# Outline

- I. Amino acids
- 2. Forces
- 3. Protein structure
- 4. Sequence-structure mapping

### Proteins





Hydrophobic

Acidic Basic

### Amino Acids

Name (Residue)	3-letter code	Single code	Relative abundance (%) E.C.	мw	рΚ	VdW volume(Å <sup>3</sup> )	Charged, Polar, Hydrophobic
Alanine	<u>ALA</u>	A	13.0	71		67	Η
Arginine	<u>ARG</u>	R	5.3	157	12.5	148	C+
Asparagine	<u>ASN</u>	N	9.9	114		96	Р
Aspartate	<u>ASP</u>	D	9.9	114	3.9	91	C–
Cysteine	<u>CYS</u>	С	1.8	103		86	Р
Glutamate	<u>GLU</u>	E	10.8	128	4.3	109	C–
Glutamine	<u>GLN</u>	Q	10.8	128		114	Р
Glycine	<u>GLY</u>	G	7.8	57		48	
Histidine	<u>HIS</u>	H	0.7	137	6.0	118	P,C+
Isoleucine	ILE	I	4.4	113		124	Η
Leucine	<u>LEU</u>	L	7.8	113		124	Η
Lysine	<u>LYS</u>	K	7.0	129	10.5	135	C+
Methionine	MET	M	3.8	131		124	Η
Phenylalanine	PHE	F	3.3	147		135	Η
Proline	PRO	P	4.6	97		90	Η
Serine	<u>SER</u>	S	6.0	87		73	Р
Threonine	THR	T	4.6	101		93	Р
Tryptophan	<u>TRP</u>	W	1.0	186		163	Р
Tyrosine	<u>TYR</u>	Y	2.2	163	10.1	141	Р
Valine	VAL	V	6.0	99		105	H

### Amino acid classes



# Outline

- I. Amino acids
- 2. Forces
- 3. Protein structure
- 4. Sequence-structure mapping
- 5. Physics of folding

### **Forces** Van der Waals "London forces" (after Fritz London) Van der Waals δ+ 8+ δ+ original temporary induced dipole dipole δ+ δ+ $\mathcal{V}(n)$ 8+) 8+) 8+) ,repulsive, exchange energy $+Ae^{*/r}$ С -0.2 kcal/mol at 4A ε .attractive, dispersion energy $-C/r^4$ Casimir effect

### Forces

• Van der Waals : Lennard-Jones approximation



### Van der Waals interactions

Interaction	E <sub>0</sub> kcal/mo	$l r_0, A$	r <sub>min</sub> ,A	A Aton	nic radii (A	A)
НН	0.12	2.4	2.0	H:	1.0	
НС	0.11	2.9	2.4			
сс	0.12	3.4	3.0	C:	1.5	
00	0.23	3.0	2.7	<b>O</b> :	1.35	
N N	0.20	3.1	2.7	N:	1.35	
$CH_2 \dots CH_2$	~ 0.5	~ 4.0	~ 3.0	CH <sub>2</sub> :	$\sim 1.5$	

$$V(r) = \frac{A}{r^{12}} - \frac{B}{r^6} = E_0 \left[ \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^6 \right]$$

### Van der Waals interactions



Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America www.pnas.org

#### Evidence for van der Waals adhesion in gecko setae

Kellar Autumn, Metin Sitti, Yiching A. Liang, Anne M. Peattie, Wendy R. Hansen, Simon Sponberg, Thomas W. Kenny, Ronald Fearing, Jacob N. Israelachvili, and Robert J. Full

> *PNAS* published online Aug 27, 2002; doi:10.1073/pnas.192252799



Fig. 1. Force of gecko setae on highly polarizable surfaces versus for surface hydrophobicity. (*A*) Wet adhesion prediction. (*B*) van der Waals prediction. (C) Results from toe on highly polarizable semiconductor wafer surfaces differing in hydrophobicity. (*D*) Results from single seta attaching to highly polarizable MEMS cantilevers differing in hydrophobicity. Note that geckos fail to adhere to hydrophobic, weakly polarizable surfaces [polytetrafluoroethylene where  $\theta =$ 105° (25) and the dielectric constant,  $\varepsilon = 2.0$  (23)]. Adhesion to hydrophilic and hydrophobic polarizable surfaces was similar. Therefore, we reject the hypothesis that wet, capillary interactions are necessary for gecko adhesion in favor of the van der Waals hypothesis.

and 23) to predict *R* for the spatulae. We measured  $\approx 40 \ \mu$ N adhesion per seta on MEMS surfaces. There are  $\approx 3,600$  tetrads of setae per mm<sup>2</sup> (39), or 14,400 setae per mm<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, adhesive stress from our force measurements is  $\approx 576,000 \text{ N/m}^2$  (5.68 atmospheres; 1 atm = 101.3 kPa). The Johnson–Kendall–





http://web.mit.edu/sangbae/www/media.html

### Water and hydrogen bonds



### Water and hydrogen bonds



←1.9Å→

N-H:::::O

← 2.9Å →

 $\begin{array}{cc} \leftarrow 1.8\text{\AA} \rightarrow \\ \text{O} \longrightarrow \text{H} : : : : : : : O \\ \leftarrow 2.8\text{\AA} \rightarrow \end{array}$ 

←2.1Å→ N—H:::::N ← 3.1Å →

### Hydrogen bonds : anisotropic

$$O_{H} \leq \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \right]_{-}^{0} < 20-30^{\circ}$$



hydrogen bonds in water



Typical hydrogen bond within a protein.

# SOLVENT: Hydrogen bonds

### 1. WATER ALLOWS HYDROGEN BONDS TO BREAK



2. Hydrogen bonds in proteins are ENTROPIC

### SOLVENT: Hydrogen bonds

2. Hydrogen bonds in proteins are ENTROPIC



## Hydrophobic effect



### Frank & Evans 1945

- Water molecules form hydrogen bonds
- Polar groups do not disturb the network of water-water interactions.
- Non-polar (hydrophobic) groups disrupt the network leading to formation of "local ordering" of water.
- Local ordering reduces the entropy

 $DG\approx 0.2$  kcal/mol due to ordering of the interface water compare to DG of breaking 1 H-bond = 5 kcal/mol

From: Laidig, K. E.; Daggett, V. J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 5616.

### Hydrophobic effect

(Walter Kauzmann 1959)
Entropic (<1nm) and</li>
entalpic (>1nm)

Substitution	Number of examples		$\Delta G_{ii}^{d}$		
		Low	High	Average	(kcal/mol)
Ile $\rightarrow$ Val	9	0.5	1.8	$1.3 \pm 0.4$	0.80
Ile $\rightarrow$ Ala	9	1.1	5.1	$3.8 \pm 0.7$	2.04
Leu $\rightarrow$ Ala	17	1.7	6.2	$3.5 \pm 1.1$	1 90
Val → Ala	11	0.0	4.7	$2.5 \pm 0.9$	1.20
-CH <sub>2</sub> - <sup>b</sup>	46	0.0	2.3	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	0.68
Met → Ala	4	2.1	4.6	$3.0 \pm 0.9$	1.26
Phe $\rightarrow$ Ala	4	3.5	4.4	$3.8 \pm 0.3$	2.02

 $\sim 10 \text{ cal/mol/A}^2$ 



FIG. 1. a. Schematic view of local water structure near a small hydrophobic sphere. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. b. Schematic view of water structure near large parallel hydrophobic plates. Shaded area indicates regions where water density is essentially that of the bulk liquid; vacant regions indicate where water density is essentially that of the bulk vapor.



#### FIGURE 7.16

The denaturants urea and guanidinium chloride (GdmCl) increase the solubilities of both polar and nonpolar amino acid side chains, as measured by the free energy of transfer from water to either denaturant solution (Y. Nozaki and C. Tanford, J. Biol. Chem. 238:4074– 4081, 1963; 245:1648–1652, 1970). There is a linear correlation of this effect with their accessible surface areas (Table 4.4), although the curves do not extrapolate through the origin. The solid lines have slopes of 7.1 and 8.3 cal/(mol  $\cdot \dot{A}^2$ ) for 8 M urea and 6 M GdmCl, respectively. Residues indicated by open circles have polar groups on side chains. (From T. E. Creighton, J. Mol. Biol. 129:235-264, 1979.)



### In proteins only: Disulfide bonds (S-S bonds)



### CYS side chain : -CH<sub>2</sub>-SH





**Fig. 3.** Schematic of protein-folding equilibrium. The black and white circles represent hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues, respectively. The shaded region depicts aqueous solution.

# Outline

- I. Amino acids
- 2. Forces
- 3. Protein structure
- 4. Sequence-structure mapping
- 5. Physics of folding



Nature Reviews | Molecular Cell Biology

The low-resolution structure of myoglobin that was published by John Kendrew and colleagues in 1958



### Secondary Structure: b-sheets



Figure 6-9. Key to Structure. B Sheets. [Figure copyrighted © by Irving Geis.]

Copyright 1999 John Wiley and Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Secondary Structure: b-sheets



### Secondary Structure: a-helices



Figure 6-7. Key to Structure. The  $\alpha$  helix. [Figure copyrighted by © Irving Geis.]

Copyright 1999 John Wiley and Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

### Secondary Structure: a-helices







### **Domain Structure**



# MANY PROTEINS CONSIST OF SEVERAL DOMAINS

MANY PROTEINS ARE DIMERS OR OLIGOMERS WHICH CONSIST OF SEVERAL POLYPEPTIDE CHAINS.



# An Atomic Model of the Interferon- $\beta$ Enhanceosome

Cell

Daniel Panne,<sup>1</sup> Tom Maniatis,<sup>2</sup> and Stephen C. Harrison<sup>1,\*</sup>

# Sequence-Structure Mapping

- Similar sequences <u>always</u> have similar structures.
- Different sequences have different structures, **but**
- Different sequences <u>may</u> have similar structures.



### **Protein Folding Problem**

#### **HOW DOES A PROTEIN FOLD?**

٠

•

<u>Levinthal Paradox:</u> A protein of 100 amino acids has ~  $4^{100}$  ~  $10^{62}$  possible conformations. Folding by trying each conformation in 10<sup>-12</sup> sec will take 10<sup>44</sup> years!

BUT it takes a protein only 10<sup>-1</sup>..10<sup>-2</sup> seconds to fold...

#### PREDICT PROTEIN STRUCTURE FROM IT SEQUENCE.

Is information contained in protein sequence sufficient to determine protein structure? <u>Anfinsen Experiment</u>

### **Protein Folding**

### Levinthal Paradox

A protein of 100 amino acids has ~  $4^{100}$  ~  $10^{62}$  possible conformations. If it takes  $10^{-12}$  sec to try each conformation, then it takes  $10^{44}$  years to find the native one!

BUT proteins fold in 10<sup>-1</sup>..10<sup>-2</sup> sec.

### Anfinsen Experiment



 Information contained in the protein sequence is sufficient to determine protein structure!
<u>THERMODYNAMIC HYPOTHESIS</u>: The native structure is the GLOBAL minimum of free energy.

Anfinsen, C.B. (1973) "Principles that govern the folding of protein chains." *Science* **181** 223-230.