

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
 6.090—Building Programming Experience
 IAP 2007

Problem Set 3
Due Tuesday January 16, 1pm

Special Forms

1. **let** – (`let ((name1 val1) (name2 val2)) expr`)

Let creates a temporary binding between a name and a value that exists only in the body expression. The following two examples are equivalent:

```
(let ((a 5)          ((lambda (a b) (+ a b))
    (b 6))          5 6)
  (+ a b))
```

2. **define** – (`define (procname arg1 arg2) body`) Creates a procedure and assigns it to the name *procname*. Syntactic sugar for the following:
`(define procname (lambda (arg1 arg2) body))`

List Procedures

1. (`list arg1 arg2 ...`) makes a list out of each argument and returns it.
2. (`cons a b`) Creates a pair, of cons cell, where the car-part is *a* and the cdr-part is *b*.
3. (`car p`) – Takes a pair, and returns the first part.
4. (`cdr p`) – Takes a pair, and returns the second part.
5. (`null? lst`) – Returns `#t` if the list is the special value for an empty list: `null`

Problems

Section 1: Recursive Processes

1. Write a procedure, `num-digits`, that takes in a number and returns the number of decimal digits in the number. For example:

```
(num-digits 5)
;Value: 1
(num-digits 21)
;Value: 2
(num-digits 3987423)
;Value: 7
```

You will find `quotient` useful, as it works like `/`, except it throws away anything after the decimal point (ie integer division). For example:

```
(quotient 4 2)
;Value: 2
(quotient 5 2)
;Value: 2
```

First write out a plan (base case and recursive case), then implement the procedure in scheme. Finally, test your procedure to verify that it works.

2. Implement `divisible?`, which returns true if the first argument is divisible by the second. Using `remainder` is a good idea.

```
(divisible? 6 3)
;Value: #t
(divisible? 37 4)
;Value: #f
```

3. Write a procedure to find the smallest factor of a number. As a suggestion, have the procedure take in both the number `n`, and a factor `f`. The procedure should test to see if `f` is a factor, along with all possible factors greater than `f`. Some numbers may not have any factors in this range, if so, the procedure should return false. You should use `divisible?` from the previous problem.

```
(smallest-factor 8 3)
;Value: 4 (4 divides 8 and is between 3 and 8)
(smallest-factor 12 7)
;Value: #f (12 has no factors larger than 7)
```

4. Building on the previous exercise, write `prime?`, which returns true if the number is prime. Remember that a prime number has no factors other than 1 and itself.

```
(prime? 4)
;Value: #f
(prime? 17)
;Value: #t
(prime? 569)
;Value: #t
```

Section 2: Syntactic Sugar

1. Desugar the following expressions:

```
(define (foo x)
  (+ x 5))
```

```
(let ((x 1))
  x)
```

```
(let ((foo (= x 1))
      (bar 7))
  (if foo
      bar
      #f))
```

```
(define (weird x y z)      ; this one's odd
  (lambda (foo)
    (+ x y z foo)))
```

2. Evaluate the following expressions (first guess, then check with DrScheme).

```
(define x 5)
```

```
(define (y) (+ 7 7))
```

```
(let ((x 3))
  (+ x x))
```

```
(let ((x (y))
      (y 7))
  (if (> x 3)
      7
      y))
```

```
(let ((mit 12))
  (let ((is (+ mit 1)))
    (let ((hard (- is 7)))
      (+ mit is hard))))
```

Section 3: Iterative Procedures

Here is the transformation of fact from a recursive to an iterative process that we did in class:

```
; recursive fact
(define (fact n)
  (if (= n 0)
      1
      (* n (fact (- n 1)))))

; iterative fact
(define (fact n)
  (fact-helper n 1))

; helper for iterative version
(define (fact-helper n answer)
  (if (= n 0)
      answer
      (fact-helper (- n 1) (* n answer))))
```

Consider the following recursive definition of quotient:

```
(define (quotient x y)
  (if (< x y)
      0
      (+ 1 (quotient (- x y) y))))
```

Rewrite quotient to give rise to an iterative process by following the pattern we used for fact. Test your resulting procedure to make sure it works like the original.

Section 4: Lists

1. Write expressions whose values print out like the following:

```
(7)
```

```
("this" "is" "yummy")
```

```
((()))
```

```
(("apples" 3) ("oranges" 2))
```

2. # Here is the length procedure we wrote in class:

```
Plan: Base case: empty-list -> length is 0
      Recursive: length whole lst = 1 + length rest of lst
```

```
(define (length lst)
  (if (null? lst)
      0
      (+ 1 (length (cdr lst)))))
```

Write a procedure called sum-list which takes in a list of numbers and outputs their sum.

```
(sum-list (list 1 2 3))
;Value: 6
(sum-list (list 7))
;Value: 7
(sum-list null)
;Value: 0
```

3. Extra Optional Bonus Problem: Write a procedure seven-on-the-end which takes in a list and returns a new list with 7 on the end.

```
(seven-on-the-end null)
;Value: (7)
(seven-on-the-end (list 4))
;Value: (4 7)
(seven-on-the-end (list 4 7 5 3))
;Value: (4 7 5 3 7)
```

Tackle this problem by figuring out the base case, then the one-off base case (ie where the first recursive call results in the base case).