin-like molecule VAB-8L and UNC-73, the homolog of the Rac and Rho GEF Trio, increase the levels of SAX-3 (a homolog of Robo) receptors to promote their function.

We sought to identify genes that regulate the Rac pathway. Since UNC-34/Ena and CED-10/Rac are thought to mediate the effects of UNC-40/DCC independently (Gitai et al., 2003), we reasoned that isolating mutations that suppressed the defects of unc-34 mutants might lead to the identification of genes that inhibit Rac signaling. Here we show that loss of functional CRML-1, the *C. elegans* homolog of the CARMIL (also known as Lrrc16a) actin-uncapping protein (Jung et al., 2001; Remmert et al., 2004; Uruno et al., 2006; Xu et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2005) suppresses the cell and growth cone migration defects of unc-34 mutants but not the defects of unc-73 mutants. We provide evidence that CRML-1 acts through the Rac GEF of UNC-73. We also find that CRML-1 lowers the levels of the SAX-3 guidance receptor, a feature consistent with the role of CRML-1 as a negative regulator of Rac signaling.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Nematode strains and genetics**

Nematodes were cultured as described (Brenner, 1974). N2 Bristol was the wild-type strain, and experiments were performed at 20°C unless stated otherwise. The following mutations and transgenic arrays were used: LG I: unc-73(e936), unc-73(rh40), bli-4(e937), dpy-5(e61), crml-1(gm326), crml-1(gm331), crml-1(n1960), crml-1(n1962); LG II: rfp-3(pk1426), jds76 [Punc-25: gfp, lin-15(+)]; LG IV: eri-1(mg366), gmls14 [Pmec-7::vab-8L::gfp, rol-6(su1006)]; LG V: unc-34(gm114), unc-34(gm104), unc-34(e356). Other strains used: CB4856 Hawaiian isolate, gmls28 [Pmec-7::sax-3::gfp, Ptsx-3::gfp] (LG unknown).

**Isolation of crml-1 mutations**

The *crml-1* alleles gm326, gm331, n1960 and n1962 were isolated in two separate screens for mutations that suppressed the uncoordinated (Unc) phenotype of unc-34 mutants. Mutagenesis was performed as described (Brenner, 1974). *n1960* and *n1962* were isolated by screening the F2 progeny of mutagenized hermaphrodites, as previously described (Bloom, 1993). gm326 and gm331 were isolated in an F1 clonal screen, in which we screened the F2 progeny from individual F1s for a non-Unc phenotype. We screened the progeny of 5476 F1 hermaphrodites.

**Cloning of crml-1**

*crml-1(gm326)* mapped to the interval between 1.66 (snp_uCE1-921) and 1.86 (snp_uCE1-924) (Wicks et al., 2001). We fed bacteria expressing dsRNA complementary to each ORF in this interval as described (Kamath...
et al., 2001; Timmons and Fire, 1998) to the RNAi-sensitized strains *eri-1*(*m366*); *unc-34(* *gm114*)* and *ref-5*(* *pk1426*); *unc-34*(*gm114*)* and screened the F1 progeny for suppression of both the Unc and CAN migration phenotypes. We used primers PJV84-PJV91 to determine the sequences of *crml-1* in *gm126*, *gm331*, *n1960* and *n1962* mutant animals. The sequences of primers used in this study are shown in Table 1. The sequences obtained covered all exons, splice junctions, 5′ and 3′ UTRs and most introns. All mutations identified were confirmed using a new sample of genomic DNA.

**ALM and CAN migration**

We scored final ALM or CAN positions in young L1 hermaphrodites relative to the positions of hypodermal nuclei (H1, V1, P1/2, V2, P3/4, V3, P5/6 and V4) using Nomarski optics. If a CAN did not appear posterior to the H1 cell, we inferred that it was somewhere in the head region of the animal as it is difficult to distinguish head neurons from a displaced CAN. A proportion two-sample Z-test was conducted to determine statistical significance between two strains (www.statcrunch.com).

**Scoring DD processes**

We scored the amount of outgrowth of processes DD2-6 in L1 hermaphrodites using *juds*76 [*Punc-25::gfp*] (Jin et al., 1999). Animals were examined by fluorescence microscopy. We could not score DD1 because GFP expression in the processes of head neurons (RMEs, AVL, RIS) overlapped the DD1 processes. We classified the outgrowth defects by determining whether or not the DD process reached the doral nerve cord (DNC). We determined the percentage of DD processes that failed to reach the DNC and used this number for comparison between strains. Statistical significance between two strains was determined as described above.

**Scoring ALM processes**

We scored the process of ALM in L4 or young adult animals using the GFP signal from the *gmls14* [*Pmec-7::vab-8L::gfp*] transgene. Processes were scored as rerouted if they were bipolar or posterior. We defined a process as posterior if it extended at least five ALM cell body lengths towards the tail. We scored ALM as bipolar if the two processes extended at least five cell body lengths in each direction. Bipolar and posterior processes were pooled into one group for statistical analysis. Statistical significance between two strains was determined as described above.

**gmls28 scoring**

Embryos at the 2-fold stage were identified using Nomarski optics. Embryos were scored for the presence or absence of a GFP signal in ALM and BDU. All strains to be compared in a given experiment were scored on the same day to ensure similar fluorescence bulb intensity. The ALM and BDU borders were traced using a Region-of-Interest tool and epifluorescence intensities were quantified by Vision software (BioVision Technologies). A two-tailed Z-test was conducted to determine statistical significance between two strains (www.statcrunch.com).

**Plasmid construction and transgenic strains**

We isolated a full-length cDNA of *crml-1* by PCR amplification using an oligo(dT)-primed embryonic cDNA library as template. Determining the sequence of this 3171 bp cDNA revealed ten exons and nine introns (see Fig. 1A).

To generate the *crml-1* transcriptional fusion (*Perml-1::gfp*), we amplified the intergenic region between *crml-1* and the nearest upstream gene and cloned this region into the GFP Fire vector pPD95.75 (A. Fire, personal communication) to generate pPV33. *gmEx504*, *gmEx505* and *gmEx506* were generated by injecting pPV33 (30 ng/µL) and the co-injection marker pCL8 [*Pmec-7::mcherry*] (25 ng/µL) into wild-type hermaphrodites.

To generate the *crml-1* translational fusion (*Perml-1::crml-1::gfp*), we inserted a full-length *crml-1* cDNA between the *crml-1* promoter and GFP coding sequences of pPV33 to create pPV35. *gmEx374* and *gmEx375* were generated by injecting pPV35 into wild-type hermaphrodites at 50 ng/µL with 25 ng/µL pRF4 [*rol-6(su1006)*].

To generate the *crml-1* fusion to mCherry (*Pmec-7::crml-1::mcherry*), we inserted the full-length *crml-1* cDNA between the *mec-7* promoter and mCherry coding sequences of pCL8 to create pPV92. *gmEx527* and *gmEx529* were generated by injecting pPV92 into wild-type hermaphrodites at 50 ng/µL with 6 ng/µL *Pnyco-2::gfp*.

To integrate *gmEx374*, we UV-irradiated array-bearing worms using a Stratalinker 2400 (Strategene) and selected F2 progeny that stably expressed both CRML-1::GFP and the co-injection marker *rol-6(su1006)*. Multiple independent integrants were isolated.

**Co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting**

Co-immunoprecipitation experiments were conducted as described (Chu et al., 2002). We prepared embryonic lysates from wild-type and *gmls30* (integrated version of *gmEx374*) animals. For co-immunoprecipitation experiments, we used 300 µg total protein and added 2 µg of rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, #6556). For immunoblot analysis, 10 mL of immunoprecipitated samples were separated on an SDS-PAGE gel by electrophoresis. Protein was transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher and Schuell), and the blot was incubated with rabbit anti-UNC-73 antibodies at 1:6000 dilution (Steven et al., 1998). Following hybridization, blots were washed and then incubated with goat anti-rabbit

---

**Table 1. Primers used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Sequence (5′ to 3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PJV84</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>GTCGCTTCTCGTGATACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV85</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>GTGTTTCAAGTTGATGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV86</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>AATCGATGGTAACTCATCGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV87</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>GCCGCTCGCTGAGTATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV88</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>GCCCTTTAATGAAAAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV89</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>CATTGGAGCAACTCAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV90</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>GGAATGGAGGAAATGTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV91</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>TCTCTGCACTTCTCATGGTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV92</td>
<td>Promoter 5′</td>
<td>TCAGCGTCTACAGAATTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV93</td>
<td>Promoter 3′</td>
<td>TTTTGAATTAGAATTTAATAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV96</td>
<td>cDNA creation 5′</td>
<td>ATACCCCCGGAGTTCATGCTGAGGAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV97</td>
<td>cDNA creation 3′</td>
<td>ATACCCCCGGTTTTTGAGAAATCTAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV98</td>
<td>Genotyping <em>gm326</em> 5′</td>
<td>ACTCCAACATCTCGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV99</td>
<td>Genotyping <em>gm326</em> 3′</td>
<td>TGATAAGACGTTGCCAAATCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV154</td>
<td>Genotyping <em>gm326</em> 5′</td>
<td>GTATTTTAAATTTAACCTTTGAGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV155</td>
<td>Genotyping <em>gm326</em> 3′</td>
<td>AATGAGATACATAATCATAACAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV172</td>
<td>Genotyping <em>gm326</em> 5′</td>
<td>AGACTCTGATGATGTTACATGTCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV173</td>
<td>Genotyping <em>gm326</em> 3′</td>
<td>TTTCCGAAAGATTCTGGGTCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV174</td>
<td>Genotyping WT 5′</td>
<td>AGAGCTGATGATGTTACATGTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJV175</td>
<td>Genotyping WT 3′</td>
<td>TTTCCGAAAGATTCTGGGCCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:10,000, BioRad). The antibody complex was visualized using an ECL Kit (GE Healthcare Amersham) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Embryos were fixed and stained as described (Finney and Ruvkun, 1990) using the following antibodies: chicken anti-GFP (Upstate Biotechnology, 1:1000) and rabbit anti-UNC-73 (1:1000). Secondary antibodies were Alexa488-conjugated goat anti-chicken antibody (Molecular Probes) and Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories).

**RESULTS**

**An unc-34 suppressor gene encodes a CARMIL homolog**

UNC-34 is a member of the Ena/VASP family of proteins (Yu et al., 2002). These proteins can bind to Robo guidance receptors and to F-actin, suggesting that Ena/VASP family members provide a link between guidance receptors and the actin cytoskeleton (Lebrand et al., 2004). Mutations in unc-34 cause an uncoordinated locomotion phenotype (Unc) (Brenner, 1974), presumably resulting from cell migration and axon guidance defects (Forrester and Garriga, 1997; Hedgecock et al., 1985; McIntire et al., 1992). Giti et al. (Giti et al., 2003) provided genetic evidence that UNC-34 and CED-10/Rac signaling mediates the effects of the Netrin receptor UNC-40/DCC. We reasoned that loss of molecules that inhibit Rac signaling would increase Rac activity and might bypass the need for UNC-34. To identify such molecules, we screened for mutations that suppressed the Unc phenotype of unc-34 mutants. Four of the suppressor mutations, gm326, gm331, n1960 and n1962, mapped to chromosome I. Through a combination of genetic mapping, RNAi and DNA sequencing, we identified these mutations as alleles of K07G5.1, a conserved gene that we named crml-1.

Fig. 1B shows the domain structure of CRML-1. Homologs of CRML-1 in Acanthamoeba, Dictyostelium, mouse and human (24, 25, 32 and 33% identical to CRML-1, respectively) have been described (Jung et al., 2001; Xu et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2005). These homologs are known as CARMILs (Capping, Arp2/3, Myosin I Linker), and were first described in Acanthamoeba and Dictyostelium as proteins that bind to the SH3 domain of myosin I (Jung et al., 2001; Xu et al., 1997; Xu et al., 1995). Components of the Arp2/3 complex, which nucleates F-actin branching, also interact with CARMILs (Jung et al., 2001). The loosely defined Arp2/3-binding domain might not be conserved in metazoan CARMILs, as mouse CARMIL failed to activate the Arp2/3 complex (Yang et al., 2005). Acanthamoeba and mammalian CARMILs can uncaps actin filaments in vitro (Urano et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2005). A domain in the C-terminal portion of the protein binds capping protein with high affinity and can remove it from the barbed ends of F-actin. This capping protein-binding domain (CPBD) is conserved in all eukaryotic CARMIL homologs (Fig. 1C).

All four mutations that mapped to chromosome I behave as semi-dominant suppressors, suggesting that they are likely to be different alleles of the same gene. We determined the sequence of the entire crml-1 gene from strains containing the suppressors and identified the mutation(s) in each strain. Two mutations were present in gm326: an opal nonsense mutation in the eighth exon and a missense mutation 80 amino acids further downstream. The crml-1 gene contained an ochre nonsense mutation in the fourth and ninth exons of n1962 and gm331 animals, respectively. The crml-1 gene in n1960 animals was found to contain a splice donor mutation after exon 6 (Fig. 1A,B).

Several observations indicate that these mutations reduce or eliminate crml-1 functions. First, RNAi of crml-1 suppressed the Unc and CAN defects of unc-34 mutants (Fig. 1D; see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Second, the mutant proteins should be severely truncated by the three nonsense mutations, and nonsense-mediated decay should degrade the crml-1 transcript produced by these mutants (Pulak and Anderson, 1993). Third, quantitative real-time PCR analysis of the three nonsense mutants indicated that crml-1 mRNA levels are reduced by 50-70% in each mutant (data not shown). Thus, the semi-dominant suppression caused by these alleles could result from haploinsufficiency of crml-1. Alternatively,
CRML-1 fragments produced by the mutants could have antimorphic activity. In either case, crml-1 function is reduced in the mutants. At this point, we do not know whether the mutations eliminate all crml-1 functions.

To our surprise, crml-1 mutants had no obvious abnormal phenotype in the absence of an unc-34 mutation. We considered whether other proteins might function similarly to CRML-1 and compensate for the loss of CRML-1 in wild-type but not sensitized backgrounds, such as in unc-34 mutants. A candidate that might function similarly to CRML-1 is Y44E3A.4, the C. elegans homolog of Cin85 (also known as Sh3kbp1). Two other families that contain the conserved CPBD motif were recently described: the Cin85/CD2AP/CMS family, and the conserved casein kinase-interacting protein CKIP-1 (also known as Plekho1) (Fig. 1C) (Bruck et al., 2006; Canton et al., 2006). The C. elegans genome contains a homolog of Cin85 but not of CKIP-1. In the absence of CRML-1 function, perhaps Y44E3A.4 could serve in the same capacity, partially masking the loss of CRML-1 uncapping function. However, RNAi of Y44E3A.4 in epi-1, crml-1(gm326) animals did not result in locomotion or neuronal migration defects (data not shown).

**Suppression of ALM, CAN and DD defects**

crml-1 mutants were identified by their ability to suppress the Unc phenotype of unc-34 mutants. If the cell migration and axon guidance defects of unc-34 mutants contribute to the Unc phenotype, then crml-1 mutations should also suppress these defects.

ALMs are a pair of bilaterally symmetric neurons that are generated near the head and migrate during embryogenesis (Sulston et al., 1983). In wild-type larvae, ALMs stop between the V2 and V3 hypodermal cells (Fig. 2A; see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). In unc-34 mutants, ALMs often stopped prematurely at various positions along their migratory route anterior to V2 (Fig. 2A,B; see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). We also scored the positions of ALMs in crml-1; unc-34 mutants and found that mutations in crml-1 suppressed the ALM migration defect of unc-34 mutants (Fig. 2A,B; see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material).

We observed a similar suppression of CAN migration. CANs are a pair of bilaterally symmetric neurons that are generated near the head and undergo a posterior migration (Fig. 2C) (Sulston et al., 1983). In unc-34 mutants, CANs were often defective in migration, and crml-1 mutations suppressed this defect (Fig. 2C,D; see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). In addition, the longer migrations of CANs revealed a semi-dominant effect of crml-1 mutations (Fig. 2C,D; see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Mutating one copy of crml-1 in an unc-34 background weakly suppressed the CAN defect caused by the three alleles (gm326, gm331 and n1962) of crml-1 tested (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). Mutating the second copy of crml-1 suppressed the CAN defect further (Fig. 2D; see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material).

We also assessed the morphology of the DD neuronal projections, hereafter referred to as processes. We use the term ‘process’ instead of axon or dendrite because DDs are not polarized with an axon
emerging from one part of the cell and dendrites from another. Rather, the branched process of each DD neuron has both presynaptic and postsynaptic domains. During embryogenesis, DDs are generated in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) and extend long anterior processes along the VNC. The anterior processes branch dorsally, reaching the dorsal nerve cord (DNC). In the DNC, the processes branch anteriorly and posteriorly, forming a ladder-like pattern (see Fig. S3A in the supplementary material) (White et al., 1986).

We assessed DD morphology in first larval (L1) stage animals, scoring the percentage of processes that reached the DNC. In unc-34(gm114) mutants, 50% of the processes failed to reach the DNC (see Fig. S3B in the supplementary material) (Forrester and Garriga, 1997). These processes either failed to exit the VNC or extended dorsally but failed to reach the DNC, often branching along the anterior-posterior axis in lateral positions. We quantified the effect of losing one or two copies of crml-1 in unc-34 mutant animals. Lowering crml-1 activity decreased the percentage of processes that failed to reach the DNC in an unc-34 mutant (see Fig. S3B in the supplementary material). Together with the CAN migration data, these findings demonstrate that cell and growth cone migrations are affected in a dose-dependent manner by mutations in crml-1. The suppression affects migrations occurring along both the anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral axes, indicating that these effects are not specific to a particular direction or cellular environment.

CRML-1 is expressed in the developing nervous system

We examined the expression of crml-1 by constructing two reporters. One was a transcriptional reporter, for which we fused GFP to sequences from the end of the gene upstream to crml-1 to the initiator ATG of crml-1. We also created a translational reporter by fusing a full-length crml-1 cDNA to GFP and driving expression of this fusion from the same crml-1 promoter. Animals bearing the transcriptional and translational reporters had similar GFP expression patterns. As shown in Fig. 3A, L1 animals carrying the translation reporter expressed GFP in many neurons, including CANs, DD-type motoneurons and ALMs. Expression in the nervous system began early in comma-stage embryos and peaked in intensity around the 3-fold stage of embryogenesis (data not shown). Although neuronal expression was much fainter at later larval stages, it persisted in some head and tail neurons through adulthood. Non-neuronal cells that also expressed CRML-1::GFP included the migrating distal tip cells, the pharynx, some vulval epithelial cells, rectal epithelial cells and the excretory canal (data not shown).

Excess CRML-1 causes CAN migration defects

Expression of high levels of the crml-1 cDNA from its native promoter caused a CAN cell migration defect similar to that seen in unc-34 mutants. The transgenic animal shown in Fig. 3A, for example, had a CAN neuron displaced anterior to its normal position. We scored CAN cell body position in animals carrying the extrachromosomal array gmEx374. Extrachromosomal arrays are mitotically unstable, so animals carrying these arrays frequently lose the array in one or more cell lineages. We scored both the position of CAN in transgenic animals and whether or not CAN expressed CRML-1::GFP, which should indicate the presence or absence of the extrachromosomal array in CAN. CANs were usually defective in migration in gmEx374 animals when a GFP signal was present in the CAN (CAN+) (Fig. 3B). If an animal expressed GFP in other cells, but not in the CAN, CAN was in its wild-type position (CAN–) (Fig. 3B). These observations indicate that when expressed in CANs, CRML-1 inhibited their migrations. The migration defect caused by

the integrated version of this transgene, gmlIs32, became less severe in a crml-1 mutant background (Fig. 3B), consistent with the hypothesis that excess CRML-1 disrupts CAN migration and that the crml-1 mutations reduce gene activity. CANs were in wild-type positions in animals carrying the transcriptional reporter gmEx505, confirming that neither the presence of the crml-1 promoter nor expression of GFP in CANs caused the migration defect seen in gmEx374 or gmlIs32 animals (Fig. 3B).

crml-1 and the Rac pathway

The crml-1 alleles identified in our screens bypass the requirement for UNC-34 protein as they suppress not only missense mutations such as gm114, but also nonsense mutations such as gm104 (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). One explanation for this type of suppression is that crml-1 inhibits a pathway that acts in parallel to UNC-34. Since Gitai et al. (Gitai et al., 2003) showed that the Rac
pathway and the UNC-34 pathway function in parallel to mediate the UNC-40 attractive signaling that guides the AVM process ventrally, we asked whether crml-1 functions in the Rac pathway. All three C. elegans Rac GTPases (ced-10, mig-2 and rac-2/3) function in CAN migration, and loss of each can enhance the cell and growth cone migrations of unc-34 mutants (Lundquist et al., 2001; Shakir et al., 2006).

We first asked whether unc-34 and the Rac act in parallel for ALM and CAN migration. The three Rac function redundant for cell migration, so for simplicity we analyzed the role of the Rac GEF UNC-73, which is homologous to Trio and Kalirin (Steven et al., 1998). UNC-73 acts as a GEF for at least two of the C. elegans Rac proteins (Wu et al., 2002). Although it does not appear to act downstream of unc-40, UNC-73 is required for CAN migration (Forrester and Garriga, 1997; Lundquist et al., 2001; Steven et al., 1998). UNC-73 contains two GEF domains: a Rac GEF required for neuronal cell migrations and axon guidance, and a Rho GEF required for pharyngeal development and synaptic activity (Fig. 4A) (Steven et al., 1998; Steven et al., 2005). At least eight different UNC-73 isoforms are produced. Some isoforms contain the Rac GEF, whereas others contain the Rho GEF, and one isoform contains both. We examined two mutations predicted to disrupt Rac GEF function: e936 is a splice donor mutation that disrupts the two transcripts that encode the Rac GEF-containing isoforms, and rh40 is a missense mutation in the Rac GEF domain. This latter mutation eliminates GDP-GTP exchange activity of the Rac GEF in vitro (Steven et al., 1998). Because rh40 eliminates the Rac GEF function of UNC-73, we assume any effects of this allele reflect a specific defect in Rac pathway function. Both mutations frequently resulted in defective ALM and CAN migration (Fig. 4B,C).

To test whether unc-34 and unc-73 act in parallel, we attempted to construct the double mutant. However, double mutants died as embryos, preventing an analysis of their migration defects. RNAi of unc-73 into unc-34(gm114) mutants also resulted in embryonic lethality. If CRML-1 negatively regulates Rac signaling, then loss of crml-1 should not affect the ALM or CAN defects of unc-73 mutants. Unlike the unc-34 interaction, loss of crml-1 did not suppress the ALM or CAN defects of either unc-73 allele (Fig. 4B,C). Similarly, we observed no difference in the DD processes of unc-73 crml-1 and unc-73 mutants (see Fig. S3B in the supplementary material). Although it is possible that crml-1 functions independently of unc-73, our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that CRML-1 negatively regulates the UNC-73 pathway.

**CRML-1 and UNC-73 form a complex in vivo**

To provide further evidence that CRML-1 acts in the Rac pathway, we tested whether CRML-1 and UNC-73 physically interact in vivo. Using anti-GFP antibodies, we immunoprecipitated CRML-1::GFP from extracts of embryos containing gmls30, an integrated version of the crml-1 translational reporter, and probed immunoblots of the precipitated proteins with an anti-UNC-73 antiserum. We detected the UNC-73 isoforms predicted to react with this antibody (Fig. 5A) (Steven et al., 2005), showing that the two proteins form a complex in vivo. Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that UNC-73 and CRML-1 were co-expressed in CANs (and their axons) during embryogenesis and partially co-localize (Fig. 5B).

**CRML-1 is a negative regulator of SAX-3**

After migrating, each ALM extends a single anterior projection that branches in the nerve ring, the main C. elegans nerve bundle (Fig. 2A and data not shown) (Chalfie and Sulston, 1981; White et al., 1986). Because the anterior projections and branches have both dendritic and axonal properties, we refer to them as processes. Recent work demonstrated that in ALMs, UNC-73 acts with VAB-8L, a kinesin-like molecule, as a positive regulator of guidance receptors including SAX-3 (Levy-Strumpf and Culotti, 2007; Watari-Goshima et al., 2007). VAB-8L expression in ALMs produces two phenotypes: ALMs migrate beyond their normal destination and reverse the polarity of their process, causing it to extend toward the tail (Wolf et al., 1998). We call this latter defect ALM rerouting. As with expression of VAB-8L, increased SAX-3 receptor activity leads to ALM rerouting. Furthermore, altering the levels of VAB-8L in ALMs results in corresponding changes in the levels of SAX-3 (Watari-Goshima et al., 2007). These and other observations suggest that VAB-8L signals through UNC-73 to regulate SAX-3 trafficking (Watari-Goshima et al., 2007).

We reasoned that if CRML-1 negatively regulates the UNC-73 pathway, CRML-1 loss should enhance ALM cell migration and rerouting defects caused by VAB-8L misexpression. Using the gmls14 transgene (Pmec-7::vab-8L::gfp), we found that crml-1(gm326) enhanced both of the defects caused by VAB-8L misexpression (Fig. 6A,B). Using the unc-73(rh40) crml-1(gm326) double-mutant background, we also conducted the rerouting assay to see whether the effect of the crml-1 mutation is dependent on the presence of UNC-73 Rac GEF activity. If crml-1 acts by inhibiting unc-73, then unc-73(rh40) crml-1(gm326); gmls14 and unc-73(rh40) crml-1(gm326); unc-34(gm326) should not affect the ALM migration defects caused by VAB-8L misexpression (Fig. 6B). We conducted the rerouting assay with and without the unc-34(gm326) transgene as described in Fig. 2C. For the distribution of CANs relative to P and V cells and the number of ALMs scored, see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material. At least 41 animals were scored per genotype. (C) The CAN defects of unc-73 mutants are unaffected by mutation of crml-1. CAN positions were scored as described in Fig. 2C. For the distribution of CANs relative to P and V cells and the number of CANs scored, see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material. At least 52 animals were scored per genotype.
Fig. 5. UNC-73 forms a complex in vivo with CRML-1. (A) Immunoprecipitation of CRML-1::GFP and UNC-73 from C. elegans embryo extracts. The GFP tag on the CRML-1 fusion protein was used to immunoprecipitate CRML-1 from embryo lysates. Immunoblots were probed with antibodies against UNC-73, which reliably detected four isoforms in the gmIs30 lane, but not in the wild-type lane: the two Rac GEF-containing isoforms A and B, and the C2 and F isoforms; the previously described non-specific (n-s) band (Steven et al., 1998; Steven et al., 2005) is also indicated. (B) Confocal images of a 3-fold stage gmIs30 embryo stained with antibodies against GFP to detect CRML-1::GFP (green) and UNC-73 (red). To the left is a schematic of the embryo orientation in the eggshell, showing the head of the animal folded over the body just posterior to the CANs, which are located near the middle of the animal. Next to the schematic is a projection of the z-stack showing the co-expression of CRML-1 (green) and UNC-73 (red) in CANs (CANs were identified by co-staining with CAN-specific markers, data not shown). The three images to the right are a single 0.9 µm section of the focal plane that includes the lower CAN (boxed, enlarged in insets) and its anteriorly projecting axon. CRML-1 and UNC-73 overlap in the CAN and its axon. Scale bars: 5 µm.

Genetic analysis of

73(rh40); gmIs14 animals should exhibit similar frequencies of ALM rerouting. We indeed observed such an effect (Fig. 6B), demonstrating that unc-73 functions downstream of crml-1 in this assay. However, when we examined ALM cell migration, we found that ALMs more frequently migrated too far posterior in crml-1 unc-73; gmIs14 animals as compared with unc-73; gmIs14 animals (Fig. 6A). Therefore, the relationship between VAB-8L, UNC-73 and CRML-1 might be more complex in ALM migration than in ALM process rerouting.

The model that CRML-1 negatively regulates UNC-73 in ALM rerouting predicts that both proteins function in ALMs. Increasing the levels of CRML-1 in ALMs by driving a crml-1 cDNA tagged with mCherry from the mec-7 promoter decreased the amount of rerouting caused by expression of VAB-8L (Fig. 6B). We also drove an unc-73B cDNA tagged with GFP from the mec-7 promoter in an attempt to test whether UNC-73 expression in ALMs would restore a high level of ALM rerouting in unc-73; gmIs28 animals, but found that the transgene made the animals so sick that we were unable to maintain the transgenic lines. We did find, however, that the transgene caused ALM rerouting in a wild-type background: 20% of ALMs that expressed UNC-73B::GFP had a bipolar process and 2% had a reversed process (n=100). Taken together, these results suggest that CRML-1 and UNC-73 act in ALMs to mediate the effects of VAB-8L.

We also asked whether loss of CRML-1 would change the levels of SAX-3 in ALMs. If CRML-1 negatively regulates UNC-73, loss of crml-1 would be predicted to cause an increase in the expression of SAX-3 in ALMs. To test this prediction, we used the gmIs28 transgene, which results in the expression of SAX-3::GFP in both ALMs and in their lineal sisters, the BDUs. We quantified the number of embryos in which we could detect the SAX-3::GFP signal in ALMs and BDUs at the 2-fold stage and found that crml-1(gm326) enhanced the percentage of cells in which we saw GFP (Fig. 6C-G). Quantification of the amount of SAX-3::GFP in these cells revealed that the levels appeared to be slightly higher in embryos that contained the crml-1 mutation and lower in embryos that contained the unc-73 mutation (Fig. 6H). The unc-73(rh40) crml-1(gm326) double mutant appeared identical to the unc-73 single mutant, suggesting that CRML-1 signals through UNC-73 to alter SAX-3::GFP levels (Fig. 6G,H). Crossing the crml-1 and unc-73 mutations out of the gmIs28 transgenic background and rescoring SAX-3::GFP levels in the original and newly isolated gmIs28 strains showed that the gmIs28 transgene was not altered during construction of the transgenic strains containing the mutations.

DISCUSSION

By conducting a screen for suppressors of the uncoordinated phenotype of unc-34(gm114) mutants, we identified the C. elegans gene crml-1. CRML-1 is a homolog of CARMIL, a protein that binds capping protein and uncaps actin filaments in vitro (Jung et al., 2001; Uruno et al., 2006; Xu et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2005). Our genetic analysis of crml-1; unc-34 mutants demonstrates that CRML-1 acts in parallel to UNC-34 as an inhibitor of cell and axon migrations. This inhibitory function contrasts with the positive role CARMIL homologs are thought to play in promoting glioblastoma...
Fig. 6. CRML-1 regulates VAB-8L-induced ALM abnormalities and SAX-3 levels. (A) Excessive ALM migration caused by VAB-8L misexpression is enhanced by loss of CRML-1 and suppressed by loss of UNC-73 Rac GEF activity. The percentage of ALMs found posterior of wild-type positions is depicted in dark gray (above x-axis), and the percentage of ALMs found anterior of wild-type positions is depicted in light gray (beneath x-axis). Statistically significant differences between gmsIs14 (Pnmec-7::vab-8::gfp) and crml-1; gmsIs14 or unc-73; gmsIs14 are marked (**P<0.0001). Differences between unc-73 crml-1; gmsIs14 and unc-73; gmsIs14 are indicated (#, P<0.03). unc-73 crml-1; gmsIs14 was compared with unc-73; gmsIs14. Error bars, s.e.m. For the distribution of ALMs relative to P and V cells and the number scored, see Fig. S1 in the supplementary material. At least 40 animals were scored per genotype. Animals were raised at 25°C. (B) VAB-8L-dependent ALM process rerouting is enhanced by loss of CRML-1 and suppressed by both the reduction of UNC-73 and overexpression of CRML-1. Statistically significant differences between gmsIs14 and other strains are marked (**P<0.0001; *P<0.05); n.s., not significant. The statistically significant difference between gmsIs14; gmxs27 strains bearing the array in ALM compared with those bearing the array but not in ALM is marked (#, P<0.03). At least 125 animals were scored per genotype, except gmsIs14; gmxs27, ALM+ where n=26. (C-F) Nomarski (C-F) and GFP (boxed regions enlarged in C'-F') images of 2-fold C. elegans embryos expressing gmsIs28 [Pnmec-7::sax-3::gfp] in ALM and BDU neurons (arrows) just prior to cell migration. Anterior is to the left, dorsal is up. Scale bars: 5 μm. (G) Percentage of embryos in which a SAX-3::GFP signal was detected in ALM and BDU neurons during the 2-fold stage of embryogenesis. Strains were scored on the same day to ensure that the GFP signal intensities could be directly compared. The statistically significant difference observed between gmsIs28 and crml-1; gmsIs28 is marked (*, P<0.009); n.s., not significant. Error bars indicate s.e.m. At least 80 animals were scored per genotype. The increase observed in the crml-1 mutant background was confirmed through an independent experiment that was scored blindly (data not shown). (H) Relative fluorescence intensities of SAX-3::GFP signals in ALM and BDU neurons from G. Only animals with both cells clearly in focus were included; at least 30 animals were scored per genotype. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Statistically significant differences between gmsIs28 alone and the two unc-73-containing strains are marked (#, P<0.03).

Cell migrations (Jung et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2005). Our genetic and biochemical analyses indicate a previously unreported role for a CARMIL homolog: inhibiting migration through the Rac pathway.

CRML-1 acts in the same genetic pathway as UNC-73. We detected CRML-1 and UNC-73 in a complex by coinmunoprecipitation, and localization of the proteins overlaps in CAN neurons and their axons during migration. These observations suggest that one function of CRML-1 is to negatively regulate UNC-73 during cell and axon growth cone migrations.

It was recently reported that Rac signaling regulates SAX-3 and Netrin receptors in C. elegans. According to this hypothesis, the kinesin-like molecule VAB-8L acts through UNC-73 to increase guidance receptor levels and promote posterior migrations in C. elegans (Levy-Strumpf and Culotti, 2007; Watari-Goshima et al., 2007). Our results indicate that UNC-73 is not only positively regulated by VAB-8L, but is also negatively regulated by CRML-1, suggesting that UNC-73 might integrate opposing signals to regulate neuronal migration and process outgrowth (Fig. 7).

Most models place Rac GTPase signaling downstream of guidance receptors. Our data support a less commonly held view that Rac signaling can also regulate receptor levels, presumably through membrane trafficking. As a negative regulator of the receptor SAX-3 and the Rac GEF UNC-73, CRML-1 could act directly on SAX-3, making it refractory to UNC-73 activity. This type of inhibition might be similar to the role of vertebrate Rig1 (also known as Robo3.1) on Robo1 at the ventral midline of the spinal cord. Rig1 inhibits the ability of Robo1 to respond to Slit, but the precise mechanism of inhibition is unknown (Chen et al., 2008; Sabatier et al., 2004). Alternatively, CRML-1 could act indirectly on SAX-3 by inhibiting UNC-73. Our ability to detect UNC-73 in a complex with CRML-1 supports this latter hypothesis.

Rac signaling can modulate cell surface receptor levels. Rac signaling has been implicated in clathrin-mediated endocytosis of both transferrin and EGF receptors (Jou et al., 2000; Lamaze et al., 1996). These studies demonstrated that activated Rac inhibits receptor endocytosis in cell culture. Our results are consistent with...
axon migrations. will be to understand how they collaborate to coordinate cell and new regulators of guidance receptors are identified, the challenge Robo homologs (Keleman et al., 2005; Sabatier et al., 2004). As expression are also regulated. V view that it is not only signaling downstream of guidance how migrating cells and growth cones respond to their reaching the cell surface. Subsequent loss of Comm expression after crossing allows Robo to these growth cones to the ef Comm, which tar at the central nervous system (CNS) midline. Certain neurons protein Commissureless (Comm) regulates SAX-3 to the cell surface, similar to how the transmembrane 1/Slit–SAX-3/Robo pathway control the amount of repulsive signaling occurring through the SL developing. Controlling the levels of the receptor itself is one way to regulating. VAX-3 to the cell surface, similar to how the transmembrane signals thr neurons with fluor SAX-3/Robo signaling. Based on our genetic and biochemical data, we favor a model in which CRML-1 and VAB-8L signaling converges on UNC-73, the role of which is to integrate these signals and determine the amount of SAX-3 endocytosis during neuronal development.

It is also possible that these molecules regulate the delivery of SAX-3 to the cell surface, similar to how the transmembrane protein Commissureless (Comm) regulates Drosophila Robo levels at the central nervous system (CNS) midline. Certain neurons ensure that their growth cones cross the midline by expressing Comm, which targets Robo to the lysosome (Keleman et al., 2002; Keleman et al., 2005). By downregulating Robo, Comm blinds these growth cones to the effects of Slit at the CNS midline. Subsequent loss of Comm expression after crossing allows Robo to reach the growth cone and respond to Slit, ensuring that the growth cone does not recross the midline (Keleman et al., 2002; Keleman et al., 2005). Although only insects have comm homologs, similar mechanisms might control Robo levels in other organisms. The inhibition by CRML-1 of Rac signaling could prevent SAX-3 from reaching the cell surface.

The types of receptors and signaling pathways expressed define how migrating cells and growth cones respond to their environment. Our observations are consistent with an emerging view that it is not only signaling downstream of guidance receptors that is regulated, but that receptor function and expression are also regulated. VAB-8L and UNC-73 can positively regulate the Robo and Netrin receptors to control the directional migration of cells and growth cones (Levy-Strumpf and Culotti, 2007; Watari-Goshima et al., 2007). Like CRML-1, Drosophila Comm and vertebrate Rig1 inhibit the function of Robo homologs (Keleman et al., 2005; Sabatier et al., 2004). As new regulators of guidance receptors are identified, the challenge will be to understand how they collaborate to coordinate cell and axon migrations.
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