## Board 2

East Deals
N-S Vul

A K 76
$\bigcirc 1043$
$\diamond 64$
\& 109865


A Q 5
© AK9 862
$\diamond$ AK 107
\& 7
A J 10832
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond 985$
\& Q J 42

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schwartz |  | Matthews Jr |  |
|  |  | 10 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond^{1}$ | Pass | $3 \diamond^{2}$ | Pass |
| $30^{3}$ | Pass | $3 \mathrm{NT}^{4}$ | Pass |
| $4 \mathrm{NT}^{5}$ | Pass | 5 ¢6 ${ }^{6}$ | Pass |
| $5 \mathrm{NT}^{7}$ | Pass | $7 \diamond^{8}$ | Pass |
| $7 \mathrm{NT}^{9}$ | All pass |  |  |

1. GF, unless suit rebid.
2. Extra values, GF.
3. Sets hearts as trump, superseding diamonds.
4. Serious slam interest, without 1 st or 2 nd round $\boldsymbol{A}$ control.
5. RKB 0 .
6. 0 or 3 key cards.
7. We have them all; specific kings?
8. We have 13 tricks here.
9. We have 13 tricks here.

7 NT by East
Made 7 - EW +1520

This auction had several highlights, starting with 3 NT. Was my hand good enough to go serious? Maybe, maybe not. But serious without a spade control? Definitely.

Gary could tell that I had strong holdings in the red suits, so with both black suits under control, he took charge. In spite of queen-high holdings in the reds, he was required to bid 5 NT to tell me we had all $5+1$ key cards, even though he would probably not bid a grand slam himself. (It's best to bid grand slams that you expect to make at least $75 \%$ of the time - too much risk opponents won't even find the small slam.)

From my side, I could tell that Gary must have the red queens to be taking charge. I counted six hearts, four diamonds, two black aces, and one ruff ( 13 tricks), so I bid $7 \diamond$. Gary could tell my jump was based on a sixth heart or fifth diamond and the undisclosed $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. With ten red tricks plus three black tricks, he converted to 7 NT, for a matchpoint top.

## Board 4

West Deals
Both Vul

Short Suit Game Try
A J 7654
$\circ 6$
$\diamond$ A 7
\& A J 1093


N 3A; EW 30; EW 4®; S 2A; NS 3\&; NS 1N; Par-130

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Schwartz | Maselli | Matthews Jr | Malley |
| Pass | Pass | 10 | Pass |
| $20^{1}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\rho \&}^{2}$ | Pass |
| 40 | All pass |  |  |

1. Promises 2-3 winners (no more, no less).
2. Short-suit game try (singleton or void). 40 by East

Gary and I play 3-way game tries. The next step ( $2 \wedge$ here) would be a nondescript game try: responder decides the issue immediately with scattered values - clearly a rejection on this West hand - or bids a secondary value such as Kxx or Qxx. A new suit (2 NT for spades) shows a singleton or void in the bid suit. We tend to use this only when holding six trumps, to avoid tipping a forcing defense (see below). The re-raise asks for trumps and aces.

When trying for game, we first decide whether the hand is indeed worth a game try. This time for East, not so much; but the hand has only six losers, and we were vulnerable at IMPs. The SSGT is by far the most accurate, so I used it, even though I knew it would tip the defense. (With 5 losers, I would have jumped to game.)

Gary promised me two to three winners, and he clearly has only two ( $\vee \mathrm{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{\wedge} \mathrm{Q}$ ). However, he figured out almost exactly what I had, and decided to take a shot at game - basically counting $\diamond \mathrm{J} 832$ as a winner. The standard rule is to strain to invite, and accept normally, when vulnerable at IMPs, so the normal action would be to sign off in 30 .

John Malley, one of the top players in New England, was thrilled to be told how to defend. I ruffed the $\% \mathrm{~K}$ and led a diamond. I ruffed the next club, and led another diamond, splitting the suit. After ruffing the third club, I cashed the $\odot \mathrm{A}$, and led my last trump to the $\odot \mathrm{K}$. I tried to claim ten tricks on a heart split. Not so fast! As John puts it, I was two in the glue.

If all that were required were a 2-2 diamond split, game would be $40 \%$, a worthy target when vulnerable at IMPs. However, the need for a trump split reduces that chance to less than $30 \%$. (In 30 , nine tricks can be made by ruffing out the fourth club.)

