

Hardcore \LaTeX Math

RSI 2011 Staff

June 18, 2011

Part I: basic features

- Review
- Theorems and proofs such

Entering math mode

Use `$...$` or `\(...\)` for text math mode and `\[...\]` or `$$...$$` for display math mode:

This sentence refers to integers `n` and `\(m\)` and reminds you that

`\[\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} dt = 1. \]`

This sentence refers to integers n and m and reminds you that

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} dt = 1.$$

It's probably better to use `$...$` than `\(...\)`, because dollar signs are more traditional, and thus easier to read. But for displayed equations, `\[...\]` is preferable.

Punctuation

Inline equations should not contain punctuation belonging to the sentence.

Because the ideal I contains x , ...

Displayed equations that end sentences should contain a period.

Therefore, the value of the integral is

$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} dt = 1.$

Numbered equations

Use the `equation` environment to create a numbered equation. Put a label inside it using `\label{foo}` and then use `\ref{foo}` to typeset the equation number. *Preferred:* You can type `\eqref{foo}` to add parentheses automatically.

It follows that

```
\begin{equation}
\label{verywrong}
6 \times 9 = 42.
\end{equation}
```

From `\eqref{verywrong}` we can deduce we screwed up somewhere.

It follows that

$$6 \times 9 = 42. \tag{1}$$

From (1) we can deduce we screwed up somewhere.

Variables

For most variables with one-character names, just type the character in math mode: $x + y$ for $x + y$.

For Greek letters, backslash their names: α , β , Γ . (There is no \Alph ; simply use A .)

For long names, there are two tasteful options:

```
\[ \mathit{long\_variable\_name} + \text{roman name} = 240 \]
```

$$\mathit{long_variable_name} + \text{roman name} = 240$$

Don't just type a long name into math mode or you'll get

$$longvariable_{name}.$$

Variables in other fonts

| | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|---|
| \mathbf{A} | <code>\mathbf{A}</code> | |
| \mathcal{F} | <code>\mathcal{F}</code> | (capitals only) |
| \mathbb{Z} | <code>\mathbb{Z}</code> | (capitals only) |
| $\frac{a}{b}$ | <code>\mathfrak{ab}</code> | |
| \mathcal{L} | <code>\mathscr{L}</code> | (capitals only; requires <code>mathrsfs</code> package) |

Put only the variable inside the font-changing command:

```
\[ \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}) \]
```

$$c(a + b)$$

Roman operators

Many notations like $\sin(x)$ and $\log(x)$ are typeset in upright characters. Say `\sin(x)`, `\log(x)`, etc. For comparison, `sin(x)` produces *sin(x)*, which is italicized.

For the condition under `\lim`, `\max`, or the like, use a subscript:

```
\[ \lim_{x\to \infty} 1/x = 0 \]
```

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} 1/x = 0$$

(Note that subscripts and superscripts which are more than one character need brackets. For instance, 10^{10} appears as 10^{10} .)

Defining your own operators

To define your own, say

```
\DeclareMathOperator{\spec}{Spec}
```

in `preamble.tex` and then use $\$ \backslash \text{spec } A[x] \$$ for $\text{Spec } A[x]$. You can also type $\$ \backslash \text{operatorname}{Spec} A[x] \$$ to get the same result without defining a command.

Big operators

For big operators such as sums (`\sum`), products (`\prod`), and integrals (`\int`), use subscripts and superscripts to get the limits:

```
\[ \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = 1. \]
```

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = 1.$$

```
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \]
```

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Theorems and such

After a couple of declarations, you can do this:

```
\begin{theorem}
```

```
Herding cats is hard.
```

```
\end{theorem}
```

Theorem 1. *Herding cats is hard.*

```
\begin{lemma}[Smith, 1972]
```

```
Herding alligators is harder,  
and much more dangerous besides.
```

```
\end{lemma}
```

Lemma 1 (Smith, 1972). *Herding alligators is harder, and much more dangerous besides.*

Creating theorem types

Before using `theorem` and `lemma`, I had to do this:

```
\newtheorem{theorem}{Theorem}
```

```
\newtheorem{lemma}{Lemma}
```

The first argument is the internal name, so the word you put in the `\begin{}` and `\end{}` statements. The second argument is the external name, so it appears in the document before the number.

Each theorem type has its own counter (hence Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 in the previous example).

Put these declarations in `preamble.tex`.

Several types, one counter

```
\newtheorem{prop}{Proposition}
```

```
\newtheorem{propdef}[prop]{Proposition-Definition}
```

The optional argument [prop] says that Proposition-Definitions should be numbered in the same series as Propositions.

```
\begin{prop}[Lucas, 1977]
```

```
Swordplay obviates acting.
```

```
\end{prop}
```

```
\begin{propdef}[Lucas, 1999]
```

```
There exist painfully inane characters. A maximal such  
character in a film is of Jar-Jar type.
```

```
\end{propdef}
```

Several times, one counter (continued)

Proposition-Definitions and Propositions are *not* numbered separately:

Proposition 1 (Lucas, 1977). *Swordplay obviates acting.*

Proposition-Definition 2 (Lucas, 1999). *There exist painfully inane characters. A maximal such character in a film is of Jar-Jar type.*

Note also that we used `emph` instead of `textit`.

Counting within sections, subsections, etc.

You can do

```
\newtheorem{remark}{Remark}[section]
```

to make Remarks numbered separately within each section (“Remark 5.3” for the third Remark in Section 5).

Similarly `\newtheorem{remark}{Remark}[subsection]` would make “Remark 5.2.1” and the like.

More with theorems: styles and numbering

You can use three built-in “styles” to specify how you want your theorem heading to appear. You can also define your own if these aren’t enough. Additionally, using an * makes a theorem type unnumbered.

```
\theoremstyle{plain}% default
\newtheorem{thm}{Theorem}[section]
\newtheorem{lem}[thm]{Lemma}
\newtheorem{prop}[thm]{Proposition}
\newtheorem*{cor}{Corollary}
\newtheorem*{KL}{Kleins Lemma}
```


More with theorems (continued)

```
\theoremstyle{definition}
```

```
\newtheorem{defn}{Definition}[section]
```

```
\newtheorem{conj}{Conjecture}[section]
```

```
\newtheorem{exmp}{Example}[section]
```

```
\theoremstyle{remark}
```

```
\newtheorem*{rem}{Remark}
```

```
\newtheorem*{note}{Note}
```

```
\newtheorem{case}{Case}
```

Proof environment

The proof environment automatically places a QED symbol at the end of your proof:

```
\begin{proof}[Proof of the Main Theorem]
Combining Lemma~\ref{lem:smith} and Definition~\ref{def:smith},
we see that  $\mu(x)=17$ .
\end{proof}
```

Proof of the Main Theorem. Combining Lemma 1 and Definition 3, we see that $\mu(x) = 17$. □

For some reason, `amsmath` doesn't appear to contain the proof environment, so you might need to add `\usepackage{amsthm}` to `preamble.tex`.

Moving the QED

Sometimes, the box appears on an empty line:

Proof.

$$G(t) = L\gamma!t^{-\gamma} + t^{-\delta}\eta(t)$$



```
\begin{proof}
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=L\gamma!\,t^{-\gamma}+t^{-\delta}\eta(t) \quad \text{\qedhere}
\end{equation*}
\end{proof}
```

Proof.

$$G(t) = L\gamma!t^{-\gamma} + t^{-\delta}\eta(t)$$



Part II: breaking and stacking

- `multiline`, for breaking lines in long equations
- `gather` and `align`, for several equations in one display
- `pmatrix` and variants, for matrices
- `\substack`, for stacking conditions under big operators

Breaking lines in displayed equations

Don't try to use `\` to force a line break in a displayed equation. It won't work. Instead, use the `multline` environment:

```
\begin{multline}
X = a + b + c + d + e + f + g \\
+ h + i + j + k + l
\end{multline}
```

$$X = a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h + i + j + k + l + m \\ + n + o + p + q + r + s + t + u \quad (2)$$

The variant `multline*` gives an unnumbered equation.

In a display, always break the line *before* a binary operator.

More lines in multiline

More than two lines are allowed. The first is flushed left; the last is flushed right; the others are centered.

```
\begin{multiline*}
X = a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h + i + j + k + l + m\\
  + n + o + p + q + r + s + t + u + v\\
  + w + x + y + z + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 691
\end{multiline*}
```

$$\begin{aligned} X &= a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h + i + j + k + l + m \\ &\quad + n + o + p + q + r + s + t + u + v \\ &\quad\quad + w + x + y + z + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 691 \end{aligned}$$

Rejustifying multiline

Put a line in `\shoveleft{...}` or `\shoveright{...}` to change the justification.

```
\begin{multiline*}
X = a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h + i + j + k + l + m\\
  \shoveright{+ n + o + p + q + r + s + t + u + v}\\
  + w + x + y + z + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 691
\end{multiline*}
```

$$\begin{aligned} X &= a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h + i + j + k + l + m \\ &\quad + n + o + p + q + r + s + t + u + v \\ &\quad + w + x + y + z + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 691 \end{aligned}$$

Several equations in one display

You can use the `gather` (numbered) or `gather*` (unnumbered) environments to put several equations in a display, each centered independently of the others.

```
\begin{gather}
\label{foo} x + y = z \\
\label{bar} a = b + c + d + e + f
\end{gather}
```

$$x + y = z \tag{3}$$

$$a = b + c + d + e + f \tag{4}$$

But it is usually more tasteful to align your equations.

Aligned equations

To align several equations in one display, use `align` (numbered) or `align*` (unnumbered).

```
\begin{align}
f(x) &= g(x^2) \\
a + b + c &= d + e + f
\end{align}
```

$$f(x) = g(x^2) \tag{5}$$

$$a + b + c = d + e + f \tag{6}$$

Multicolumn align

You can have several aligned columns; use extra ampersands to separate them.

```
\begin{align}
x + y &= z + w & a + b &= c + d \\
X + Y &= Z + W & A + B &= C + D
\end{align}
```

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x + y = z + w & a + b = c + d & (7) \\ X + Y = Z + W & A + B = C + D & (8) \end{array}$$

Align: making only some lines numbered

Option 1: Use `\begin{align}` with `\notag` just before the `\\` on the lines you do not want numbered.

Option 2: Use `\begin{align*}` with `\tag{}` just before the `\\` on the lines you do want numbered.

```
\begin{align*}
x+1 &= 18 \\
x &= 17 \tag{1}\label{result}
\end{align*}
```

We can see from `\eqref{result}` that\ldots

$$\begin{aligned}x + 1 &= 18 \\x &= 17\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

We can see from (1) that...

Cases constructions

```
\begin{equation}
F_{n} = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } n = 0; \\
1 & \text{if } n = 1; \\
F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} & \text{if } n > 0.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}
```

$$F_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0; \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1; \\ F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Matrices

```
\[ \begin{pmatrix}
  2 & 3 & 4 \\
  5 & 6 & 7 \\
  8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} v = 0 \]
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} v = 0$$

For square brackets use `bmatrix`. For single or double vertical lines use `vmatrix` or `Vmatrix` respectively.

For small matrices in running text like $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$:

```
\bigl( \begin{smallmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{smallmatrix} \bigr)
```

The `\substack` command

`\substack` lets you stack expressions in subscripts.

```
\[ \min_{\substack{x \in S \\ x \geq 20}} f(x) \]
```

$$\min_{\substack{x \in S \\ x \geq 20}} f(x)$$

```
\[ \sum_{\substack{a + b + c = 20 \\ a, b, c \geq 0 \\ a + 2b \geq 5}} abc \]
```

$$\sum_{\substack{a+b+c=20 \\ a,b,c \geq 0 \\ a+2b \geq 5}} abc$$

Part III: the fine points of looking good

- Math in text
- Dots
- Big delimiters
- Spacing tricks
- Common ugly constructions

Putting it all in math mode

A common mistake:

We see that $x + y = z + 23$.

We see that $x + y = z + 23$.

Put the *entire equation or expression* in math mode:

We see that $x + y = z + 23$.

We see that $x + y = z + 23$.

Punctuation around formulae

In *text math mode*, commas and periods that are part of the sentence should be outside math mode:

Because $f(a,b) = 0$, it follows that $f(2a, b-a) = 4$.

Because $f(a,b) = 0$, it follows that $f(2a, b - a) = 4$.

In *display math mode*, there should (usually) be a comma, semicolon, or period *inside* the display.

We find therefore that $[x^{\{n\}} + y^{\{n\}} \neq z^{\{n\}}.]$

We find therefore that

$$x^n + y^n \neq z^n.$$

Dots

The AMS packages have introduced convenient abbreviations for different kinds of dots in math mode. This is a good idea, since then it is possible to change all of the style consistently throughout a document by adding a single line to the preamble (instead of doing a search and replace potentially across several files). Here they are:

- `\dotsc` for dots with commas, as in $\$a_1, \dotsc, a_n\$$ for a_1, \dots, a_n ;
- `\dotsm` for dots with multiplication, as in $\$1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n\$$ for $1 \cdot 2 \cdots n$;

More dots

- `\dotsb` for dots with binary operators or relations, as in $1 + \dotsb + n$ for $1 + \dots + n$;

- `\dotsi` for dots with integrals, as in $\int_A \int_B \dotsi \int_Z$ for

$$\int_A \int_B \cdots \int_Z;$$

- `\dotso` for “other.”

Even more dots

- In text mode just use `\dots`; it'll make something like this. . .
- To force low horizontal dots in math mode, use `\ldots`.
- To force centered horizontal dots in math mode, use `\cdots`.
- In matrices with rows or columns left out, you may need `\vdots` (:) and `\ddots` (⋮) as well.

Dots in a matrix

```
\[ \begin{matrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{matrix} \]
```

$$\begin{matrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{matrix}$$

Delimiter height, part 1: automatic expansion

Using `\left` and `\right` in front of delimiters (parentheses, brackets, etc.) makes them expand to enclose a tall expression (fraction, sum, integral, etc.).

Thus `$$\left(\frac{x^2}{y^2}\right)$$` gives $\left(\frac{x^2}{y^2}\right)$.

By contrast `$(\frac{x^2}{y^2})$` gives $(\frac{x^2}{y^2})$.

`\left` and `\right` must be properly nested.

Delimiter height, part 2: doing it yourself

You can (and sometimes should) choose the size yourself:

$\$(\ldots)\$$ (\dots)

$\$\bigl(\ldots\bigr)\$$ (\dots)

$\$\Bigl(\ldots\Bigr)\$$ (\dots)

$\$\biggl(\ldots\biggl)\$$ (\dots)

$\$\Biggl(\ldots\Biggr)\$$ (\dots)

These need not be properly nested (so be careful).

Delimiter height, part 3: examples

`f\bigl((x + 1)^{4} + 1\bigr)`

$$f\left((x + 1)^4 + 1\right)$$

`\biggl(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}\biggr)^2`

$$\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}\right)^2$$

Spacing adjustments

Normally \LaTeX puts tasteful amounts of whitespace into expressions without your help. In certain situations, though, you can make formulae look neater by adding or deleting space.

- $\backslash,$ — thin space ($\$a\backslash,b\$$ is ab)
- $\backslash!$ — thin backspace ($\$a\backslash!b\$$ is ab)

Example. The code $\$x^{\{n\}}/n!\$$ gives $x^n/n!$, with an apparent gap between the exponent and slash. Better is $\$x^{\{n\}}\backslash!/n!\$,$ which gives $x^n/n!$.

Spacing adjustments in integrals

Multiple integrals sometimes look bad without a bit of backspacing (`\!`). A thin space (`\,`) before a differential is often tasteful.

```
\[ \int_0^{2\pi}\!\!
```

```
\int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^2}r\,dr\,d\theta = \pi \]
```

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^2} r \, dr \, d\theta = \pi$$

Ugly mistakes

- Italicizing text by putting it in math mode. This looks *awful*. Use `\emph{...}` instead: *awful*.
- Writing long variable names without `\mathit{...}` or `\text`.
- Putting two displayed equations one after the other. Use an alignment to line up the equality signs and space more tastefully.
- Forgetting to use `\left` and `\right` or explicit resizing commands when you put parentheses around a tall formula.