# **Analysis of Fatigue Failure in D-shaped Carabiners**

### Massachusetts Institute of Technology Center for Sports Innovation

K Blair, D Custer, J Graham, M Okal

### Introduction

- Current standard: Single pull to failure test (SPTF)
- Climbers need rating reflecting in-field use
  - Cyclic & Dynamic loads result from falling, hanging and lowering
  - Typical Load Range: 2- 10 kN
  - Only most severe falls approach minimum SPTF ratings
- Continued cyclic loading can result in fatigue failure of carabiners
- Current carabiner retirement guidelines do not address fatigue life

# Objective

- This study characterizes the lifetime of carabiners under cyclic loads
  - Loads reflect in-field use
  - Controlled laboratory environment

# Carabiner Load Analysis



- Worst case scenario is factor 2 fall
  - Factor = Distance climber falls/length of belayed rope
- Dynamic rope stretches to absorb 1/3 of the force of the climber's fall for the belayer
- Top carabiner loaded to 20 kN

# Background: Climbing Loads

- Empirical studies have shown close correlation between in-field loads and those predicted by models
- Single cycle period (0.5 seconds) is in the middle of typical field-load duration
- Forces used in study are in the middle to high range of expected field loading
  - Low forces unlikely to pose danger to climbers
  - Testing at low forces prohibitively time consuming

## Carabiners

- All carabiners from same manufacturer
- D-Shaped 7075 Aluminum
- SPTF rating
  - 24 kN Closed gate
  - 7 kN Open gate
- Each carabiner loaded with 12 kN proof load as part of manufacturing process

# Approach

- Test Design
  - -ASTM Test Set-up:
    - -Carabiners clipped around 2 steel dowels
    - –Dowels connected to grips
- Testing: Evaluate through cyclic, dynamic loading
  - Cycles to failure
  - Carabiner Deformation
  - Crack Formation (X-ray photography)

### MTS Test System



# **Equipment Details**

- Load and deflection
  - Measured directly from the MTS machine
  - LabView computer based data acquisition system
  - Load error  $\pm$  13 N
  - Displacement error  $\pm 0.01$  mm
- Fracture surface observations
  - X-Ray photos: Torrex 150D X-Ray
  - Photos: Zeiss Stemi 2000-C microscope

### Test Matrix

	Cyclic Load Range, kN	Number Tested
Open Gate	0.5 - 4	3
	0.5 - 5	3
	0.5 - 6	3
Closed Gate	0.5 - 8	3
	0.5 - 10	3
	0.5 - 12	4
	0.5 - 14	4
	0.5 - 16	4
	0.5 - 18	4
	0.5 - 20	4

## **Experimental Approach**

- Fatigue tests run cyclically from 0.5 kN to indicated maximum load
- Gate gap length measured periodically with micrometer throughout test
- Short exposure X-Ray photographs take periodically in 8, 10 and 12 kN tests
  - Photos copied to transparencies
  - Compared to determine deformation as a function of number of cycles

### **Overview of Results**

- Determination of Load vs Cycles to failure curve (L-N curve)
- Carabiner deformation apparent only in high load cases
  - Majority of deformation occurs in first few load cycles
- Post failure analysis of crack surface provides information on critical crack length
- Not able to find evidence of crack formation before failure

### Cycles to Failure vs. Load



## Cycles to Failure vs. Load, Log Plot



### Statistical Data

	Cyclic Load Range, kN	Mean Cycles to Failure	Standard Deviation	% Variation
Open Gate	0.5 - 4	7,849	1,598	20%
	0.5 - 5	3,350	384	11%
	0.5 - 6	1,774	413	23%
Closed Gate	0.5 - 8	10,939	1,657	15%
	0.5 - 10	5,533	722	13%
	0.5 - 12	2,958	439	15%
	0.5 - 14	1,556	297	19%
	0.5 - 16	1,451	209	43%
	0.5 - 18	750	200	24%
	0.5 - 20	263	51	20%

### **Deformation Observations**

- Gate gap measurement and X-Ray photographs failed to detect deformations
- Careful measurement of carabiner length shows small deformation for large load cases (20 kN)
- Majority of carabiner deformation for large loads occurs early in life

### Load vs Stroke First Cycle of 0.5-20kN Cyclic Test



### Two Cycles of Loading 0.5 -20 kN Case



### Two Cycles of Loading 0.5 - 8 kN Case



Stroke [mm]

### Spine Strain for 0.5 - 20 kN Test



### Spine Strain for 0.5 - 8 kN Test



Strain [mm/mm]

### Surface Crack Formation

- Carabiners cycled at 0.5-8 kN range were X-Rayed to search for surface cracks
- X-Rays take about every 500 cycles
- No surface cracks were detected

# Fracture Observations

- All carabiners break at "elbow"
  - Fits prediction made by
    Finite Element Model
  - Consistent with in-field failure characteristics
- Observed cross-section under microscope



### Fracture Surface Pictures





0.5 - 14 kN load cycle

### 0.5 - 8 kN load cycle

Magnifications Transvation

April 5, 2002

### Crack Size on Fracture Surface



April 5, 2002

<sup>©</sup> MIT Center for Sports Innovation

## Discussion

- Cyclic Testing
  - Even at loads representing extreme falls, this specific carabiner has long life
  - Result should be encouraging for climbers
- Deformation
  - Carabiner deformation very small and not detectable, especially for loads below the manufacturer's proof test of 50% SPTF
  - Any plastic deformation occurs in first few loading cycles
  - Data suggests that deformation can be detected using a mold

## Discussion

- Crack Growth
  - No surface cracks were found during testing
  - Appears that when the carabiner is un-loaded, all surface cracks completely close
  - Crack length vs. cycles to failure trends agree with theoretical models, but direct comparison cannot be made due to complicated geometry of the carabiner

### Conclusions

- Carabiner failure can be characterized with L-N data
- The carabiner tested exceeds reasonable expectations of carabiner fatigue life
- Decreasing carabiner weight will likely result in decreased life forcing the need for fatigue ratings
- Deformation cannot be used to predict fatigue failure
- Deformation can be used to detect plastic deformation due to excessive loads

### Future Work

- Testing other types of carabiners would allow for more general conclusions
- Effect of load history should be studied
- Effects of surface damage on the speed of crack initiation should be investigated
- Crack initiation and propagation life should be characterized
  - Cycle carabiner at low load levels
  - Pull carabiner apart on a single load
  - Measure the length of the crack front



### BACKUPS

# New Testing and Rating Standard

- Based on in-field conditions, results, and current ASTM standard
  - In-field conditions
    - 0.5 sec. average loading period
    - Dynamic/sinusoidal loading
    - 20 kN maximum load (worst case scenario)
  - Results
    - Trend line for Cycles to Failure vs. Load
  - ASTM standard
    - Test minimum of 5 for 20 kN test
    - Factor of Safety = 1.2
- Rate by number of cycles to failure for 20 kN case
  - Black Diamond Light D Carabiner: ~200 cycles

### Carabiner Fatigue Safety Margin



April 5, 2002

<sup>©</sup> MIT Center for Sports Innovation

## Outline

Introduction

Motivation

Objective

Approach

Results

**Conclusions/Future Work** 

Questions

### Introduction

What Is a Carabiner?

- Metal link connecting climber to rope and rope to mountain side via webbing
- Features gate that climber opens to insert/remove rope or webbing under loaded & unloaded conditions
- Most common type
  - D-shaped
  - Aluminum



Climber attaching rope to carabiner.

### Errors

- MTS error load Error:  $\pm 13N$ =>  $100 * \frac{13N}{8000N} = 0.16\%$  error
- Carabiner manufacturing
- Negligible errors:
  - Strain Gauge
  - Temperature
  - Deformation of steel pins (see next slide)

### Pin Error Analysis



•From Crandall, Dahl, Lagner:

$$\delta_{\max} = \frac{Pb(L^2 - b^2)^{3/2}}{9\sqrt{3}LEI} = 4.97 * 10^{-6} m$$

•Smallest deflection observed: 0.0015 m at 8kN

•This represents a 
$$100 * \frac{4.97e - 6}{1.5e - 3} = 0.33\%$$
 error (at most)

April 5, 2002

### Critical Stress Intensity Factor (K<sub>c</sub>)



Арні 3, 2002

30

# Webbing Tests Eliminated

- Too difficult to accurately run tests at desired frequency
  - Desired test frequency and max. load: 2 Hz, 8 kN
  - MTS machine capabilities: 1.3 Hz, 7.3 kN
- MTS machine unable to account for stretch in webbing
- 1 test completed at lowest load, results inconclusive

### **Plastic Deformation**



Close-up of gate latch on carabiner depicting the resulting displacement due to plastic deformation of the carabiner in an unloaded condition both before and after the carabiner is cyclically loaded.

### PATRAN model



### Instrumentation & Calibration

- MTS Tensile Loading Machine
  - Program load conditions
  - Mating of machined grips to vice clamps of MTS
  - Tare out zero displacement conditions
- Strain Gauges
  - Attachment to carabiner
  - Tare out zero load condition