

## 1 The $\lambda$ -notation for Functions

A traditional way of representing functions:

$$sq(x) = x^2$$

function-name, variable, definition

The **Lambda notation** arranges things differently:

$$sq = \lambda x [x^2]$$

$\lambda x [x^2]$  is called a  $\lambda$ -term. The structure of  $\lambda$ -terms is:

$\lambda$  variable [...] (variable) ...]

- the variable inside the square brackets is bracketed because its presence is not obligatory.

- the value returned by the function is **whatever** the body of the  $\lambda$ -term, i.e. the expression within the square brackets evaluates to. This **whatever** could be a truth value (0, 1), a number, an individual, a set, a function etc.

$\lambda$  **abstraction**: the process of creating a  $\lambda$  term from an expression potentially containing a variable. The variable could be over anything - a truth value, a number, an individual, a set, a function etc.

$\lambda$  **conversion**: the  $\lambda$  term is a function. When this function is applied to an argument, the resulting value can be computed by replacing the occurrences of the (outermost)  $\lambda$  variable in the expression in square brackets by the argument.

## 2 More on Conversion

$E[M/x]$  means 'E with M substituted for all instances of x'.

### 2.1 $\alpha$ -Conversion

used to rename variables in  $\lambda$  terms

$$(\lambda x. [E]) [y/x] \Rightarrow (\lambda y. [E[y/x]])$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x. [x + 2]) [y/x] &\Rightarrow \\ (\lambda y. [x + 2] [y/x]) &\Rightarrow \\ (\lambda y. [y + 2]) & \end{aligned}$$

### 2.2 $\beta$ -Conversion

used to apply functions, by replacing all instances of the variable in the body with the input.

$$(\lambda x. [E]) (y) \Rightarrow E[y/x]$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x. [x^3]) (2) &\Rightarrow \\ [x^3] [2/x] &\Rightarrow 2^3 \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3 $\eta$ -Conversion

used to simplify functions

$$(\lambda x. [f(x)]) \Rightarrow f$$

The term  $\lambda$ -conversion is often used as cover term for the above operations.

### 3 Functions with Restrictions

Function, defined in the traditional way, come with a domain specification.

Let  $f_1 : Z \mapsto N$  be defined as  $f_1(x) = x^2$ , and  
let  $f_2 : N \mapsto N$  be defined as  $f_2(x) = x^2$

Now  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are not identical.  
 $f_1(-7) = 49$ ,  $f_2(-7)$  is undefined.

Domain specification can be incorporated into  $\lambda$  terms straightforwardly. The following conventions are used:

$f_1 : \lambda x \in Z[x^2]$ ,  $f_2 : \lambda x \in N[x^2]$   
 $f_1 : \lambda x[x \in Z][x^2]$ ,  $f_2 : \lambda x[x \in N][x^2]$

More generally functions with restrictions using the  $\lambda$ -notation are represented as follows:

$\lambda\alpha : \phi.[\gamma]$

$\alpha$  is the argument variable,  
 $\phi$  the domain condition,  
 $\gamma$  the value description.

### 4 Functions with Complex Arguments

Set arguments:

$\lambda X[X \cup \{a, b, c\}]$

Function arguments:

$\lambda f[f(2)]$   
 $\lambda f[f(2) + f(3)]$   
 $\lambda f[f(f(2) + f(3))]$

- Function application may not always work out -

$\lambda f[f(8 - f(4))](\lambda x[x \in N][x^2])$

The curious function:  $\lambda f.[f(f)]$

### 5 Functions with more than one argument

$\lambda x.[\lambda y.[x^2 + y]]$   
 $\lambda x \lambda y.[x^2 + y]$

The role of variables:

$\lambda x[x^2] = \lambda y[y^2]$

Similarly

$\lambda x \lambda y[x^2 + y] = \lambda y \lambda x[y^2 + x]$ , but  
 $\neq \lambda y \lambda x[x^2 + y]$   
 $\neq \lambda x \lambda x[x^2 + x]$

What does  $\lambda x \lambda x[x^2 + x]$  mean?

What is the relationship between  $\lambda x \lambda y[x^2 + y]$  and  $\lambda y \lambda x[x^2 + y]$ ?

- The combinator  $C$

## 6 The Scope of a Variable

In a lambda term  $\lambda x[\dots]$ , the body of the  $\lambda$ -term  $[\dots]$  is the **scope** of  $x$ .

More precisely: the  $x$  after the  $\lambda$  **binds** any **free** instances of  $x$  in its scope.

**free** = not bound

Variable are born free - they get **bound** by the closest  $\lambda$  which has their name on it.

- (1) a.  $\lambda x[x^3 + x^2 + x + 1]$   
 All the  $x$ 's in the square brackets are bound by the  $\lambda x$
- b.  $\lambda x.[x^3 + \lambda x.[x^2 + x + 1](x)]$   
 The  $x$ 's inside the inner square brackets are bound by the inner  $\lambda x$ . Only the argument to  $\lambda x.[x^2 + x + 1]$  and  $x^3$  are bound by the top level  $\lambda x$ .

(1b) can be rewritten more clearly as (2).

- (2)  $\lambda x.[x^3 + \lambda y[y^2 + y + 1](x)]$   
 doing some  $\lambda$  conversion, we get  
 $\lambda x.[x^3 + x^2 + x + 1]$

Moral of the story: the names of variables are not important. What matters are the dependencies between argument positions (within the square brackets) and the order in which those arguments are supplied.

$\lambda$ -conversion should not create spurious dependencies.

- (3) Common Pitfalls:
- a. Undoing a dependency:  
 $\lambda x.[x + (\lambda x.[x + 2])(y)](7) \not\Rightarrow$   
 $[7 + (\lambda x.[7 + 2])(y)]$
- b. Creating a spurious dependency:  
 $\lambda x.[x + (\lambda y.[x + y])(2)](y) \not\Rightarrow$   
 $[x + (\lambda y.[y + y])(2)]$

Auxiliary Moral: Variable names aren't important, but they can cause confusion. Hence when possible use  $\alpha$ -conversion to eliminate re-use of variable names.

## 7 Function Composition

Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$ , then the result of composing  $f$  with  $g$  is written as  $g \circ f$ ,  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ , and  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$

$succ : N \rightarrow N$ ,  $succ(x) = x + 1$

$sq : N \rightarrow N$ ,  $sq(x) = x^2$

What is  $succ \circ sq$ ,  $sq \circ succ$ ?

Using the  $\lambda$  calculus, we can define a  $\lambda$  term that given functions  $f$  and  $g$  computes  $f \circ g$ :  
 $\lambda f[\lambda g[\lambda x[f(g(x))]]]$

• The combinator  $B$

- (4) 'maternal grandfather' as the composition of *mother* function with the *father* function  
 Swedish *morfar*, *farfar*, *farmor*, *mormor*

## 8 Characteristic Functions as $\lambda$ terms

The characteristic function  $f_A$  corresponding to a set  $A$  can be defined as follows:

- (5)  $f_A : U \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$   
 $x \rightarrow 1$  if  $x \in A$   
 $x \rightarrow 0$  if  $x \notin A$

We can represent characteristic functions as  $\lambda$  terms:

- (6)  $f_A : \lambda x[x \in A]$

The characteristic function for the set  $B = \{x : x \geq 17 \text{ and } x \text{ is even}\}$  can be written as:  
 $f_B = \lambda x[x \geq 17 \text{ and } x \text{ is even}]$

- the 'predicative' part of the set description and the body of a  $\lambda$  term are very similar.

- the one difference is that  $\lambda$  terms may have restrictors. For certain values, they may be undefined. This is unlike sets, where you're either in or out.