

39 U.A.S. 10
Meeting Minutes
April 14, 2008
8:00pm
Senate Chambers (W20-400)

1. Special Guest: Dana Mead, Chair of the Corporation (until 9:30 max)
 - a. Introduction by Martin: strong advocate for students, close ally of Martin
 - i. Discussions have included financial Aid, state of endowment, congressional proceedings, plans for increase in undergrad enrollment, student engagement, feedback on recently drafted faculty newsletter, dining, housing, advising, student-faculty interactions
 - b. Dana Mead (DM) introduces himself – first chairman of Corporation who is not undergrad graduate
 - i. Has undergraduate engineering degree from West Point, went to grad school, PhD from MIT, Vietnam, White House fellow (early 1970s), West Point as faculty member, went into business, former Chairman and CEO of Teneco, Chair of Corporation for 5 years, sits on board of many groups
 - ii. 30 visiting committees that comes to MIT every 2 years
 - iii. Corporation: primary governing body of MIT
 1. Name: when 1861 founded, MA law incorporated institutions of law
 2. President Hockfield reports to Corporation, but has execution, operational authority
 - a. President adheres to policies set
 3. DM has governing authority, including judiciary, financial
 4. Approves degrees awarded as presented by Secretary of Corporation
 5. Approve all courses of instruction, e.g. establishment of BE major
 6. Responsible for endowment – management
 - a. 3 years ago, MIT established MIT Investment Management Company – Seth Alexander from Yale oversees
 - b. 1990 - < \$900,000, last year about \$10 billion; 10 year period, returned over 15% each year
 7. Approve capital plan – all new buildings and funding for buildings
 - a. Fin policy: do not begin approving structure until at least 80% of money on hand, not including debt to ensure ability to finance buildings
 - b. MIT has AAA debt rating
 - i. MIT has about \$1 billion in debt – took on when interest rates were low, debt structure is good → bullet debt

- c. Have about 300 acres around campus, expansion contiguous to NE and NW regions; academic locus is moving N, NE while residential is moving N, NW
 - d. MIT largest tax-payer in Cambridge (greatly exceeds Harvard b/c most of their land is used for academic purposes) – MIT uses a lot for commercial purposes, good relationship with Cambridge b/c agreement on land devoted to commercial purposes
 - e. Operating budget: about \$2 billion/year
- 8. 5-6 standing committees: Audit (in compliance on law, accounting, spending, controls), Membership (Chaired by DM, responsible for nominating new members to Corporation), Development (Chaired by DM responsible for fundraising for Corporation), Executive (8 members of Corporation elected by Corporation that do monthly governance of institute, similar to corporate board of directors – include Pres, DM, Executive VP, others w/extensive experience on Corporation), CJAC (students, faculty, corporation members designed to deal with institute-wide issues w/advice of those 3 groups and reports from time to time to Corporation)
- 9. Visiting Committees: focused on centers, activities, departments
 - a. Made up of Corporation members (5-6), alumni members (5-6), Presidential nominees (5-6) who are experts in the field – combination of perspectives on each department and always meet with student (grad and undergrad), faculty (senior and junior)
 - i. good opportunity for students to get involved in governance
 - ii. Reports (2) always made by these VCs to senior administration and corporation
 - b. Perhaps CJAC can start writing reports to get concerns down on paper
- 10. 45 term members, each year elect 9 members for 5 year terms (staggered)– 5 outsiders, 3 alumni (elected by Alumni Association), 1 recent graduate (last 10 years, usually from last 2-3 years, Screening Committee manages this process)
 - a. 60% of Corporation are undergrad alums, 10-15% grad alums
 - b. Composition meant to reflect student body in some ways (% of women, race, geography) and real world (get good advice from leaders of the world)
 - c. 7 or 8 academics (e.g. Shirley Jackson, Anita Jones), 13 finance (e.g. John Thain, Jim Simons, Art Samberg, Denis Bovin, Bob Millard), 6-8 from Biotech, big Pharma, health and med, 7 venture capitalists

- d. Internationally: members from Taiwan, Singapore, India, Hong Kong, Japan
- e. There are also life members – stay on until 75 then become emeritus
 - i. Currently 20 life members

11. Approve salaries

c. Q&A:

- i. Itai: Corporation's vision of MIT in long term (10, 15, 30 years)
- ii. DM: hard to project 30 years, need to plan 5-10 years ahead
 - 1. MIT has powerful brand – will be face with financial challenges (as fed govt decreases funding for large blocks of research in institutions as money is getting sliced in political process) and need to find other sources of funding
 - a. Will need to get closer to industry and commerce to fund
 - i. MIT believes in basic research whereas corporations tend to focus on applied research
 - ii. Boards often reluctant to put large chunks of money into basic research
 - b. Philanthropic mainstream: hopefully can collect some of that but will need to do more
 - c. Returns on endowment: have been successful in the past, but future unclear
 - 2. Huge amount of deferred maintenance on campus (as w/other peer schools): \$ needs to maintain these buildings
 - a. Building 6 renovation designed to get HVAC into courtyard
 - b. Bosworth building needs windows
 - c. Hard to raise money for deferred maintenance
 - 3. Collaboration and overlap of inter-disciplinary areas in academic work
 - a. Will change MIT's organization – school structures, degrees
 - 4. Size
 - a. #s of undergrads, grad students – financial, student life ramifications
 - 5. Relationship of higher education to government
 - a. Govt wants to know why institutions can't spend more out of endowment
 - b. MIT spends 5% (currently mandated), but danger would be if they specified how it is to be spent
 - c. Versions of Sarbanes-Oxley (for financial institutions) for academic institutions currently in progress → DM: not good for students
- iii. James: Walker has potential to be used more effectively, suggestions on how it can be used more effectively:

- iv. DM: Walker needs to be renovated, would provide options for a lot of things to do
 - 1. Ways to get involved in process: currently Martin starts committee to open up dialogue to get some consensus on students' opinions' of best use of it
→ then take forward
 - a. major faculty club?
 - b. New Sloan building's strange structure: not allowed to tear down
Arthur D. Little building b/c Cambridge says is historic
- v. James: is MIT educational institution, research? Who are the stakeholders
- vi. DM: both educational and research university
 - 1. In Europe educational and research universities done separately – MIT's marriage of education and research is a good example of that
 - 2. Most of faculty believes teaching improves perspective on research
 - 3. Tremendous focus on undergraduate education, admissions process
 - 4. Stakeholders: students, faculty, administrators, staff (10,000 here)
 - a. In all of our interests to make things work
 - b. Mission of MIT: educate students, support faculty in research and teaching
- vii. James: parallel to Wall Street: shareholders make decisions for a Corporation, should students, faculty be able to remove administrators that aren't doing their job?
- viii. DM: Corporation hires administrators, but students were a part of suggestions/advising on search; the student group that came up with report talked to some of the candidates, but Corporation ultimately makes decision
 - 1. Corporation is corporate board if you make parallel w/corporation
- ix. Paul: Bish expressed idea that MIT is becoming more "corporate", what are your reactions?
- x. DM: not quite sure what he's talking about, MIT far from corporate → perhaps he's referring to centralized decision making?
 - 1. We have 5 schools, but very centralized, e.g. centralized endowment, operating budget
- xi. Tina Srivastava: is MIT's goal to instill in students philanthropic goals or provide resources to contribute to world?
- xii. DM: not mutually exclusive, MIT admits high quality of students → everyone in this room has responsibility incumbent on them to take leadership role in societies in the world, but need the skills, education, background gained here at MIT and outside of classroom to exercise leadership role most effectively
 - 1. MIT must graduate students who look at leadership in society as responsibility in their futures

2. Has personally had extensive experience talking to MIT students about leadership, played role in developing leadership programs here at MIT → finds leadership very important
 3. People with understanding of technologies are the ones who will have to step up to lead
- xiii. Riley: deferred renovations? What about EC and Burton Conner?
- xiv. DM: can't answer, doesn't know
- xv. Cyril: MIT's plans to increase undergraduate enrollment, how does this change costs?
- xvi. DM: plan is to increase entering classes by around 100 to 150, plan to add another 400 – 500 to undergrad population
1. Will put a burden on existing resources to an extent, will have to think about shifting, creating new resources: dining, athletics, faculty, courses
 2. Used to be 400 on waiting list - This year put more people on waiting list because not sure about what was happening regarding financial
- xvii. Andrew Lukmann: some schools around country have students on their "Corporation" → would/can that happen at MIT?
- xviii. DM: does not see it changing in near future, but thinks it can happen in future
- xix. Andrew Lukmann: what are the roadblocks?
- xx. DM: combination of history, trying to reduce liability of individuals (D&O insurance, time involved)
- xxi. Itai: quick insight on how decisions made regarding financial aid this year, next year
- xxii. DM: next year uncertain, will look at impacts of this year to determine future
1. Discussed for 3 exec meetings, discussing before Harvard made announcement
 2. Large population at MIT on aid
 3. If you look at Harvard's distribution on income, some from low income, have very small middle-class, large number from very high income (legacies) → trying to fill gap of middle-income representation, unintended consequence was that legacies are not getting pushed out but low income kids might so MIT watching carefully to see what happens
 4. Made changes on Pell grants, work requirement lowered, set at 75K, took out calculation of home-equity value
- xxiii. Kate: can reports by Visiting Committees be made available to students?
- xxiv. DM: has to think about, basically public documents but there is sensitive information regarding departments' concerns, will definitely consider it
- xxv. Luke: FY 2007 – MIT's endowment 22%, huge portion was from real estate; how does subprime affect? Inflation? Tuition?
- xxvi. DM: subprime barely affected MITIMCO, took MIT out 9 months ago

1. We won't make 22% but won't affect amount distributed out of endowment for operating budget, including support of financial aid; higher than target of 4.5-5.5%
 - a. Fairly small impact
 2. Rise in inflation will not affect tuition in short run
 3. MIT took a hit on steel, oil may have an effect
2. Recess/Food (10 min recommended; 15 min max)
 3. Welcome and Announcements (21 min)
 4. Constituency Fund! (1 min)
 - a. Commend Sun and Keone for submitting Constituency Fund requests
 - b. Apply to Irina for Constituency Fund: reason for event, description, sample budget
 5. Senate Proj Updates (10 min)
 - a. Any Senator who doesn't have a proj or who wants a new proj can choose from white board list (5 min)
 - i. Riley: working with UA Athletics for UA Athletics Weekend this week
 - ii. Cathy: same as Riley
 - iii. James: working with DSL on survey for new dean requirements
 1. Voice: working w/Itai regarding convenience store/dining options in EC
 - iv. Maggie: SLOPE meeting for today cancelled, some new initiatives in works
 - v. Itai: similar to James → working on survey for EC
 - vi. Nana: contacting different student groups for next month
 - vii. Grace: boards in UA office, will make bags for next meeting
 - viii. Kate: history committee meeting this week, DSL meeting will collect useful data regarding dean of student life
 - ix. Dennis: needs to choose new project
 - x. Paul: enrollment going okay, talking to Cyril to add him to committee, drafted letter for departments willing to talk to them, ideally by Wednesday
 - xi. Akash: bills have been written, working w/Writing Center regarding wording
 - xii. Allen: trying to schedule meeting for next meeting regarding Shuttle Trak (w/Luke)
 - xiii. Hans: opposing on principle but history committee needs more people on it
 - xiv. Arti: spoke w/Tiffany Guo regarding Alumni event (2 days before commencement)
 - xv. Cyril, Rose, Dennis need projects
 - b. Feedback slips from Dorm Suggestion Boxes Discussion (5 min)
 - i. Paul: more free food, none really constructive
 - ii. James: distributive computing on Athena but IS&T can't do it
 - iii. Cathy: make Ana's close doors, student center makes us smell bad

- c. Bulletin Boards – please ask dorm governments for space to put them, 2x3’ providing UA information
 - d. Who has New Business for today?
 - i. No one
- 6. Call to Order (1 min)
- 7. Approval of the Minutes from 39 UAS 9 (1 min)
 - a. Vote: all in favor except 1 oppose
- 8. Report from Executive (Martin and Ali) (3-5 min)
 - a. A lot of strategic planning meetings lately, drafted article of faculty newsletter → will form committee of 4 undergrads, 4 grads, Kirk Kolenbrander, Chancellor, Dean of SL, Dean of UE, (2 more administrators) Anne McCants, Bish Sanyal
 - b. Has been difficult to get action on dining, W1, Career Fair
 - c. W1 is putting in dining without consulting Blue Ribbon Committee
 - d. Ali and Martin wrote letter to President, Dean for Student Life and Chancellor regarding Blue Ribbon Dining Committee, vague response; Chris followed up, Chancellor wanted to wait until Blue Ribbon had done its work
 - e. Larry promised to talk to Chancellor, unsuccessful at getting meeting with Chancellor until Blue Ribbon had done its work → no resolution
 - f. Chris has meeting w/Larry on Thursday regarding long letter he sent over weekend
 - g. 10-15 people had long strategic meeting regarding student engagement and next steps
 - h. Need to address student relations/engagement – UA needs to deal w/it publicly
- 9. Swearing In: Rose Yu (Baker) and Dylon Rockwell (New House) (3 min)
 - a. Sworn in
 - b. Introductions
 - i. Rose: 10B freshman representing Baker
 - ii. Dylon: 16 freshman representing New House
- 10. Review and Vote on Proposed Committee Chairs (18 min)
 - a. UA Housing Chair: David Templeton and Maggie Delano
 - i. David: senior, will be super senior, lives in BC; outgoing editor of Technique, current photography editor of Tech, editor of Women of West Side calendar, care a lot about MIT’s culture
 - ii. Maggie: sophomore from EC, really interested in Housing, cares a lot about W1 Founders, deferred maintenance
 - iii. Floor opened for questions
 - 1. Hans: Why co-chairs?

2. Martin: committee doesn't have people on it, so co-chairs, east and west
 3. Hans: plan to increase membership?
 4. Maggie: focus as interim, not a priority for remaining month
 5. Paul: plans for W1?
 6. David: plans to get in contact, wants to be involved in decision-making
 7. Maggie: met w/W1 Housemaster and W1 sent a rep to DormCon today -> can help them get in touch w/students of other student groups
 8. Motion to extend discussion for 1 minute: passed
 9. Paul: FSILGs concerns?
 10. Maggie: don't know of outstanding major issues
 11. Akash: would you be opposed to taking on FSILG members on committee?
 12. David: no problem
- iv. Candidates asked to step outside
 - v. Martin: transition is important for next year
 - vi. Akash: worried about their complacency regarding recruiting members
 - vii. Martin: told them that focus is not on recruiting given 1 month left
 - viii. Motion to close discussion: approved
 - ix. Vote on candidates: all in favor except 2 abstain
- b. UA Resource Development Chair: Rajiv not here at the moment
 - i. Moving to later point in agenda
 - c. Webmaster: Martin still talking to person, asks for feedback regarding transition/timing?
 - i. Kate: should not put off until next year b/c it will never get done
 - ii. Jason: still look at webmaster now, talk with successor on Friday
 - iii. Martin: can wait one week
 - iv. Hans: maybe try to lock someone in for next year
 - v. Akash: lock someone in for the summer

11. Topic of the Week: Student Engagement (15 min max)

- a. Martin encourages people to come to these meeting
 - i. How to move forward? Maybe see how task force proceedings go first?
 - ii. Need to move forward with students – do we do in positive light? Negative light? - Puts more pressure on administration
 - iii. Martin shows designs/logos – have developed timeline, strategy, messaging
- b. What does success mean?
 - i. Committees: do we want to demand student membership on these admin committees?
 - ii. Demand administrators to come to monthly student meetings?
 - iii. UA drafts report and expect administrator response at beginning of term
 - iv. Developing “contract” regarding student involvement
- c. Hans: need to attack on multiple levels

- i. Some part should focus on administration, be civil
 - ii. Maybe invite discussion in Tech, e.g. Clay
- d. Martin: US govt has teams thinking in rogue mentality, establish shadow govt?
- e. James: we can complain a lot but hard to push to action
 - i. Maybe alumni can help – e.g. admins turning MIT into an Ivy
 - ii. Martin: how to mobilize alumni?
- f. Akash: most alumni donations go to specific causes, would be hard to convince alumni to pull funding that would directly hurt students
- g. Kate: whoever is elected next can pretend not responsible for this committee?
- h. Hans: should use alumni tactic and war committee
- i. Cyril: aggravating them would draw attention
- j. Motion to extend discussion for 3 minutes: all in favor
- k. Riley: students do care, points to examples in the Tech
- l. Nana: 2nd option much more aggressive, allowing admins to decide on positive or negative outlook
- m. Maggie: have many routes to connect to admin, should use these shirts to get students behind this idea
- n. Martin: email him with comments
 - i. Meeting w/Dick Amster Wednesday 8pm, 7pm strategy meeting beforehand in Senate Chambers

12. Legislation (64 min suggested; 347583264 min approximated time)

- a. 10.1: Nom Comm Reform
 - i. Martin: effective communication w/these 47 committees has been difficult
 - 1. 2 amendments: Replace “UA Senate” with “UA Executive Committee” and 2nd whereas clause from “to” to “the”
 - ii. Hans: concerned that exec won’t give enough attention to this, maybe approval process can be similar to finboard allocations process
 - iii. Paul: how to ensure a person removed gets replaced?
 - 1. Martin: thinks intuitive that someone should be replaced if they are removed
 - iv. Hans: should be Senate bylaws modification
 - v. Maggie: what are NomComm’s thoughts?
 - vi. Martin: has talked to Raff about specific committees, these people need to have checks and balances
 - vii. Motion to extend debate by 3 minutes: approved
 - viii. Paul: would it be feasible to have NomComm work on this since have most direct contact w/these candidates
 - ix. Martin: previous years no accountability, this year much better
 - x. Motion to postpone until next meeting: not passed

- xi. Motion to table discussion until end of meeting: 12 in favor, 3 oppose
- b. 10.2: Fresh Fund Version 1
 - i. Withdraws legislation b/c 10.3 in same spirit
- c. 10.3: Fresh Fund Version 2
 - i. Moving to Senate Discretionary would allow more freedom for the spending that has been occurring
 - ii. Ali: premature to suggest that not enough demand, maybe we needed to publicize more, should leave Fresh Fund as is
 - iii. Akash: opposed to 70% that clause b/c we've advertised that money is available in that fund
 - iv. Jason: have we talked to Larry Benedict about how the money's been allocated?
 - v. Martin: before, Larry Benedict had many funding requests, did not stipulate that fund new initiatives
 - 1. Point is the money is to be used for groups, not UA operations; new or not is not issues
 - vi. Riley: most requests this year were emergency requests; having fresh fund smaller does not limit from getting money from discretionary fund, makes sure they won't get overlooked
 - vii. Martin: have approved 8-10K in total 20K, some groups may not have been spent
 - viii. Hans: don't think publicity main problem
 - 1. Funding is about minutia and principle to give as many groups opportunity to get at this money
 - ix. Motion to extend debate for 2 minutes: all in favor except 3 oppose, 1 abstention
 - x. Allen: would it be bad to give FinBoard this money?
 - xi. Hans: if Finboard implements rolling appeals would be possible, but currently would be hard to approve this on fly
 - xii. Martin: not putting in FinBoard would be give us more flexibility, especially with groups that usually request b/c in emergency
 - 1. Suggests 3K used on new initiatives, rest on non-internal initiatives
 - xiii. Vote: All in favor, 1 oppose, 3 abstain
- d. 10.4: Endowment
 - i. Itai: what is crisis at Next House?
 - ii. Hans: woke up one day and discovered they have no money
 - 1. Miscalculated, need a lot more money to start endowment, but wants to create safety net fund
 - iii. Martin: can we put in invested reserve, and keep stipulation, e.g. can't let it drop under a certain number?
 - iv. Hans: will bring back as by-law change
 - v. Motion to postpone indefinitely

13. Recess (5 min)

14. Continuation of Legislation

- a. 10.5: Bill to Provide Funding for the MIT Debate Team to Host the National Debate Championships – Delayed until author arrives
- b. 10.6: Reading Room Air Quality - Delayed until author arrives
- c. 10.7: New Dorm
 - i. Martin: new that clause to name in honor of Phil Clay
 - ii. Friendly amendment by Hans: change from \$1000 to \$1
 - iii. Motion to table indefinitely not approved
 - iv. Motion to strike first that clause: approved
 - v. Vote: All in favor except 1 oppose, 2 abstain
 - vi. Martin: will probably veto bill!
- d. 10.8: Admin Mtg Minutes
 - i. Martin: supports but transcribing notes would be considerable amount of additional work
 - ii. Hans: even photocopying would allow people who want to access would be helpful
 - iii. Jason: Martin, what proportion of meetings are confidential?
 - iv. Martin: not many, sometimes particular information is sensitive
 - v. Vote: All in favor
- e. Return to 10.1
 - i. Martin: maybe change first that clause to NomComm presenting potential advocates on paper and present to exec
 - ii. Hans: suggest change to bylaws
 - iii. Martin: postpone discussion until amendments have all been made
 - iv. Motion to table until end of meeting: passed
- f. Return to 10.5
 - i. President of MIT Debate Team Adam Goldstein – our team has been working for a long time on hosting this. We don't want the rest of the world to know that we're having difficulties because a large sponsor backed out, and would hope we could get funding from within MIT. Finboard and LEF would not fund us.
 - ii. Hans – Finboard didn't have money to fund this event
 - iii. Adam – LEF said they couldn't fund this because they prioritize events that would only happen with their funding. They also got a lot of requests
 - iv. Dennis – I really hope this gets past; the debate team is qualified and dedicated
 - v. Hans – we really should help them out. I propose we change the last that clause to say Senate Discretionary. We should strike last whereas clause, because we shouldn't necessarily be funding the same year after year.

- vi. Keone – this isn't funding the recurring event. Last spring, Senate funded this same event. The whereas clause is there to show that Senate supports this event, extenuating circumstances have arisen, and they need more funding.
 - vii. Andrew – hasn't Debate come to senate for funding numerous times over the past 5 years?
 - viii. Adam – I've only been here 2 years, but Debate hasn't bid to host the championships for 10 years
 - ix. Hans – I withdraw amendment to strike last whereas, but it should be changed to say it is the same event. Anyway, this is a lot of money and should be reduced
 - x. Paul – other funding sources?
 - xi. Adam – we have numerous other expenditures, so when we've asked for funding, we've asked offices, schools and so on to fund specific things. When we come back to ask for more funding, they say no.
 - xii. Hans – you might go into debt. How will this impact your solvency?
 - xiii. Adam – we'd barely have enough money to cover 8000 in expenses from this
 - xiv. Motion to vote –
 - xv. BILL PASSED
 - xvi. Adam – all of you are invited to final round; more info later
- g. Go back to 39 UAS 10.6 Reading room air quality
- i. Paul's friendly motion to take out 2nd whereas clause, change 3rd from "this" to "a"
 - ii. Akash: response will probably be to prevent food in reading room
 - iii. Keone: Akash brings up good point
 - iv. Martin: Phil Walsh in charge of student center, email him and he'll take care of it
 - v. Andrew Lukmann: what is hygiene issue?
 - vi. Keone: personal hygiene
 - vii. Hans: Should change 2 that clauses if Phil Walsh will finish, efforts should be made so that students can eat food in there
 - viii. Hans motions to drop 2 that clauses: "UA works with CAC to improve air quality of reading room without reducing students' ability to take food into the reading room"
 - ix. Akash: right now it's illegal to bring food in, "reduce" is not appropriate room
 - x. Friendly amendment to change to 2 that clauses to:
 1. That the UA work with the Campus Activities Complex take steps to improve the air quality in the reading room, considering solutions such as the installation of commercial-grade air fresheners or deodorizers, or increased ventilation; and
 2. That the CAC maintain its current stance on, and level of enforcement of, the food and drink prohibition in the Reading Room.
 - xi. Vote: All in favor except 1 abstention
- h. Go back to 10.1
- i. Hans proposes amendment: first that clause deleted

1. Amendment passes
- ii. Andrew: have you had discussions w/faculty to change this policy?
- iii. Martin: we don't technically have power to remove right now, except can derecognize; Bish Sanyal thinks we should have power over own students
- iv. Motion to postpone until next meeting: passed

15. New Business (8 x n min, where n=number of pieces of legislation)

- a. Martin talks about list of issues UA trying to address, Senators should email Martin if interested in getting involved
 - i. Campaign for Students: many students seem to be passionate about deferred renovations
- b. Motion to reopen 10.6 for discussion
 - i. Hans: new that clause is fine but last whereas clause is questionable
 - ii. Motion to strike last whereas clause: failed
 - iii. Motion to add "probably" in last whereas clause: passed
 - iv. Vote: all in favor

16. Senate Officer Nominations (As long as necessary)

- a. Martin: please only accept if seriously considering
- b. Hans: rescind acceptance before nominations process closes
- c. Irina gives overview of each role
- d. Speaker -
 - i. Hans nominates Paul: accepts
 - ii. Hans nominates Jason: accepts
 - iii. Kate nominates Maggie: declines
 - iv. Ali nominates Keone: accepts
- e. Vice-Chair
 - i. Hans nominates Itai: accepts
 - ii. Kate nominates Maggie: accepts
 - iii. Keone nominates Sun: accepts
 - iv. Hans nominates Paul: accepts
 - v. Keone nominates Rajiv: not here
 - vi. Keone nominates Allen: accepts
- f. Senate Rep to Exec
 - i. Ali nominates Paul: decline
 - ii. Ali nominates Keone: accepts
 - iii. Ali nominates Itai: accepts
 - iv. Kate nominates James: accepts
 - v. Keone nominates Riley: accepts
- g. Senate Rep to FinBoard

- i. Maggie nominates James: accepts
- ii. Keone nominates Allen: declines
- iii. Hans nominates Keone: accepts
- iv. Ali nominates Maggie: declines
- v. Ali nominates Kate: accepts
- vi. Hans? nominates Riley: accepts
- vii. Keone nominates Itai: accepts
- viii. Keone nominates Grace: declines

17. Action Items (5 min)

- a. Senate Projects, Const Fund, Dorm Feedback Slips, Bulletin Boards available at next meeting
- b. Irina: possible areas of action
 - i. Kate suggests having Visiting Committee meeting minutes available to Senate
 - ii. James mentioned consensus on uses for Walker

18. Good of the Order (.5 x n min, where n=number of announcements + 10 min or less for Senate Photo)

- a. Martin will send email with list of issues
- b. Riley has flyers for Athletics Weekend – volunteers needed, can email Cathy
- c. Akash: what is going on with Treasurer? Some people who have received Fresh Fund \$ haven't gotten it
 - i. Martin: this semester work for Treasurer has not been done, need someone to do duties until end of term
 - 1. Who want to be Treasurer?
 - a. Riley, Hans
 - ii. Ali: Lucia has been flying to grad schools and has not had time to address UA issues
- d. Martin: this Wednesday 7pm Strategy meeting, 8pm Dick Amster will come to MIT (Long-term strategic planning for Facilities)
- e. Keone: apologizing for having to leave; Kudos to Riley and Cathy on Athletics Weekend
- f. Itai: how long will this take? 2.5-3 hours?
- g. Martin: probably won't be as long
- h. Martin: advising survey went out Saturday, close to 1000 responses, encourage dorms to respond b/c will be presented at faculty meeting
- i. Martin: encourage dorms to vote in election
- j. Ali: this week is Poverty Week, Millennium Campus Conference this weekend!
- k. Hans: encourage constituents to be on FinBoard
- l. Senate Photo for Infinite Poster

19. Adjournment (.00001 seconds)