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In One-photon exchange approximation, elastic form factors are observables of elastic electron-nucleon scattering

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = S_0 = A(Q^2) + B(Q^2) \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{G_E^2(Q^2) + \tau G_M^2(Q^2)}{1 + \tau} + 2\tau G_M^2(Q^2) \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{\epsilon G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2}{\epsilon (1 + \tau)}, \quad \epsilon = \left[ 1 + 2(1 + \tau) \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^{-1}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{red}} = \epsilon G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2
\]

\[\Rightarrow\text{Determine} \quad |G_E|, \ |G_M|, \ \frac{|G_E|}{|G_M|}\]
Proton Form Factor Ratio

Jefferson Lab 2000

- All Rosenbluth data from SLAC and JLab in agreement
- Dramatic discrepancy between Rosenbluth and recoil polarization technique
- Contribution of multi-photon exchange widely accepted explanation of discrepancy

Dramatic discrepancy!

>800 citations
Definitive determination of contributions beyond single photon exchange

\[ \sigma = (1\gamma)^2 \alpha^2 + (1\gamma)(2\gamma)\alpha^3 + \ldots \]

\[ e^- \leftrightarrow e^+ \Rightarrow \alpha \leftrightarrow -\alpha \]

\[ \sigma(\text{electron-proton}) = (1\gamma)^2 \alpha^2 - (1\gamma)(2\gamma)\alpha^3 + \ldots \]

\[ \sigma(\text{positron-proton}) = (1\gamma)^2 \alpha^2 + (1\gamma)(2\gamma)\alpha^3 + \ldots \]

\[ \frac{\sigma(e^+p)}{\sigma(e^-p)} = 1 + (2\alpha)\frac{2\gamma}{1\gamma} \]
Empirical Extraction of TPE Amplitudes

J. Guttmann, N. Kivel, M. Meziane, and M. Vanderhaeghen, hep-ph/1012.0564v1

~6% effect for OLYMPUS@2 GeV grows with $Q^2$!
Projected OLYMPUS uncertainties

- Luminosity = $2 \times 10^{33}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$
- 500 hours each for $e^+$ and $e^-$
- 2 GeV incident beam energy
- Open symbols denote data from 1960’s
- Many theoretical predictions little constraint
The OLYMPUS Experiment

- Electrons/positrons (100mA) in multi-GeV storage ring DORIS at DESY, Hamburg, Germany

- Unpolarized internal hydrogen target $3 \times 10^{15}$ at/cm$^2$ @ 100 mA → $L = 2 \times 10^{33} / \text{(cm}^2\text{s)}$

- Large acceptance detector for e-p in coincidence: utilize existing BLAST detector from MIT-Bates

- Redundant monitoring of luminosity: Pressure, temperature, flow, current measurements
  Small-angle elastic scattering at high epsilon / low $Q^2$
  Symmetric Moller/Bhabha scattering

- Measure ratio of positron-proton to electron-proton unpolarized elastic scattering to 1% stat.+sys.
The OLYMPUS Detector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_0$</th>
<th>$\theta_e$</th>
<th>$p_{e'}$</th>
<th>$\theta_p$</th>
<th>$p_p$</th>
<th>$Q^2$</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[GeV]</td>
<td>[GeV/c]</td>
<td>[GeV/c]</td>
<td>[GeV/c]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[(GeV/c)$^2$]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>22613100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>4321570</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>1141960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>389822</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>162355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>78744</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>42954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Kinematics for 2.0 GeV beam energy and count estimate per 8° bin for 500 h at 2 $\cdot$ 10$^{33}$ / (cm$^2$s).
The OLYMPUS Magnetic Toroid

MIT and DESY
OLYMPUS Target Chamber and Vacuum System

DORIS quadrupoles

Target Chamber with internal gas target

DORIS quadrupoles

6 turbo pumps
4 NEG pumps

MIT
OLYMPUS Target

Target chamber, beamline, and vacuum system
- installed and operational January, 2011

Ferrara, Italy

Wakefield suppressors
Wire chambers from BLAST  MIT
Wire chambers

• All chambers completely reconditioned
  - shipped to DESY and rewired in summer 2010
  - new windows and seals
  - improved HV distribution
  - better cooling for front-end electronics
• Chambers moved to DORIS hall in April 2011
• Chambers mounted on OLYMPUS detector frame in May
  - gas reconnected
  - HV conditioning underway
  - Test and calibration of cells in progress
Time-of-flight scintillator array

From BLAST detector

Situated behind wire chambers

Provide trigger and relative timing

UNH, Glasgow, and Yerevan
12° GEM and MWPC Luminosity Monitor

- Forward elastic scattering of lepton at 12 degrees in coincidence with proton in main detector
- Two GEM + MWPC telescopes with interleaved elements operated independently
- Scintillator for triggering and timing
- High redundancy – alignment, efficiency

Hampton U., Rome and PNPI
Symmetric Möller Luminosity Monitor

Mainz U.

PbF$_2$ crystals glued to PMT

9 crystal array
DORIS Test Experiment in Feb 2011

DAQ: Bonn U.
Elastic ep test run results

Event distribution from 60 cm long target cell
DORIS storage ring status

• 2 GeV routine operation (5 long bunches) established with optics required for experiment
• Background in test detectors at injection reasonable
• Lifetime with target gas in good agreement with prediction – no difference observed between $e^+$ and $e^-$
• Stored current up to ~ 80 mA
• Fill-to-fill fast lepton sign reversal established
• Excessive wakefield heating of target observed when DORIS is operated in synchrotron radiation mode (2 short bunches) – target redesigned, installed and will be tested in August test run
• Top-up operation to be explored
Schedule

- OLYMPUS experiment approved December 2009
- BLAST toroid and detectors disassembled in spring 2010
- All components shipped to DESY by summer 2010
- Wire chambers rewired in summer 2010
- OLYMPUS toroid reassembled, powered and field mapped in DORIS hall November 2010
- OLYMPUS target system shipped to DESY in November 2010
- Target system installed in DORIS in January 2011
- Test experiment at DORIS installed in December 2010-February 2011, target chamber removed
- Test experiment completed in February 2011
- Test running in May 2011: commissioning of GEM, MWPC amd SYMB at DESY test beam in May-June 2011
- Wire chambers and TOFs, and 12 deg. luminosity monitor moved to DORIS Hall in June 2011
- Roll-in of complete OLYMPUS experiment successfully completed July 15 2011
- Experiment to be commissioned in fall 2011
- Data taking planned for two running blocks in 2012
OLYMPUS experiment installed July 15, 2011
Summary

• Major discrepancy in determination of proton form factor ratio observed at Jefferson Lab
• Contributions beyond single photon exchange in QED description of elastic electron proton scattering believed to be source of discrepancy. However, theoretical guidance only qualitative
• This undermines the ability to extract unambiguously the proton charge and magnetic form-factors
• Definitive experimental determination of contributions beyond single photon exchange demanded
• OLYMPUS experiment designed to provide definitive precise data to determine contribution beyond single photon exchange
• Experiment on schedule to take data in 2012
Radiative Corrections Workshop @ MIT

Saturday, July 30, 2011 in the Kolker Room 26-414

8:30—9:00 Richard Milner MIT
Welcome and Overview

9:00—9:30 Carl Carlson College of William & Mary
Two-photon Corrections using GPDs

9:30—10:00 Nikolay Kivel Helmholtz-Institut, Mainz, Germany
Phenomenological Analysis of Two-photon Exchange Amplitudes
from Elastic ep Scattering

10:00—10:30 Andrei Afanasev JLab
Higher-order Electromagnetic Effects and the C-odd Asymmetry
of Elastic ep Scattering

10:30—11:00 Coffee break

11:00—11:30 Ulf Meissner Bonn U., Germany
Two-photon Corrections from Dispersion Relations

11:30—12:00 Peter Blunden U. of Manitoba, Canada
Review of Two-photon Exchange in Electron Scattering

12:00—13:30 Lunch

13:30—13:50 Robert Bennett ODU
Overview of JLab Experiment

13:50—14:10 Alexander Gramolin INP, Novosibirsk, Russia
Overview of Novosibirsk Experiment

14:10—14:30 Michael Kohl Hampton U.
Overview of OLYMPUS Experiment

14:30—15:00 Coffee break

15:00—17:00 Discussion Moderator: Bill Donnelly (MIT)