

Changes:

- Make the \$300 buy in OPTIONAL in the long run
- End mandatory when DH close. Call it phase out period in between.
- One to three years is short term
- Complete implementation within five years
- MIT should establish quality standards and have regular audits
- UA dining committee should not be responsible for this because they ultimately have no control over MIT's contract with its catering companies
- Change central facility to continuous service

Donna;

- McCormick doesn't like \$500 opt-out
- Committee doesn't really like that idea anyway
- Late Night viability: students do a lot of things. Stability may be an issue. There is potential, but consistency is an issue. What happens when student workers don't show up?
- Why did you choose an optional system?
 - Give students choice
 - Hard to determine financial viability without financial information
 - FSILG students
- We thought that attractive meal plans for FSILGs would bring them into dining halls
- Why not continuous service at the central facility? There is only a nominal cost increase. There would be "down time" when parts of the facility are closed.