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## 1 Introduction: Conflicting c-command diagnostics

West Circassian (or Adyghe; Northwest Caucasian):

- polysynthetic: head marking, pro-drop, free word order
- Finite clauses are high absolutive: theme of transitive verb moves to Spec,TP.
(1)


Evidence from:

- reciprocals (Ershovalto appear)
- parasitic gaps (Ershova|2021)

Nominalizations differ from finite clauses ( $\overline{\text { Ershova|2020) }}$ :

- no verbal agreement or case assignment
- verbal arguments licensed as possessor or pseudo-incorporated
- constrained linear order


## Conflicting c-command diagnostics in nominalizations:

- reciprocals: ABS theme c-commands ERG external argument (analogous to finite clauses)
- linear order: ERG external argument c-commands ABS theme


## The proposal:

- Nominalizations have high ABS syntax, like finite clauses.
- The lower copy of raised ABS is pronounced due to constraints on licensing.


## Roadmap:

2 Background on West Circassian clause structure
3 Morphosyntax of nominalizations
4 Pseudo-noun incorporation and licensing
5 Conclusion and implications

## 2 Background on West Circassian clause structure

- polysynthesis (Kumakhov 1964, Kumakhov \& Vamling 2009; Testelets 2009; Korotkova \& Lander 2010; Lander \& Letuchiy|2010; Lander 2017, Lander \& Testelets|2017, inter alia):
 1SG.ABS- DIR- 2SG.IO- BEN- 3PL.IO- DAT- 3SG.ERG- CAUS- see -PST
'He showed me to them for your sake.' (Korotkova \& Lander 2010 301)
- ergativity in verbal indexing
(3) $\mid$ Absolutive- $\mid$ Applied object- Applicative- $\mid$ Ergative- $\mid$
- possessors are cross-referenced on the noun:
(4) $\mathbf{s}$-šəə $\chi^{w}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ ххе

1SG.PR-sister.PL.ABS
'my sisters'
(5) t-jə-в ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ әпев ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ әхет

1PL.PR-POSS-neighbor.PL.OBL
'our neighbors'

- ergativity in case marking
absolutive -r: subject of intransitive verb 6a) theme of transitive verb 6 b )
oblique - $m$ : agent of transitive verb 6 b )
applied objects 6 cc
possessors 6d
complements of postpositions 6 e)
(6) a. mə pŝâ̂e-r(ABS) jane paje $\emptyset$-qaŝwe this girl-ABS 3PL.PR+mother for 3ABS-dance 'The girl is dancing for her mother.'
b. sjəpŝaŝexe-m(ERG) nəs $\chi$ apexe-r(ABS) $\varnothing$-a-fepaвex

1SG.PR.girl.PL-OBL doll.PL-ABS 3ABS-3PL.ERG-dress.PST.PL
'My daughters dressed the dolls.'
c. mə č̣’ale-r(ABS) bere jəRahəlxe-m(IO) telefonc̣̆'e
this boy-ABS much 3SG.PR.relative.PL-OBL telephone.INS
Ø-a-fe-tjewe
3ABS-3PL.IO-BEN-hit.PRES
'This boy calls (lit. rings for) his relatives on the telephone a lot.'
d. pŝaŝe-m Ø-jə-pŝeŝes ${ }^{w}$
e. mə $\hat{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{w}}$ əzə-m paje girl-OBL 3SG.PR-POSS-female.friend this woman-OBL for 'the girl's friend' 'for this woman'

- Indefinite nouns, possessed nouns in the singular, proper names and personal pronouns are generally unmarked for case (Arkadiev et al. 2009:51-52; Arkadiev \& Testelets 2019).
- High absolutive syntax, based on anaphor binding and parasitic gaps
(Ershova|2019, 2021, to appear )


## Reciprocals:

- covert anaphor triggers specialized agreement on the verb without changing valency or case frame

| (...) a-xe-me | zanč̣'-ew | $\emptyset$ | zewəže |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| that-PL-PL.OBL | direct-ADV | (rec) | all |

Ø- ze- r- a- $\quad{ }^{\text {w }}$ etež’əš'təre 3ABS- REC.IO- DAT- 3PL.ERG- tell.IPF.PST
‘They certainly told the whole truth to each other.' (Rogava \& Keraševa 1966:274)

- absolutive theme binds ergative agent, and not vice versa
(8)

b. tə- zere- 入ев ${ }^{\text {w }}$-ь

1PL.ABS- REC.ERG- see -PST
'We saw each other.'
c. * ze(re)- t- $\quad \lambda е$ е $^{\text {w }}$ ә-ь
REC.ABS- 1PL.ERG- See -PST
Intended: 'We saw each other.'
$\Rightarrow$ ABS theme c-commands ERG agent.
(9)


## 3 Morphosyntax of nominalizations

### 3.1 Basic morphosyntax

- Non-derived nominals: modifiers and complements incorporated, $\phi$-agreement with possessor

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (10) } & \text { ja- } \quad \text { xebze- bzəpұe } \\
\text { 3PL.POSS- rule- example } \\
& \text { 'their legal example' (Ershova|2020;431) }
\end{array}
$$

- Nominalizations: no verbal $\phi$-agreement or licensing, arguments licensed as possessor or incorporated

[^0]'I like to watch other people wash dishes.'

## finite clause

b. * [pŝaŝe-m labe-xe-r thac̣̆’ə-nə] -r girl-OBL dish-PL-ABS wash-NML -ABS
səg ${ }^{\text {w }}$ rjehə
I like
Intended: 'I like the girl's washing of dishes.'
nominalization
c. pŝaŝe-m Ø- jə- leвe- thaç̌’ə -n səg ${ }^{\text {w }}$ rjehə girl-OBL 3SG.PR- POSS- dish- wash -NML I like 'I like the girl's dish-washing.'

- Structure up to TP
$\checkmark$ causative and applicative morphology
(12) zawe-m $\quad$ - $\quad$ јə- $\quad$ xebze- нe- $\quad \mathbf{k}^{w}$ edə -ç̣’е war-OBL 3SG.PR- POSS- rule- CAUS- perish -NML
'the war's destruction (lit. causing to perish) of traditions' (Ershova| 2020:449)
(13) ja- haẑ ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \partial-$ de- $\quad \check{3} \mathrm{eg}^{\mathrm{w}} \partial-\underset{\text {-č'e }}{ }$

3PL.POSS- puppy- COM- play -NML
'their manner of playing with puppies'
$\checkmark$ temporal adverbs
(14) [ mafe-qes wjə- $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{w}}$ วčan- $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{n}\right]$ sjezeš'әь day-every 2 2sG.poss- store- go -NML I'm tired
'I'm tired of your going to the store every day.'
(15) mafe-qes *(Ø-k $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{re}\right)$ pjerjedač
day-each 3ABS-go-PRES broadcast
'every day program' (incompatible with non-derived nominals)
$\checkmark$ binding by high absolutive - note position of REC prefix!
$\Rightarrow$ absolutive moves to Spec,TP!
(16)
a. mə çəf-xe-r
Ø- qe-zere- be- $\hat{s}^{\mathrm{w}}$ ež'วx
this person-PL-ABS ABS- DIR- REC.ERG- CAUS- dance.PL
'These people are making each other dance.'
finite clause

'their manner of making each other dance'
nominalization

Summary: nominalizations include full TP with high ABS c-commanding ERG.
(17)


### 3.2 Constrained linear order in nominalizations

(18) Ordering Constraint on Arguments in Nominalization:

The internal argument must appear closer to the verbal root than the external argument. (Ershova|2020:459)

- ERG-ABS verb: ERG precedes ABS
(19) $\quad$ ERG $=$ possessor; $\mathrm{ABS}=$ pseudo-incorporated NP
a. mə pŝaŝe-m(ERG) lave-xe-r(ABS) Ø-j-e-thaç̌əə
this girl-OBL dish-PL-ABS 3ABS-3SG.ERG-PRES-wash
'This girl is washing the dishes.'
b. pŝaŝe-m Ø-jə-leвe-thač̣'ə-c̣'e sag ${ }^{\text {w }}$ rjehə
girl-OBL 3SG.PR-POSS-dish-wash-NML I like
'I like the girl's manner of dish-washing.'
c. \# lave-me ja-pŝeŝe-thaç̣’’-ç'e ${ }^{\prime}$ səg ${ }^{\text {w }}$ rjehə dish-PL.OBL 3PL.PR+POSS-girl-wash-NML I like
Intended: 'I like the girls' manner of washing dishes.'
\#'I like the dishes' manner of washing girls' (Ershova|2020)
(20) ERG and ABS = pseudo-incorporated NPs
a. pŝeŝe- leвe- thaç̌'ə -ç̣'e -r
girl- dish- wash -NML -ABS
'girls' dish-washing'
b. \# lewe- pŝeŝe- thač̣'ə -ç̣'e -r dish- girl- wash -NML -ABS Intended: 'girls' dish-washing' \#‘dishes' girl-washing' (Ershova|2020)
- Compare ABS-IO verb: ABS precedes IO
(21) $\mathrm{ABS}=$ possessor; $\mathrm{IO}=$ pseudo-incorporated NP
a. mə pŝaŝe-r(ABS) hač̣'e-me(IO) Ø-ja-že
this girl-ABS guest-PL.OBL 3ABS-3PL.IO+DAT-wait
'This girl is waiting for the guests.'
b. marə haç̌'e-me ja-pŝeŝe-je-ža-ṗe
here guest-PL.OBL 3PL.PR+POSS-girl-DAT-wait-NML
'Here is the place for the guests' waiting for the girl.'
*'Here is the place for the girls' waiting for the guests.' (Ershova|2020)
Generalization: external argument precedes internal argument.
Based on linear order constraints, ERG c-commands ABS in nominalizations.
Contrast with reciprocal binding!

The puzzle:
ABS is interpreted high for reciprocal binding, but pronounced low.
(22)


The proposal: ABS must surface low to be licensed under adjacency with the verb.

## 4 Pseudo-noun incorporation and licensing

### 4.1 Pseudo-incorporation in DPs

Ershova (2020): incorporated complements and modifiers in DP are pseudo-incorporated because DP phase is mapped to single phonological word.
(23) adəya- bze -m $\quad$ - jə- $[t x e \quad-n]-\quad$ xebze- gwəš'ə ${ }^{\text {º }}-\lambda$ Adyghe- language -OBL 3SG.PR- POSS- [write -NML]- rule- word -PLACE 'the orthographic (lit. writing rule) dictionary (lit. place for words) of the Adyghe language'


Modeled with Match Theory (Selkirk|2011):
(25) Classic Match Theory constraints (Selkirk|2011;439):
a. Match Clause:

A clause in syntactic constituent structure must be matched by a corresponding prosodic constituent [...] in phonological representation.
b. Match phrase:

A phrase in syntactic constituent structure must be matched by a corresponding prosodic constituent [...] in phonological representation.
c. MATCH WORD:

A word in syntactic constituent structure must be matched by a corresponding prosodic constituent [...] in phonological representation.
(26) MATCH PHASE(-TO-WORD):

A phase in syntactic constituent structure must be matched by a prosodic word in phonological representation. (inspired by Compton \& Pittman 2010, Barrie \& Mathieu |2016)

Evidence for pseudo-incorporation (rather than syntactic head movement):

1. Not limited to complements:
(27) Ø- jə- zə- šolk- 弓̌ene- daxe -r

3SG.PR- POSS- one- silk- dress- pretty -ABS
'one beautiful dress of hers' (Lander |2017:84)

In verbal nominalizations, external arguments may be pseudo-incorporated:
(28) pŝeŝe- lere- thac̣̆'ə -ç̣'e -r
girl- dish- wash -NML -ABS
'girls' dish-washing'
2. Incorporated elements may include their own modifiers:
(29)
a. [č'ərbəš' '-fəž']- wəne -r [brick -white]- house -ABS 'the house of white bricks' (Lander|2017; 83)
b. š'e -[?aṣ̂ə -š'e] -fabe -r milk -[sweet -too] -warm -ABS 'the warm milk that is too sweet' (Lander 2017; 85)
3. Incorporated elements may be coordinated:
 footwear- and- clothes- shop -PL -ABS
'shops of shoes and clothes' (Lander |2017;93)

Nominalizations are verbal constituents embedded in a DP
$\Rightarrow$ subject to DP syntax-to-PF mapping rules.
Incorporated arguments are pronounced as part of nominalization due to phase-to-word mapping.

Pseudo-incorporation is a type of nominal licensing (Massam|2001; Levin|2015; Van Urk 2020 Branan 2021, a.o.) $\quad \Rightarrow$ constrained by both phonology and syntax.

### 4.2 Pseudo-noun incorporation as licensing

Nominals must be licensed:

1. Through $\phi$-agreement (Kalin 2019) (or case assignment, cf. Levin 2015; Branan 2021 et al.), or
2. By adjacency to selecting head (Levin 2015).
(31) An NP is licensed by adjacency iff:
a. The head of $\mathrm{NP}\left(\mathrm{N}^{0}\right)$ is linearly adjacent to the head that selects it (e.g. $\mathrm{V}^{0}$ or $\left.v^{0}\right)$ or
(Levin|2015; Branan|2021).
b. NP is pronounced as part of the same phonological word as the head that assigns its thetarole (per standard syntax-to-PF mapping rules) $\prod^{\prod}$ and
NP is pronounced adjacent to the projection of the head that selects it (e.g. $v^{0}, v^{\prime}$ or $v \mathbf{P}=v$ in Bare Phrase Structure).
$\Rightarrow$ Pseudo-incorporated NP must be pronounced in its theta-position.

- ERG external argument is selected by $v^{0}$ : ERG NP is licensed by adjacency to $v^{0} / v^{\prime}$ :
(32)

(33) [dp [np pŝeŝe ]- [ $v^{\prime}$ lebe- thac̣̆'ə -c̣̆'e -r ] ]
girl- dish- wash -NML -ABS
'girls' dish-washing'

[^1]- ABS internal argument is selected by $\mathrm{V}^{0}$ : ABS NP is licensed by adjacency to $\mathrm{V}^{0}$
$\Rightarrow$ ABS NP must be pronounced in its base position and cannot be pronounced in Spec,TP.

(35) * [dp [тp [np lere ]- pŝê̂e- [vp $t_{\mathrm{ABs}}$ thac̣̆'ə ] -ç̣'e -r dish- girl- wash -NML -ABS
Intended: 'girls' dish-washing'


## Summary:

- Arguments in nominalizations are pronounced in their theta-positions to be licensed by adjacency.
- $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ moves high in nominalizations, but surfaces low.
$\rightarrow$ confirmed by two-place unaccusatives (next subsection)
(You might ask: But what if the ABS theme is a full DP? $\rightarrow$ See Appendix.)


### 4.3 Licensing in theta-position confirmed by two-place unaccusatives

Two-place unaccusatives: small class of experiencer-theme predicates where the theme and experiencer display symmetrical behavior.
E.g. $\check{s}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {w }}$ әрšen 'forget': experiencer $=$ LOC; theme $=\mathrm{ABS}$
(36) Theme- Experiencer-
sә- p-š’ә- в ${ }^{\text {ww } ә р s ̌ a ~-в ~}$
SG.ABS- 2SG.IO-LOC- forget -PST
'You forgot about me.'

1. symmetry in reflexive binding (Ershova 2019, to appear $\left.\right|^{2}$
а. zə- s- š’ə- в ${ }^{\text {wəәрše -ž’ə -ь }}$
REFL.ABS-1SG.IO- LOC- forget -RE -PST
b. sə- z- š’ə- в ${ }^{\text {w}}$ əрše -ž’ə -к

1SG.ABS- REFL.IO- LOC- forget -RE -PST
'I forgot about myself (e.g. when serving food).'
ABS $>\mathbf{I O} \mid \mathbf{I O}>A B S$
2. symmetry in being controlled PRO (Ershova| 2019):


3ABS-1SG.IO-LOC-forget-MOD-ADV 3ABS-DAT-1SG.ERG-PRES-CAUS-begin
'I am starting to forget what happened to me in my childhood.'
LOC $=\mathbf{P R O}$
b. $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \partial$ ̌̌' $^{\prime}$ Pe-ç̌'əha-xe-m $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ERG})$ [cP $\mathrm{PRO}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{ABS})$
word-long-PL-OBL

3ABS-1 1 SG.IO-LOC-forget-MOD-ADV 3ABS-DAT- 3PL.ERG-CAUS-begin-PST
'Long words are beginning to be forgotten (by me).'
ABS $=\mathbf{P R O}$

Ershova (to appear): symmetry is result of optional ABS movement to Spec,ApplP (McGinnis 2000, 2001)
(39) a. LOC experiencer c-commands ABS $\Rightarrow$ LOC can bind ABS and be PRO


[^2]b. ABS moves to Spec,ApplP $\Rightarrow$ ABS c-commands LOC
$\Rightarrow$ ABS can bind LOC and be PRO


ABS raising is within $v \mathrm{P}$ (Ershova 2019, to appear)
$\Rightarrow$ If linear order is simply about c-command within $v \mathrm{P}$, both orders should be possible:
(40)
a. $\quad \mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{Loc}}-\mathbf{N P}_{\mathrm{Abs}}-$ verb
$\checkmark$ confirmed
b. * $\mathbf{N P}_{\mathrm{Abs}}-\mathrm{NP}_{\text {Loc }}$-verb $X$ not confirmed
(41)
a. mə ć̣ale-m(LOC) Ø-jə-nane-xe-r(ABS)
this boy-OBL 3SG.PR-POSS-grandmother-PL-ABS
Ø-Ø-š’ә-в" әрšа-ве-х
3ABS-3SG.IO-LOC-forget-PST-PL
'This boy forgot his grandmothers.'
b. č̣’ale-m Ø-jə-nene-š’ә-нwәрše-n səš'eš'əne boy-OBL 3SG.PR-POSS-grandmother-LOC-forget-NML I fear
'I am afraid of the boy's forgetting grandmothers.'
$\checkmark$ LOC-ABS-verb
*'I am afraid of the grandmothers' forgetting the boy.' Ershova 2020) *ABS-LOC-verb
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ must be pronounced in its theta-position (complement of V) 40a).
(42) $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ is licensed by adjacency to V :


$$
w=\mathbf{N P}_{\mathrm{Loc}}-\mathbf{N P}_{\mathrm{ABS}}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{Appl}-(\ldots)
$$

## Summary:

- NP arguments may be licensed via pseudo-noun incorporation.
- In order to be licensed:

1. an NP must be pronounced in same phonological word as the head that selects it, and
2. be linearly adjacent to the projection of the head that selects it.
$\Rightarrow$ Pseudo-incorporated NPs are obligatorily pronounced in their theta-positions, reflecting the order of merge, rather than surface c-command relations.

## 5 Conclusion

The apparent mismatch in c-command relations in nominalizations results from constraints on spellout and licensing:

- NP arguments of nominalized verbs must be licensed by adjacency.
- Licensing by adjacency forces pronunciation of the lowest copy of the argument in its base theta-position.
- Order constraints on arguments in nominalizations reflect base order of merge;
reciprocal binding reflects surface c-command.


## Connections:

- Lower copy pronunciation is predicted by the copy theory of movement (Chomsky 1993 et seq.)
- PF constraints may force lower copy pronunciation, e.g. subjects in Serbo-Croatian pronounced low to satisfy prosodic requirements on focus (Stjepanović 2007).
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## A Licensing DPs in nominalizations and refining adjacency

- DPs have one $\phi$-agreeing/case assigning probe - Poss $^{0}$.
- A DP can contain at most one DP argument, licensed as a possessor.

In nominalizations:

- The thematically higher argument (e.g. ERG) may be licensed as a possessor DP.
- The thematically lower argument (e.g. ABS theme) may not be licensed as a possessor DP.
(43)
a. pŝaŝe-m Ø-jə-leвe-thaç̌'ə-c̣'e səg ${ }^{\text {w }}$ rjehə girl-OBL 3SG.PR-POSS-dish-wash-NML I like
'I like the girl's manner of dish-washing.'
b. \# lase-me ja-pŝeŝe-thaç̣’ə-ç̣’e səg ${ }^{\text {w }}$ rjehə dish-PL.OBL 3PL.PR+POSS-girl-wash-NML I like
Intended: 'I like the girls' manner of washing dishes.'
\#'I like the dishes' manner of washing girls'
But ABS c-commands ERG! - Why is (43b) bad?


## Hypothesis:

- Per syntax-to-PF rules, DP phase is mapped to a prosodic unit = phonological word.
- $\mathrm{DP}_{\mathrm{ABS}}$ interferes with adjacency because [ DP is interpreted as a prosodic boundary even if DP is unpronounced.

Derivation of 43b):
(44)


## Revised definition of adjacency:

(45) An NP is licensed by adjacency iff:

NP is pronounced as part of the same phonological word as the head that assigns its thetarole, i.e. there is no prosodic boundary between NP and the selecting head. and NP is pronounced adjacent to the projection of the head that selects it.
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[^0]:    a. [adre-me(ERG) lase-r(ABS) Ø-zer-a-thač̌’ərem
    sjep入ənər other-PL.OBL dish-abs 3ABS-FCT-3PL.ERG-wash.PRES.obl to watch səg $^{\mathrm{w}}$ rjehə
    I like

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. ARGUMENT- $\Phi$ (Clemens 2014; Clemens \& Coon 2018).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Reciprocal binding does not display this type of symmetry:
    ABS theme must bind LOC experiencer; see Ershova (to appear ) for details.

