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ABSTRACT

This dissertation develops and defends a utilitarian approach to global distributive
justice, that part of political ethics that is concerned with the distribution of benefits and
burdens across the members of distinct societies. Surprisingly little has been written by
utilitarians, or by welfare consequentialists in general, on this topic. Many philosophers
believe that utilitarianism is incapable of arriving at morally acceptable conclusions
concerning global distributive justice, to the extent that it does not merit serious
consideration in philosophical debates in the area. The central thesis of the dissertation
is that that view is mistaken, and that utilitarianism in fact provides an attractive and
useful way of conceiving of our global distributive duties.

The main argument begins by distinguishing three types of goal at which principles of
distributive justice might be directed. One such goal is the attainment by individuals of a
minimally decent level of welfare, a second the treatment of individuals in accordance
with norms of fairness, a third the obtaining of a certain degree of equality across
individuals, for reasons independent of the first two goals. I then consider whether or
not there is a utilitarian case for each of these goals at the global level. I argue that, while
the utilitarian case for global equality per se is currently weak, a concern at the global
level both for what I call “decency” and for distributive fairness can and should be
incorporated into the framework of utilitarianism.

I present an account of precisely what form these goals ought to take at the global level,
how they intersect with concerns about domestic distributive justice and collective self-
determination, and how they translate into duties on the part of individual states and
international institutions. I also draw out the implications of the resulting principles and
duties for some specific aspects of global political economy and international law
(including trade in goods, services and ideas; development; and immigration). The
result is a distinctive conception of the ground, scope and content of global distributive
justice that I hope will appeal, at least in part, to utilitarians and non-utilitarians alike.
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