Symmetry considerations and development of pinwheels in visual maps
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Neurons in the visual cortex respond best to rod-like stimuli of given orientation. While the preferred orientation varies continuously across most of the cortex, there are prominent pinwheel centers around which all orientations are present. Oriented segments abound in natural images, but tend to be collinear; neurons are also more likely to be connected if their preferred orientations are aligned to their topographic separation. These are indications of a reduced symmetry requiring joint rotations or orientation preference and the underlying topography. We verify that this requirement extends to cortical maps of monkey and cat by direct statistical analysis. Furthermore, analytical arguments and numerical studies indicate that pinwheels are generically stable in evolving field models which couple orientation and topography.

I. INTRODUCTION

The preferential response of cells in the primary visual cortex to lines of a particular orientation has been known for over forty years [1], yet remains a subject of intense experimental study and modelling. Early models were simple structural arrangements of local iso-orientation columns into regular arrays [7–9]. Intricate maps of global patterns of orientation preference over the cortex, obtained by optical imaging [2,3], revealed more complex arrangements. Thus, later models focused on the development of orientation preference (OP) in networks of neurons whose connectivity is modified in response to stimuli [10–12]. Obtaining large scale patterns of OP with many pinwheels is computationally costly with the latter models [25]; drastically simplified models generate large static maps essentially from bandpass filtered white noise [13–15].

Analytical understanding of the development of visual maps, and its connections to other problems in pattern formation, is best obtained in terms of evolving fields. In this framework, OP is modelled by a director field $s \equiv (s_x(x, y), s_y(x, y))$, indicating the preferred orientation at location $r \equiv (x, y)$ on the cortex. The field $s(r, t)$ then evolves in time according to some development rule that depends on its configurations at earlier times [16,17]. Wolf and Geisel (WG) have shown [18] that a large number of such evolutions can be summarized through a dynamical equation $\partial_t s(r, t) = F[s]$. (WG combine the two components into a single complex field $z = (s_x + is_y)^2$.) Common elements in models of evolving fields are:

(a) Starting from an initial condition with little OP, there is a rapid onset of selectivity governed by $L[s]$, the linear part of the functional $F[s]$. The characteristic length scale observed in cortical maps is implemented by a linear operator that causes maximal growth of features of wavelength $\Lambda$, i.e. acting as a ‘band-pass filter’ in the parlance of circuits. It is possible to follow the linear development analytically: WG show that the density of pinwheels (zeros of the field $z(r)$) has to be larger than $\pi/\Lambda^2$ in this regime.

(b) Since the linear evolution leads to unbounded growth of OP, nonlinearities are essential for a proper saturation of the field. While analytical studies of nonlinear development are difficult, numerical simulations indicate that the OP patterns continue to change (albeit more slowly) even after their magnitudes have saturated. More importantly, the pinwheels typically annihilate in pairs, giving way to a rainbow pattern of wavelength $\Lambda$. To maintain pinwheels, development has to be stopped, or extrinsic elements such as inhomogeneities that trap the pinwheels have to be introduced. [26] Since the neural processes that lead to OP are still not fully understood, the stability of pinwheels has not been a topic of much study amongst neuroscientists. Nevertheless, the search for intrinsically stable pinwheel patterns has motivated some recent studies [19,20], briefly described below. We propose here an alternative explanation, demonstrating that evolving field models with proper rotational symmetry generically lead to patterns with stable pinwheels.

![FIG. 1. a depicts the image of an arrow formed by oriented solid lines, on a topographic grid of dotted lines. In b, each solid line is rotated anti-clockwise by 45° independent of the grid. The thus ‘rotated’ image bears little resemblance to the original. In c, there is simultaneous rotation of the grid and the solid lines, as the whole image is rotated. Symmetry considerations are paramount in problems of pattern formation. Since all directions are more or less equally present in cortical maps, practically all mod-](Image 369x193 to 510x334)
els of OP (certainly those summarized in WG) assume that different orientations are equivalent. [28] This is implemented by requiring the evolution of $s(r,t)$ to be unchanged if all angles are rotated together. This rotation is independent of the topographic space $r$, which is also assumed to be isotropic (no preferred directions). Two versions of rotation are illustrated in Fig. 1. Figure 1b displays a collection of oriented lines that are rotated independently of the background grid from Fig. 1a. We propose that the appropriate symmetry for OP maps is simultaneous rotations of the orientations and the underlying space, as illustrated in Fig. 1c. The observational evidence for this reduced symmetry is reviewed in Sec. II. As suggested by Fig. 1, the absence of full rotation symmetry in natural images is expected, and in fact demonstrated in Ref. [21]. We present a novel statistical analysis of OP maps from monkey and cat, which also supports the lack of full rotation symmetry. Consequences of reduced symmetry in evolving field models are discussed in Sec. III. A linear analysis indicates that the reduced symmetry introduces an additional time scale into the problem, and an interval in which the pinwheel density can actually increase by pair creations. Vectorial versions are center-surround interactions are then employed in numerical simulations of model with joint rotation symmetry. The simulations result in patterns with intrinsically stable pinwheels, and histograms of OP similar to those obtained from cat and monkey maps.

II. STATISTICS OF NATURAL IMAGES AND CORTICAL MAPS

Casual consideration of scenes strongly suggests that the persistence of edges of stationary objects (as in Fig. 1), or of tracks of moving ones, leads to oriented segments that cannot be rotated independent of their background. This has been confirmed and quantified by statistical tests in Ref. [21], where an orientation was assigned to each pixel of images from the natural world. The primary query of Ref. [21] was the range and directionality of correlations in orientation. They observed that correlations depend on the relative angles in the topographic space, in a manner consistent with a collection of circles.

Since the task of the visual system is to extract information from observed images, it is likely that the neural connections that carry out the associated computations are influenced by symmetries and anisotropies of the natural scenes. Contemplation of the Hebbian rule [27] “neurons that fire together wire together,” suggest that there should be more connections between neurons whose shared OP is collinear to their topographic separation. Indeed, biocytin injections which map the ‘horizontal’ connections of neurons have been combined with optical imaging of the primary visual cortex of the tree shrew [22]. The connections from an injection site are anisotropic, preferentially extended along the axis of OP at the site. While less pronounced, similar anisotropies are also observed in maps from monkey [23] and cat. Such connectivities are incompatible with rotations of OP independent of the underlying topography. A map with all OPs rotated by a fixed angle would require a different set of horizontal connections.

To test the hypothesis that cortical maps of OP also reflect the reduced rotation symmetry, we undertook statistical tests of a map of monkey (in the form of 360×480 pixels, provided by K. Obermeyer). At each point $i$ of the map, there is an orientation angle $\theta_i$, measured relative to an arbitrary axis; two points $i$ and $j$, separated by a distance $R$ form an angle $\phi_{ij}$ with the same axis, as indicated in Fig. 2a. Binning into intervals of $10^\circ$, we make joint histograms of the form $h_R[2(\theta_i - \theta_j), 2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_i)]$. (The factor of two is introduced since the relative orientation is defined from 0 to $\pi$.) The second argument measures the angle relative to the line joining points $i$ and $j$. If the orientations are independent of topography,
the histograms should be independent of their second argument. This is not the case for the monkey histograms shown on the left column in Fig. 2; the right column shows cross-sections at $2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_j) = 0^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ which display maximal contrast for parallel orientations. The larger probability for $2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_j) = 90^\circ$ does not violate expectations based on collinear orientations. This is because we do not know the actual topographic axis in our monkey map. The choice of an arbitrary axis does not modify $\theta_i - \theta_j$, but shifts the histograms along $2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_j)$. The advantage of our method is the ability to detect lack of full rotation symmetry in the absence of knowledge of topographic axis; but the lack of this information prevents making a connection to correlations in visual inputs.

Figures 2b and 2c are at separations $R$ which are a fraction of the typical distance between pinwheels, and show no indication of any dependence on topography. By contrast, Figs. 2d and 2e correspond to values of $R$ comparable to pinwheel separations. There is now a small, but distinct dependence on the orientation of the line between two points; indicating that the OPs do not follow a distribution with full rotational symmetry. Similar results were obtained for maps from cat (204 × 372 pixels, provided by M. Sur and J. Schummers), and are available in supplementary materials. In both cases the dependence on the second argument is small (at most around 20%), and some assessments of its statistical significance is needed. Since we had access to only one map in each case we made an indirect estimate of statistical error by constructing an artificial ensemble of 2000 histograms though random samplings of 2.9% of total pixels in the monkey map. (As described in the supplemental material we tested this sampling procedure on maps generated by numerical simulations.) From the thus included errors bars in Fig. 2e, we conclude that the differences fall outside statistical errors.

III. MODELING JOINT ROTATION SYMMETRY

We believe that the restriction to joint rotation symmetry is an essential aspect of the OP maps, and should be incorporated into models and analytical studies. In computational models with neural networks [25] this is naturally achieved through the choice of proper training set of images. How should this be implemented in analytical models of evolving fields? If the inputs to locations (such as $i$ and $j$ in Fig. 2) are predominantly parallel, a Hebbian interaction between them would evolve to minimize $\theta_i - \theta_j$. If the OP at $i$ is indicated by a vector $s_i$, this interaction can be written as $J(R)s_i \cdot s_j$. [29] Such an interaction, however, makes no reference to the relative orientation $\theta_j - \phi_{ij}$ and thus cannot represent a response to a preponderance of inputs that are collinear with the topographic (unit) vector $\hat{r}_{ij}$. To account for the latter, we could have distinct interactions between components of $s_i$ and $s_j$ that are parallel or perpendicular to $\hat{r}_{ij}$; the difference between them can be represented by a new interaction of the form $K(R) (s_i \cdot \hat{r}_{ij}) (s_j \cdot \hat{r}_{ij})$. [29]

As a specific model, let us assume a set of $s_i(t)$, simulated by inputs $p_i(t)$, and interactions between them that reflect the average activity of $s_i(t)$ over previous times. The two types of interaction introduced above are then given by $2J_{ij}(t) = [s_i \cdot s_{j,av}]$ and $J_{ij}(t) = [(s_i \cdot \hat{r}_{ij}) (s_j \cdot \hat{r}_{ij})]_{av}$. In the initial stages, the couplings are small and $s_i(t)$ merely follow the inputs $p_i(t)$. The couplings then evolve to reflect the statistics of inputs: A tendency for the $p_i(t)$ and $p_j(t)$ to be parallel leads to a positive $J_{ij}$, while if and only if these inputs also tend to be collinear, a finite $K_{ij}$ is generated. As the dynamics proceeds further, the increased couplings could well freeze $s_i$ to a particular pattern. The interactions then follow suit, and become correlated to the frozen orientations. Such a scenario could well account for the correlations between OP and connectivity observed in the tree shrew. [22] However, our intention is not to promote a particular scenario, but to emphasize that any interactions not specifically ruled out by symmetry will generically be present. In the following, we shall explore some consequences of joint rotation symmetry on evolution of the patterns.

A. Linear analysis

To underscore the difference between the two forms of rotation symmetry, let us consider the regime of linear evolution which is analytically tractable. Due to translation symmetry, the problem is simplified in terms of the Fourier modes $\tilde{s}_\alpha(q, t) = \int d^2xe^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}} s_\alpha(x, t)$, where $\alpha = 1, 2$ (or $x, y$) labels the two components of the vector $\mathbf{s}$. After Fourier transforming the interactions $J(R)$ and $K(R)$ introduced above, the linear evolution equation takes the form

$$\partial_t \tilde{s}_\alpha(q, t) = \sum_{\beta=1,2} [J(q)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + qa\beta K(q)] \tilde{s}_\beta(q, t). \quad (1)$$

Due to the assumed isotropy, the functions $J$ and $K$ only depend on the magnitude of the vector $q$. For example, they can be band-pass filters peaked at $\mathbf{q} = 2\pi/\Lambda$, to reproduce the power spectrum of cortical maps. In the case of full rotation symmetry, invariance of the equations under independent rotations of $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ requires $K(q) = 0$. However, if $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ can only be rotated together, a finite $K(q)$ is possible and should be generically present. (One way to see this is that $q \cdot \mathbf{s}$ is invariant under joint rotations, but not separate rotations of $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{r}$.)

A finite $K(q)$ mixes the evolution of the two components $\tilde{s}_1$ and $\tilde{s}_2$. This mixing can be removed by decomposing the field $\mathbf{s}$ into longitudinal and transverse compo-
nants. For a given \( \mathbf{q} \), the longitudinal component is parallel to \( \mathbf{q} \), and the transverse component is perpendicular to it. Under the action of the linear operator in Eq. (1), the two components grow as \( e^{[J(\mathbf{q}) + q^2K(\mathbf{q})]t} \) and \( e^{l(\mathbf{q})t} \). If \( K(\mathbf{q}) = 0 \) (full rotation symmetry) the two modes grow at the same rate, over a time scale \( \tau_1(\mathbf{q}) \sim 1/J(\mathbf{q}) \). Even a small \( K(\mathbf{q}) \) breaks this degeneracy, introducing a second time scale \( \tau_2(\mathbf{q}) \sim 1/|q^2K(\mathbf{q})| \) over which the effects of anisotropy become apparent.

Note that when the two modes grow at the same rate \( (K(q) = 0) \), an equal superposition to these modes is compatible with a rainbow pattern which does not contain any nodes. (Of course the rainbow is one of many possible patterns.) However, \( K(\mathbf{q}) \) is generically non-zero for a joint rotation symmetry, and one of the two modes eventually dominates the other. The dominance of transverse or longitudinal components increases the density of zeros, and is incompatible with rainbow patterns. We repeated the analysis of WG for the density of pinwheels for a joint rotation symmetry, and one of the two modes eventually dominates the other. The increase in density implies (pair) creation of pinwheels in the anisotropic case, a phenomenon that is absent in the isotropic models. Note that the ultimate density ratio between isotropic and anisotropic cases is a universal number, independent of the degree of anisotropy. The strength of \( K(\mathbf{q}) \) only dictates the time scale over which the density increases, and not its ultimate value.

\[ \partial_t \mathbf{s}_i = \mathbf{s}_i \left( 1 - |\mathbf{s}_i|^2 \right) + \sum_j \left[ J(r_{ij}) \mathbf{s}_j + K(r_{ij}) \left( \mathbf{s}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right], \]

where \( r_{ij} = r_i - r_j \) has magnitude \( r_{ij} \) along the unit vector \( \mathbf{r}_{ij} \). The nonlinearity appearing in the first term on the right hand side stabilizes the magnitude of \( \mathbf{s}_i \) to unity. The linear evolution is governed by a vectorial center–surround filter, composed of two parts: (a) A standard center–surround filter with positive couplings \( J_\alpha \) in a circle of size \( R/2 \sim \Lambda \) and negative values \( J_\beta \) in an annulus from \( R/2 \) to \( R \). (b) Additional couplings in the annular region that explicitly depend on orientations relative to the lines joining lattice points, and invariant only under joint rotations. We employ positive long–range couplings \( K \), to mimic the preferential ‘horizontal’ connectivity of co-oriented co-axially aligned receptive fields, as reported in Ref. [22]. (Similar kinds of anisotropic interactions were also employed in a model for dynamics of neural activity in the visual cortex [24].)

Simulations are started on an \( L \times L \) lattice with initial values of \( |s_i| = 10^{-3} \), equally distributed over all angles, with \( J_\beta = 0.01 \), \( J_\alpha = -0.0039 \), and \( R = 10 \). As shown in Fig. 4a, undifferentiated initial conditions quickly develop into a pattern with pinwheels reminiscent of actual maps. Further evolution depends on the symmetry of development rules. Full rotation symmetry with \( K = 0 \), and the action of (b) above turned off, leads to a rainbow state with no pinwheels at long times, as in Fig. 4b. However, reduction of this symmetry by adding interactions in (b) with \( K = 0.0039 \), above eventually results in a square lattice of pinwheels, as in Fig. 4c. Naturally, we do not imply that pinwheels in cortical maps form a square lattice (various inhomogeneities could easily trap these vortices in a distorted arrangement), but that they are intrinsically stable under such development rules. The precise choice of long–range couplings is not important in this regard, and we observed pinwheel patterns with other types of anisotropic coupling (some also available in the supplements).

B. Simulations

While the above arguments from the linear regime strongly suggest that joint rotational symmetry promotes pinwheel stability, verification of this hypothesis comes from simulations of the nonlinear evolution. For the latter, \( \{s_i(t)\} \) was placed on a lattice of points of locations \( r_i \), and evolved in time according to
Not surprisingly, the anisotropic couplings lead to correlations between OP and the topographic angles. We repeated the histogram analysis of actual maps with those generated by numerical simulations, and some results are plotted in Fig. 5. There is no dependence on topography for $K = 0$, as depicted in Figure. 5 which shows two relative angle histograms for $2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_j) = 0^\circ$ and $2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_j) = 90^\circ$. For $K \neq 0$, there are positive correlations in relative angles for $2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_j) = 0^\circ$ and negative correlations for $2(\phi_{ij} - \theta_j) = 90^\circ$ [Fig. 5b-d]. The topographic dependence is robust, and does not significantly depend on the strength of the anisotropic coupling.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Collinearity is a prominent characteristic of line segments in natural images. It is reasonable to expect that cortical maps of OP reflect a corresponding tendency. A basic consequence of the tendency of line segments to be collinear is the absence of a full rotation symmetry, independent of the underlying topography. We demonstrate the lack of full symmetry by analyzing histograms of monkey and cat maps. We then explore consequences of reduced symmetry on the behavior of evolving fields of OP. In the linear regime, we find that the new interactions allowed generates a new time scale over which the pinwheel density can actually increase. Numerical simulations confirm that this tendency persists in the nonlinear regime, resulting in patterns with stable pinwheels.

While the stability problem of pinwheels in OP maps is not widely appreciated, it has been the motivation for two other recent studies. In Ref. [19] a different coupling between neurons is used based on a wiring length minimization principle, while in Ref. [20] higher order non-linearities are employed in place of the stabilizing $s_i | s_i |^2$ term in Eq. (2). While these models lead to stable patterns of pinwheels, they can not account for the 'anisotropic' features of actual OP maps, as both have full rotation symmetry. A potential relation between the symmetries and correlations of line segments in natural images, and the statistics of OP maps (including stability and arrangement of pinwheels), may provide further clues to how visual information is processed by the brain.

Please add a reference to more recent neural network models, e.g. those in http://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/jbednar/sweepingmall.html

Constant stirring by sufficiently strong external noise can also lead to dynamic creation and annihilation of pinwheels, but our focus is on evolving fields where the only randomness is in the choice of initial conditions.

Please add a reference for the Hebbian rule.

In fact (as we also found in our analysis of monkey map), not all orientations are equally represented. This type of anisotropy indicates the absence of any form of rotation symmetry, and should not be confused with the distinction between full and joint rotation symmetries which is the subject of this article. The former is compatible with rainbow patterns and does not appear to play a role in the stability of pinwheels. We verified this explicitly by numerical simulations in models with a preference for the horizontal direction (also available as supplements).

More precisely, we should employ interactions of the form $(s_i \cdot s_j)^2$, and $(s_i \cdot \tilde{r}_{ij})^2 (\tilde{r}_{ij} \cdot s_j)^2$ that are invariant under $s_1 \rightarrow -s_1$ since both vectors indicate the same orientation. This unduly complicates the presentation and analysis without changing the essence of our results.
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