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## For Wednesday, 5/19

1. Reading: Velupillai 2012, Ch.9, pp. 244-257 (up to 9.2)
2. Discussion post

## Plan for today

- defining case, case inventories
- case and argument alignment


## Basic example of case forms

- Pronouns in English appear in different forms based on their syntactic role.

| I saw you. | subject | nominative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| You saw me. | object | accusative |
| You saw my dog. | possessor | genitive |

- I, me, my are different case forms of the first person pronoun.


## Definition of case

- a grammatical category
- expressed by inflectional morphology
- represents syntactic information - the type of relationship a dependent has with its syntactic head
= government
Example: syntactic role such as subject or object
- nominal category: may be expressed on nouns, pronouns and their modifiers (determiners, numerals, adjectives)


## Discussion question

Marking object/subject versus marking location, motion or instrument. Why are all these considered the same thing?

- marking subject/object
$\rightarrow$ grammatical case
- marking location/motion/instrument/etc. $\rightarrow$ semantic case


## Grammatical case

- case that is used to mark a syntactic role is called grammatical case (= structural / core case)
- there's no clear meaning associated with it
E.g. in English:
- nominative on subjects
- accusative on objects
- genitive on possessors


## Semantic case

- correlates with semantic roles (= thematic / theta-roles)
- Examples of semantic roles:
, theme / patient
, agent
, cause
, experiencer
, instrument
, location
, companion
, beneficiary
grammatical cases

$I$

## Semantic case and their extensions

- case markers are assigned labels based on 'prototypical' function
E.g. locative
= location
instrumental = instrument
- cases are rarely strictly semantic
, reason to consider semantic case alongside grammatical case


## Extensions of semantic case

## Tanti Dargwa (Northeast Caucasian)

SUPER = superlative

- locative use of superlative

| du | dirq'a-ja | q.a'a $^{\text {a }}$ nnada |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I | meadow-SUPER | I am going |
| 'I am going to the meadow.' (= to the top of the meadow) |  |  |

- non-locative use of superlative

| rurs:i | nex a-ja | š:uruqun |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| girl | pigeon-SUPER | transformed |
| 'The girl transformed into a pigeon.' |  |  |

Sumbatova N.R. and Lander Yu.A. 2014. Darginskij govor selenija Tanty.
Grammatičeskij očerk. Voprosy sintaksisa. Studia Philologica.

## Extensions of semantic case

## Russian

- prototypical (semantic) use of instrumental case

| Ja | r $^{\mathrm{j}}$ ezu | xljeb- $\varnothing$ | no3-om. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | am cutting | bread-ACC | knife-INSTR |
| 'I am cutting the bread with a knife.' |  |  |  |

- grammatical use of instrumental: object of preposition

| Xljeb- $\varnothing$ | jezit | pod | noz-om. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bread-NOM | is lying | under | knife-INSTR |
| 'The bread is lying under the knife.' |  |  |  |

## Case markers or something else?

- it is often difficult to distinguish between case marking and adpositions


## Samoan:

| le maile | a le tama |
| :--- | ---: |
| the dog | of the boy |
| 'the boy's | dog' |


| l-a-na <br> the-of-3SG <br> (his/herdog' |
| :--- |


| Na va'ai $\quad$ le tama | i le maile. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| PAST see $\quad$ the boy | OBL the dog |
| 'The boy saw the dog.' |  |

## prepositions?

+ appear as separate word


## case proclitics?

+ mark syntactic roles
+ look like a prefix in pronouns


## Counting up cases can be difficult

West Circassian: described as having 2, 3, 4 or 5 case markers.

- undisputed case suffixes: absolutive and ergative

| $\text { p_da } \int_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{m}$ <br> girl-ERG | ћa-r <br> dog-ABS | ә孔ек ${ }^{\text {² }}$ б <br> she saw it |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'The girl saw the dog.' |  |  |

ABS=absolutive; ERG=ergative; GEN=genitive; OBL = oblique.

- may separate two (or more) distinct uses of -m: ERG, OBL and GEN

| p $\int_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{a} \int_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{r}$ | wəne-m $\quad$ qek'wab |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| girl-ABS | house-OBL | went |
| 'The girl went to the house.' |  |  |


| p $\int_{\mathrm{D}} a \int_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{m}$ | jəha |
| :--- | :--- |
| girl-GEN | her dog |
| 'the girl's dog' |  |

## Counting up cases can be difficult

West Circassian: 2-3 "peripheral" / semantic cases

- look like suffixes
- (generally) used on adjuncts (= non-obligatory elements)
- have some morphological and syntactic properties of postpositions


## Example: instrumental

| qame-r | se | Re- $\overline{t c}$ 'e | sРәьәь |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| dagger-ABS | I | hand-INSTR | I held it |
| 'I held the dagger with my hand.' |  |  |  |

## How do we decide what a case is?

## Iggesen 2013:

- "Case is a system of marking dependent nouns for the type of relationship they bear to their heads."
- "Categories with pragmatic (non-syntactic) functions, such as vocatives or topic markers, are not counted as case even if they are morphologically integrated into case paradigms."
- Other strategies of marking syntactic role (e.g. word order) are not case, but not less valid or salient.


## Why is it so hard to distinguish case from adposition?

- Case markers and adpositions tend to be historically related.
- Case affixes develop out of adpositions.
- If the transition is currently in progress, a case/adposition marker may have some properties of an affix and some properties of an adposition.
E.g. in West Circassian: core cases generally do not combine with pronouns, but instrumental does ( = adpositional property)

| se | ћa-r | səłекəь |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I(ERG) | dog-ABS | I saw it |
| 'The girl saw the dog.' |  |  |



## QUESTION

In the typological perspective, what are the consequences of classifying an element as a case marker versus an adposition?

## Typology of case inventories

Value Representation
No morphological case-marking ..... 100
2 case categories ..... 23
3 case categories ..... 9
4 case categories ..... 9
5 case categories ..... 12
6-7 case categories ..... 37

- 8-9 case categories ..... 23
- 10 or more case categories ..... 24
Exclusively borderline morphological case-marking ..... 24


## Very small case systems

## Mapudungan (Araucanian): 2 cases

- no marker / zero marker for arguments that are indexed on the verb

| iñche' $\quad$ ñi ñuke | ngüma-y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I $\quad$ my mother | cry-3 |
| 'my mother cried' |  |

*indexing = theory-neutral for head-marking agreement

- instrumental for everything else

| aneltufin | kiñe | kuchillo-mew |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| I threated him $\quad$ one | knife-INSTR |  |
| 'I threatened him with a knife' |  |  |

## Very small case inventories

Common distinctions:

- core participants (arguments) versus optional/peripheral participants (adjuncts)
- basic syntactic role distinctions: subject versus object versus possessor
- more intricate distinctions between types of adjuncts (location, manner, instrument, etc.) are more likely in large inventories


## Very large case systems

## Icari Dargwa (Northeast Caucasian): 6 non-locative case forms

'goat'
q'atsa
q'atsa -l
q'atsa -la
q'atsa -ts:illi
q'atsa -j -tjilla
q'atsa -j -ibli
(q'atsa -j)
absolutive
ergative
genitive
comitative 'with smth'
contentive 'about smth'
instrumental
superlative 'movt towards the top of'

Discussion question: How do you memorize all these cases?

## ACTIVITY

Below is the paradigm of locative case forms in Icari Dargwa. Identify the morphemes responsible for each meaning component and the order they appear in.

| q'atsa 'goat' | 'movt to' | 'static' | 'movt <br> towards' | 'movt from' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'on' | q'atsaj | q'atsajib | q'atsajibal | q'atsajir |
| 'under' | q'atsayu | q'atsayub | q'atsayubal | q'atsayur |
| 'in front of' | q'atsasa | q'atsasab | q'atsasabal | q'atsasar |
| 'behind' | q'atsaha | q'atsahab | q'atsahabal | q'atsahar |
| 'inside' | q'atsats:i | q'atsats:ib | q'atsats. $: i b a l ~$ | q'atsats:ir |

## (slide left intentionally blank)

## Icari Dargwa: 20 locative case forms

- predictable morpheme/meaning combinations
- composed agglutinatively by stacking suffixes: location + movement

| q'atsa 'goat' | 'movt to' | 'static' | 'movt towards' | 'movt from' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'on' | q'ātsa-j | q'atsa-ji-b | q'ātsa-ji-bal | q'atsa-ji-1. |
| 'under' | q'atsa-४u | q'atsa-yu-b | q'atsa-yu-bal | q'atsa-yu-r |
| 'in front of' | q'atsa-sa | q'atsa-sa-b | q'atsa-sa-bal | q'atsa-sa-r |
| 'behind' | q'atsa-ha | q'atsa-ha-b | q'atsa-ha-bal | q'atsa-ha-r |
| 'inside' |  | q'atsa-tsıíl-b | q'atsa-ts:í-bal | q'atsa-tsıi-r |

## Should each locative combination count as a distinct case?

- largest case inventories are claimed to have up to 53 case values (Tabasaran; Northeast Caucasian)
- but large part of inventory are predictable complex locative forms
- not everyone agrees that each combination should count as a distinct case
- some argue that locative case forms are noun+adposition combinations

