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What is MINERνA?

Main INjector ExpeRiment ν–A

MINERνA is a high resolution neutrino cross section experiment in the NuMI beamline upstream of the MINOS near detector.

Goal is to measure exclusive and inclusive neutrino cross sections in the energy range of 1-20 GeV with greatly improved precision, and on several nuclei.

Has a fully active core of scintillator used as both a target and for tracking.

Targets of scintillator (C-H), He, C, water, Fe, and Pb.
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A collaboration of about 100 nuclear and particle physicists from 22 institutions
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The NuMI Beamline

MINOS

MINERνA

pC $\rightarrow \pi^{+/-} \rightarrow \mu^{+/-}(\nu/\bar{\nu})$
The Detector

120 modules of tracker, targets, and calorimetry

Helium and Water targets coming later in 2011
Tracking Module

Outer hadron calorimetry
(steel + 4 layers scint.)

Inner EM calorimetry
(2mm Pb between modules)

Tracking detectors
127 strips per plane, 2 planes per module
Tracking Detectors

Extruded plastic scintillator + wavelength shifters.

Triangular geometry allows charge sharing for better position resolution.

64 anode PMT's

16.7 mm

17 mm

Three views for 3D reconstruction.

Iron outer detector instrumented for EM calorimetry.

fully active tracker

CAL
MINERνA Detector
Passive Targets

- Liquid Helium
- Fe 2.5 cm/Pb 2.5 cm
- C 7.6 cm Fe 2.5 cm Pb 2.5 cm
- Pb 0.8 cm
- Fe 1.3 cm/Pb 1.3 cm
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Muon Detection

The MINOS near detector serves as a forward muon spectrometer.

Energy threshold ~2 GeV

Good angular acceptance up to scattering angles of about 10 degrees, with limit of about 20 degrees

Muons stopped in MINERvA can also be used, but no charge determination. Studies presented today use only events with muon in MINOS matched to muon in MINERvA.
Data Taking since 10/2009

55% of full detector

Full detector 3/2010-present
MINERνA has been running with high efficiency and collected data in both the neutrino and antineutrino mode.
Sample events

QE candidate

DIS candidate

Resonance candidate
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Inclusive ratio

One of our first goals is to measure the inclusive cross section ratios of various nuclei. Ratio depends both on the relative neutron to proton cross section, and possible nuclear modifications to the total cross section.

Expected ratios per kg for $E_\nu > 2$ GeV

Pb/Fe = 1.04, Pb/CH = 1.10, Fe/CH = 1.05

Analysis done with neutrino data, on most downstream Pb/Fe target
Event Selection

(1) Require that there is one muon reconstructed in MINOS and matched in MINERvA

(2) Require that the event vertex is in the target or in the first module downstream of the target

   US Cut: vertex must be DS of center of 1st plane US of target
   DS Cut: vertex must be US of center of 3rd plane DS of target

(3) Require that the event vertex is inside the 85 cm fiducial apothem
x-y tracking to plane is good, MC indicates that misidentification of target is a very small effect.

Color indicates actual target

Position of triangle indicates MC location of vertex

Only about 0.1% identified in wrong target
Background Subtraction

The location of vertex is more difficult to determine.

With one track not possible to distinguish from downstream scintillator and target.

Multiple track vertex location uncertainty can be comparable to target thickness.

Background subtracting by finding events/plane downstream and extrapolating to nuclear target.
Breakdown of events from “Fe” from MC
Data-MC comparison for most downstream iron target. No background subtraction.
Making the Fe/Pb ratio also requires correcting for the acceptance difference for muons into MINOS, and subtracting the scintillator background.

Making double ratio \( \frac{\text{Fe}/\text{CH}}{\text{Pb}/\text{CH}} \) of each nucleus to same area scintillator cancels (largely) acceptance difference.
Comparison of Reference Targets

Acceptance correction works well. Analysis of Pb/Fe still underway.
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Quasi-elastic cross section

Quasi-elastic scattering is the two body neutrino-nucleon reaction with a muon in the final state.

\[ \nu n \rightarrow \mu^- p \quad \bar{\nu} p \rightarrow \mu^+ n \]

Cross section is a function of EM form factors (determined from electron scattering) and the axial form factor, generally parameterized as:

\[
F_A = \frac{-g_A}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_A^2}\right)^2}
\]

\( g_A \) determined from neutron beta decay

\( M_A \) fit to scattering data
Recent MiniBooNE data for QE scattering on carbon appears inconsistent with NOMAD data. MiniBooNE is about 30% higher than expected, and is best fit with $M_A$ of 1.35, vs 1.03 for NOMAD.

See talk by Arie Bodek on this topic later today.

From Aguilar-Arevalo et al PRD 81, 092005 (2010)
Event Selection for $\bar{\nu}$ QE

Require 1 positive muon in MINOS matched to MINERvA

Recoil energy is nominally $Q^2/2m_{\text{prot}}$ – require recoil energy to be consistent with this value.
Anti-neutrino QE candidates

If elastic kinematics, $E_\nu=2.8$ GeV, $Q^2=0.1$ GeV$^2$

If elastic kinematics, $E_\nu=2.5$ GeV, $Q^2=0.3$ GeV$^2$

30 MeV deposited in single bar. Neutron interaction candidate.
Absolute (POT) normalization

0-3 GeV

3-5 GeV

5-10 GeV

3-5 GeV somewhat lower than MC prediction. Cannot be explained by changing $M_A$
The cause of the discrepancy between MC and data is still uncertain. Further refinement of event selection is underway.

We will continue the QE analysis with data from the full detector runs, and additionally for neutrino data.
The Future

Only a small fraction of data has been analyzed.

Studies QE scattering with neutrinos and on the various nuclear targets are well underway.

Other exclusive channels, including pion production, coherent pion production, and neutral current scattering are being studied.
Summary

MINERvA began data taking with a partially complete detector in October 2009, and with the full detector in March 2010.

Detector is working well and as expected.

Initial QE analysis for anti-neutrinos appears to show smaller cross sections than anticipated. Using $M_A$ extracted from MiniBooNE does not explain the discrepancy.

Many more results coming soon!