FrPNC @ TRIUMF

Atomic Parity Violation in Francium

Seth Aubin

College of William and Mary

PANIC 2011 Conference, MIT





FrPNC collaboration

S. Aubin *(College of William and Mary)*

J. A. Behr, K. P. Jackson, M. R. Pearson *(TRIUMF)*

V. V. Flambaum *(U. of New South Wales, Australia)*

E. Gomez *(U. Autonoma de San Luis Potosi, Mexico)*

G. Gwinner, R. Collister *(U. of Manitoba)*

D. Melconian *(Texas A&M)*

L. A. Orozco, J. Zhang *(U. of Maryland at College Park)*

G. D. Sprouse *(SUNY Stony Brook)*

Y. Zhao *(Shanxi U., China)*

Funding

Atomic Parity Violation: Basic Processes



Standard Electromagnetic Interaction

(parity conserving)



Z^0 exchange

Electron-Nucleon PNC

(nuclear spin-independent)



Intra-nuclear PNC

Anapole moment

(nuclear spin-dependent)

Atomic Parity Violation: Basic Processes



Standard Electromagnetic Interaction

(parity conserving)



Z^0 exchange

Electron-Nucleon PNC

(nuclear spin-independent)



Intra-nuclear PNC

Anapole moment

(nuclear spin-dependent)

Motivation 1:
Nuclear Spin-Independent PNC



Z^0 exchange

Electron-Nucleon PNC

(nuclear spin-independent)

The Hamiltonian for this interaction:

(infinitely heavy nucleon approximation)

$$H_{PNC,\,nsi} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \kappa_1 \gamma_5 \delta(\vec{r})$$

G = Fermi constant = $10^{-5}/m_p^2$

Proton: $\kappa_{1,p} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W\right) \approx 0.04$

Neutron: $\kappa_{1,n} = -0.5$

[Standard Model values for $\kappa_{1,\,(p,n)}$]

Motivation 1:
Nuclear Spin-Independent PNC



Z^0 exchange
Electron-Nucleons PNC
(nuclear spin-independent)

For a **nucleus** with Z protons and N neutrons:

$$H_{PNC,\,nsi} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Q_{weak}}{2} \gamma_5 \, \rho(\vec{r})$$

Q_{weak} = *weak charge of nucleus* $\approx -N$

$= 2(\kappa_{1,p} Z + \kappa_{1,n} N)$

$$\rho(\vec{r}) = \text{nucleon distribution}$$

Motivation 1:
Testing and Probing the Weak Interaction

Parity Violation = Unique Probe of Weak Interaction

Atomic PNC (APV) experiments **test** and **constrain** the Standard Model

Motivation 1:
Testing and Probing the Weak Interaction

Parity Violation = Unique Probe of Weak Interaction

Atomic PNC (APV) experiments **<u>test</u>** and **<u>constrain</u>** the Standard Model



[figure by G. Gwinner, adapted from Erler *et al. Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 073003 (2005)]



[figure from Young *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 122003 (2007)]

Atomic PNC

$$H_{PNC,nsi} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{Q_{weak}}{2} \gamma_5 \rho(\vec{r}) = \boxed{\text{Parity Odd}}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Electron wavefunction does not have a definite parity !!!

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} |\mathrm{S}\rangle \rightarrow |\mathrm{S}\rangle + \varepsilon_{PNC} |\mathrm{P}\rangle \\ |\mathrm{P}\rangle \rightarrow |\mathrm{P}\rangle + \varepsilon_{PNC} |\mathrm{S}\rangle \end{cases}$$

Parity forbidden transitions become possible (slightly) !!!

$$\varepsilon_{PNC,nsi} \propto Z^3 R \sim 10^{-11} \quad (\mathrm{Cs})$$

relativistic enhancement factor

<u>Francium advantage:</u>

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{PNC,nsi}(Fr)}{\varepsilon_{PNC,nsi}(Cs)} \approx 18$$

Motivation 2:
Nuclear Spin-Dependent PNC



Intra-nuclear PNC

Anapole moment



Z^0 exchange
Electron-Nucleon PNC
(vector) (axial)



Hyperfine Interaction
+
NSI - Z^0 exchange
(nuclear spin-dependent)

What's an Anapole Moment ?



Answer:

Electromagnetic moment produced by a toroidal current.

→ Time-reversal conserving.

→ PNC toroidal current.

→ Localized moment, contact interaction.



[A. Weis, U. Fribourg (2003)]

Motivation 2:
Nuclear Anapole Moment



Anapole moment

For heavy atoms, the anapole moment term dominates.

$$H_{PNC,nsd} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{K}{I(I+1)} \kappa_{anapole(p,n)} \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\alpha}\, \rho(\vec{r})$$

Motivation 2:
Nuclear Anapole Moment



Anapole moment

For heavy atoms, the anapole moment term dominates.

$$H_{PNC,nsd} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{K}{I(I+1)} \kappa_{anapole(p,n)} \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\alpha}\, \rho(\vec{r})$$

$$\kappa_{anapole(p,n)} = \frac{9}{10} g_{p,n} \frac{\alpha \mu_{p,n}}{m_p \tilde{r}_0} (Z+N)^{2/3}$$

$K = (I + 1/2)(-1)^{I+1/2+l}$

$I = nuclear\ spin$

$l = valence\ nucleon\ orbital\ angular\ momentum$

$\alpha = 1/137$

$\mu = nucleon\ magnetic\ moment$

$\tilde{r}_0 = 1.2\ \mathrm{fm} = nucleon\ radius$

Motivation 2:
Nuclear Anapole Moment



Anapole moment

For heavy atoms, the anapole moment term dominates.

$$H_{PNC,nsd} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{K}{I(I+1)} \kappa_{anapole(p,n)} \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\alpha}\, \rho(\vec{r})$$

$$\kappa_{anapole(p,n)} = \frac{9}{10} g_{p,n} \frac{\alpha \mu_{p,n}}{m_p \tilde{r}_0} (Z+N)^{2/3}$$

$g_p \sim 4$ and $0.2 < g_n < 1$ characterize the nucleon-nucleus weak potential.

$K = (I + 1/2)(-1)^{I+1/2+l}$

$I = nuclear\ spin$

$l = valence\ nucleon\ orbital\ angular\ momentum$

$\alpha = 1/137$

$\mu = nucleon\ magnetic\ moment$

$\tilde{r}_0 = 1.2\ \text{fm} = nucleon\ radius$

Motivation 2:
Isovector & Isoscalar Nucleon Couplings

Cs anapole (Boulder) and low-energy nuclear PNC measurements produce conflicting constraints on weak meson-nucleon couplings.
(Desplanques, Donoghue, and Holstein model)

Need to understand nuclear structure better.



[Haxton *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **65**, 045502 (2002) and 6Li(n,α) from Vesna Phys. Rev. C **77**, 035501 (2008)]



Motivation 2:
Isovector & Isoscalar Nucleon Couplings

Cs anapole (Boulder) and low-energy nuclear PNC measurements produce conflicting constraints on weak meson-nucleon couplings.
(Desplanques, Donoghue, and Holstein model)

Francium isotopes provide orthogonal constraints !!!



[Haxton *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 045502 (2002) and 6Li(n,α) from Vesna *Phys. Rev. C* **77**, 035501 (2008)]



[Behr and Gwinner, *J. Phys. G* **36**, 033101 (2009)]

<u>Francium advantage:</u>

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{PNC,anapole}(Fr)}{\varepsilon_{PNC,anapole}(Cs)} \approx 11$$

FrPNC program:
Atomic PNC Experiments in Francium

- Fr is the heaviest of the simple (alkali atoms).
  - → Electronic structure is well understood.
  - → Particle/nuclear physics can be reliably extracted.
- Fr has large (relatively) PNC mixing.
  - → $\varepsilon_{PNC} \sim 10^{-10}$ is still really really small … we're going to need a lot of Fr.
- Fr does not exist sufficiently in nature.





Atomic PNC in Fr (NSI)



M1 is strongly suppressed.



$$\varepsilon_{PNC} \propto \vec{B}_{DC} \cdot \left(\vec{k} \times \vec{E}_{Stark}\right)$$

Amplification by Stark Interference

$$Transition\ Rate = \left|A_{Stark} \pm A_{PNC}\right|^2$$
$$= \left|A_{Stark}\right|^2 \pm 2\,\mathrm{Re}\left(A_{Stark} A_{PNC}^{\ *}\right) + \left|A_{PNC}\right|^2$$

Statistical Sensitivity:

- 10^6 trapped atoms, 1.0% APNC: 2.3 hours
- 10^7 trapped atoms, 0.1% APNC: 23 hours

Anapole Moment in Fr

<u>New Method:</u> Anapole can be measured by driving a parity forbidden E1 transition between two hyperfine states with $\Delta F=\pm 1$, $\Delta m_F=\pm 1$.

π/2 pulse preparation: the atoms are prepared in a 50/50 superposition of the initial and final states (equivalent to interference amplification) before application of the microwave driving E-field.



Anapole Moment in Fr

New Method: Anapole can be measured by driving a parity forbidden E1 transition between two hyperfine states with $\Delta F=\pm 1$, $\Delta m_F=\pm 1$.

$\pi/2$ pulse preparation: the atoms are prepared in a 50/50 superposition of the initial and final states (equivalent to interference amplification) before application of the microwave driving E-field.





$$\varepsilon_{anapole} \propto \vec{B}_{DC} \cdot \left(\vec{M}1_{\pi/2} \times \vec{E}_{microwave}\right)$$

Anapole Moment in Fr

New Method: Anapole can be measured by driving a parity forbidden E1 transition between two hyperfine states with $\Delta F=\pm 1$, $\Delta m_F=\pm 1$.

$\pi/2$ pulse preparation: the atoms are prepared in a 50/50 superposition of the initial and final states (equivalent to interference amplification) before application of the microwave driving E-field.



$$Signal-to-noise \sim 20\sqrt{Hz^{-1}}$$

for $E_{microwave}$~0.5 kV/cm and 10^6 atoms.

[E. Gomez *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 033418 (2007)]



$$\varepsilon_{anapole} \propto \vec{B}_{DC} \cdot \left(\vec{M}1_{\pi/2} \times \vec{E}_{microwave}\right)$$

Simulating Fr Anapole with Rb



180 ms coherence time in blue-detuned dipole trap

(π/2 pulse with Rb)

[Data by D. Sheng (Orozco Group, U. of Maryland)]



Simulating the PNC Interference

$$Transition\ Rate = \left|1/2 \pm A_{PNC}\right|^2$$

$$\approx 1/4 \pm \left|A_{PNC}\right| \cos\theta_{phase}$$

A_{PNC} simulated with 10^{-4} M1 transition

FrPNC: Current Status

Present: Construction of an on-line, shielded laser laboratory at TRIUMF with 100 db RF suppression.





Fall 2011: *(14 shifts in December)*

Installation of high efficiency MOT (from U. of Maryland).



2012: Physics starts !!!

Hyperfine anomaly (Pearson), 7S-8S M1 (Gwinner), Anapole (Orozco), optical PNC (Gwinner), …

FrPNC collaboration

S. Aubin *(College of William and Mary)*

J. A. Behr, K. P. Jackson, M. R. Pearson *(TRIUMF)*

V. V. Flambaum *(U. of New South Wales, Australia)*

E. Gomez *(U. Autonoma de San Luis Potosi, Mexico)*

G. Gwinner, R. Collister *(U. of Manitoba)*

D. Melconian *(Texas A&M)*

L. A. Orozco, J. Zhang *(U. of Maryland at College Park)*

G. D. Sprouse *(SUNY Stony Brook)*

Y. Zhao *(Shanxi U., China)*

Funding

Outline

Theory

A. Motivation 1: Spin-independent PNC

→ Testing the electroweak standard model.

B. Motivation 2: Spin-dependent PNC

→ Nuclear anapole moment.

→ Weak meson-nucleon couplings problem.

Experiment

1. The FrPNC program

→ Methods.

→ Expected sensitivities.

2. Current Status

Motivation 2:
Nuclear Spin-Dependent PNC



Anapole moment



NSD - Z^0 exchange



PNC "Hyperfine Interaction"

$$H_{PNC,nsd} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{K}{I(I+1)} \vec{I} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \left(\kappa_{anapole(p,n)} - \frac{K-1/2}{K} \kappa_{2(p,n)} + \frac{I+1}{K} \kappa_{Q_W} \right) \rho(\vec{r})$$

What's an Anapole Moment ?



Answer:

Electromagnetic moment produced by a toroidal current.

→ Time-reversal conserving.

→ PNC toroidal current.

→ Localized moment, contact interaction.

$$H_{anapole} = e\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{a}\,\tilde{\delta}(r)$$

$$\vec{a} = -\pi\int d^3r\, r^2 \vec{J}(\vec{r})$$

$$= \frac{1}{e}\frac{G}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{K}{j(j+1)}\kappa_{anapole(p,n)}\,\vec{j}_{p,n}$$

nucleon angular momentum



[figure from V. V. Flambaum, Atomic Physics 16: ICAP 16., edited by W. E. Baylis and G. W. F. Drake (AIP, 1998)]

FrPNC program:
Atomic PNC Experiments in Francium

- Fr is the heaviest of the simple (alkali atoms).
  - → Electronic structure is well understood.
  - → Particle/nuclear physics can be reliably extracted.
- Fr has large (relatively) PNC mixing.
  - → $\varepsilon_{PNC} \sim 10^{-10}$ is still really really small … we're going to need a lot of Fr.
- Fr does not exist sufficiently in nature.



ISAC facility @ TRIUMF

500 MeV protons (2 μA) on UC (30 g/cm^2).

Demonstrated production: 10^7-10^8 Fr/s

M1 suppression

M1 hyperfine transition mimics $E1_{PNC}$ and must be suppressed by 10^9 !!!



a) Suppress $B_{microwave}$:

Fabri-Perot cavity: Place atoms at B node, E anti-node.

→ Suppression: 5×10^{-3}.

b) Selection rule:

$B_{microwave}$ // B_{DC} can only drive $\Delta m_F=0$ transitions.

→ Suppression: 10^{-3}.

b) Dynamical averaging:

When atoms slosh around the B node, the M1 is further averaged away.

Neutron nuclear skin radius

Observable	Constraint	Set value	Stability
$A_{Ry}A_{E1}$	Microwave amplitude	476 V/cm	0.03
$A_{Ry}A_{Ry}$	Raman amplitude	121 rad	2.5×10^{-4}
$(\hbar\delta)^2$	Microwave frequency	45 GHz	10^{-11}
	Dipole trap Stark shift	6.3 Hz	0.07
	DC Magnetic field	1500 Gauss	4.7×10^{-5}
$A_{Rx}A_{Rx}$	Raman polarization	0 rad	10^{-3} rad
$A_{Ry}A_{Miy}$	Mirror separation	13 cm	7.7×10^{-7}
	Antenna power	57 mW	0.02
	Antenna phase	0 rad	0.01 rad
$A_{Ry}A_{Mox}$	Mirror birrefringence	0 rad	1×10^{-4} rad
	Trap displacement	0 m	3×10^{-11} m

TABLE III. Fractional stability required for a 3% measurement. The observable associated with each constraint is also included.

Motivation 1:
Sensitivity to Std. Model extensions

Atomic PNC experiments are sensitive to certain high-energy extensions of the Standard Model.

New Physics	Parameter	Constraint from atomic PNC	Direct constraints from HEP
Oblique radiative corrections	$S+0.006T$	S = -0.56(60)	S=−0.13 ± 0.1 (−0.08) T=−0.13 ± 0.11 (+0.09)
Z_x-boson in SO(10) model	$M(Z_x)$	> 1.4 TeV	> 820 GeV LHC, ILC: > 5 TeV (?)
Leptoquarks	M_S	>0.7 TeV	> 256 GeV, >1200 GeV indir.
Composite Fermions	L	>14 TeV	>6 TeV

[figure from G. Gwinner and adapted from D. Budker, WEIN 98.]

Outline

Justification 1: Low-energy parity violation → sensitivity to extra neutral bosons.

Justification 2: Anapole moment → resolve nucleon-meson weak couplings discrepancy (Cs133 anapole vs. F18/19 gamma)

Why francium?

Brief of History of francium experiments.

Z0 experiment

→ expected sensitivity.

Anapole experiment

→ expected sensitivity.

Challenges of an accelerator environment … shielding necessary!!!

Current status: group members, funding, shielded laboratory.


Trapped Fr