

39 U.A.S. 11
Meeting Minutes
April 21, 2008
8:00pm
Senate Chambers (W20-400)

1. Roll Call
2. Special Guest: Jim Champy, Life Member of MIT Corporation
 - a. Martin introduces Jim: Life Member of the Corporation, member of Exec Committee (meet more frequently than Corporation) on financial aid and budgets
 - i. Been in touch on issues such as student involvement, dining, financial aid
 - b. Jim Champy (JC) introduces himself
 - i. Came to MIT in 1959 as freshman expecting to be architect, graduated w/Bachelor and Master of Civil Engineering degrees, went to Law School, formed computer infosystems company w/colleagues, 1996 – worked w/Ross Perot at Perot Systems; now VP of Strategy and doing consulting strategy work, written business and management books, involved in philanthropy (his law school and Berklee School of Music); wife and son are both alumni
 - ii. Describes work of Corporation: 3 important functions
 1. Trustees of assets of Institute, intellectual and financial
 - a. Intellectual through Visiting Committee structure
 2. Advisors to administration on policy decisions, particularly exec committee
 - a. MIT is run by administration, not Corporation: job is governance and oversight not execution; different from other institutions
 3. Elect the President
 - iii. Executive Committee (term appointments), meets monthly for ½ day to 1 day – most actively engaged Corporation members
 - iv. JC serves as Chair of VC of Civil & Environmental Engineering
 - v. Chair of Advisory Committee of Campus Development, less formal title
 - vi. Also chaired last Corporation search committee for 8 months – to appoint Susan Hockfield
 - vii. Exec Committee
 1. Been involved in decisions that have to do w/major capital expenditures – have recently invested a lot of infrastructure here at MIT
 2. Been involved in financial aid decisions – become a political issues
 - a. One risk is that it becomes a nationally politicized issue – not good b/c we don't need national government to tell us how to use endowments
 - b. Impacts students, and long term policy/practice for major institutions
 - c. Yesterday's NYTimes indicates that most prestigious and best institutions may become free while other institutions struggle → something is wrong w/the model, in discussion w/what MIT's position should be esp w/other institutions in competition
 3. Where MIT puts its resources intellectually
 - a. Issues such as where does \$ to support research go, how to help growing departments
 - b. MIT has fixed faculty → consulting decisions regarding where \$ allocated
 - viii. Author of several books: "Reengineering the Corporation" about business reform
 1. Came out w/book called "Outsmart"

c. Q&A

- i. Keone: dissatisfaction of student body w/administration's decisions, wants to reiterate that satisfaction; what more can we be doing to get our voices heard? Is working w/members of Corporation a good way? Are members of the Corporation willing to assist us?
- ii. JC: as he hears these issues, realizes something's broken, either processes in place not working or people not doing their job
 1. Don't think it's rocket science to fix these problems. We don't need a complex structure to deal with this. MIT is run by the administration and MIT needs processes to hear the community to make effective decisions.
 2. Administration ultimately makes decisions, but hopes that right people in place
 3. Martin's negotiation w/Kirk and Chancellor to look at these processes
 4. Kirk, Chancellor and Hockfield aware of problems
 5. Exec committee aware of problem, will not tell admins how to address
 6. Leverage points: students in this room, new Dean
 - a. New Dean must be tuned and empathetic w/student point of view
 - i. Thinks there should be student advisory group of 4-5 students who meet regularly to ensure active discussion taking place → structure in place until issues is fixed
 - ii. Shouldn't tolerate as students issues that are being ignored, hold Dean accountable for management
 - b. As former chair of IFC, did not feel like these issues existed
 7. Opinions of students highly respected from Corporation point of view (e.g. students on presidential search committee – seriously listened to)
- iii. Itai: why doesn't Corporation agree w/ making higher education free?
- iv. JC: not Corporation's view, some of Exec Committee believe that it's counterintuitive to take make one of best assets of education free to everyone, especially those who are able to pay
 1. We don't have endowment like Harvard and Yale to make education free
 2. Why pay for students who can afford to pay rather than put into research or other areas → these are value discussions
 3. Can MIT becoming tuition-free be considered progress? Not clear
 4. MIT very committed to being need blind
 5. We have more students who are first generation college students
- v. Ali: What are the biggest issues that will define MIT experience 5 to 10 years from now?
- vi. JC:
 1. one of big issues is to build scale of endowment so we can afford to do what we really need to do
 - a. Given quality of students and faculty, we're under-resourced, need to support faculty and students better
 2. MIT is betting on energy, brain and cognitive, cancer initiatives, which bubble up from faculty
 - a. Faculty tends to look for big issues which is great
 - b. Place like MIT works because develops deep capabilities in department areas while also working across schools and laboratories to take on big problems
 - i. People come together to solve big problems, one of MIT's big strengths
- vii. Jason: to what degree should students be involved in making decisions regarding

- dormitory discussions, e.g. layouts
- viii. JC: definitely thinks students should be involved functions and facilities' operations but decisions are made by administration and has seen recent breakdowns while is confounding
 - 1. Not where we need to be as institution in addressing dormitory issues
 - 2. Was not surprised about Ashdown decision, but obviously should have been discussion
 - ix. Cyril: why does exec board meet 4 times a year?
 - x. JC: correction, Executive board meetings every month whereas the Corporation meets 4 times a year
 - 1. Decisions are made by administration, executive committee is advisory, and voting regarding endowment and resources
 - 2. Around issues of student aid, Exec Comm doesn't make policy, administration brings forth proposal, sometimes argue and make changes before vote
 - 3. Exec Committee very seldomly votes against administration's decisions, which raises question of confidence in administration, but often changes proposal
 - a. Most operate w/presumption that operating w/competent people or else there is an issue with who is running the place
 - xi. Martin: in 2011, it will be MIT's 150th anniversary, is MIT kicking off a campaign
 - 1. What opportunities does MIT have in strengthening its future
 - 2. Is there chance to re-invigor ties w/government
 - xii. JC: government has backed off at many institutions w/support
 - 1. MIT is active in govt issues, but has been very difficult in this administration
 - a. MIT does not practice ear-marking
 - b. Seems like there's an anti-intellectual movement in DC
 - 2. On issues of campaign on MIT's resources, talks of changing fund-raising model
 - 3. In minority, but believes there should be a process where we raise more \$ every year
 - a. Should set goal for future and then set up mechanisms to raise more and more \$ each year
 - b. Unfortunately most fund-raising is done through campaign, but thinks we should break this model and break new one to generate large amounts of \$ each year
 - xiii. Jason: how do we work with, win over administration who are not necessarily helpful?
 - xiv. JC: sometimes can get behaviors to change, sometimes not
 - 1. Students need recourse to be heard, hasn't happened so far
 - 2. Part of working w/new Dean will be to establish recourse
 - a. Dean should be listening to students every day
 - b. Chancellor is important in this structure b/c Dean reports to Chancellor
 - c. Should confront
 - xv. Cyril: in the past 10 years, what is the best/worst thing that has happened as a result of the Corporation
 - xvi. JC:
 - 1. Best: search for Presidential Candidate, process of search was important and enriching process for Institute and those involved in search because very inclusive and transparent
 - a. Were issues, arguments, debates → Faculty, staff, students, Lincoln Lab, Corporation members had opportunity to be heard, sense of accomplishment in decision
 - b. Successfully kept candidates confidential until night before (Boston

- Globe got story)
 - c. Incredible excitement at announcement
 - d. Had not set out to find a female President
- 2. Most challenging issue: not Corporation issue, but came to Corporation
 - a. Clash of faculty in Brain & Cog about offer made to female
 - b. Embarrassing, difficult and complex situation – made many people feel harmed; Not proud of how it played out
 - c. Came to Exec Comm for advice
 - d. Not sure anything trustees could have done to prevent it
 - e. Faculty acted up, but not trustees' jobs to censure, perhaps jobs of Dean and Provost but doesn't happen easily because faculty has tenure and should maintain freedom of speech
- 3. 10 Minute Recess
- 4. Welcome and Announcements
- 5. Any New Business?
 - a. 6 new pieces
- 6. Review and Approval of 39 UAS 10 Minutes
 - a. Minutes approved unanimously
- 7. Report of the Executive
 - a. Martin – we're making progress on establishing relationships with influential people just in case the Taskforce on Student Engagement doesn't work out. The TSE will develop a guiding philosophy for how students are engaged, so we have less controversies like Green Hall and W1 Dining.
 - b. Martin - For our engagement campaign, we've ordered 1000 t-shirts, a Tech article is being worked on, the domino design was picked, a website is in the works.
 - c. Report from president-elect Noah Jessop
 - i. I intend to get to know you guys as we work together.
- 8. Standing Committees
 - a. Nomination of Rajiv for Resource Devt Chair
 - b. Not here, moved on
- 9. JudBoard Nominations
 - a. Josh Sklarsky wasn't here; moved to weekend shuttles
- 10. Topic of the Week: Weekend Shuttles
 - a. Itai – I talked with someone from GSC about this. People work during the weekends, and go to lab, office hours and so on. We will ask the administration to have shuttles on weekends. We are considering a joint-bill between the GSC and UA.
 - b. Allen – next year, saferide Boston E and W will be staggered by 15 minutes, and NextBus will be implemented in July.
 - c. Martin – contact Larry Brutti about this, he's in charge of shuttles. Your biggest charge is funding, because the institute is trying to reduce the amount of shuttles it runs. Basically, the walk-the-talk committee wants to reduce our carbon footprint. If you can handle funding and environmental issues, you'll be fine.

- d. Luke – what about a bridge shuttle?
- e. Martin – if anything, a bridge shuttle might be better than a campus shuttle, so it might be a higher priority for a lot of students.
- f. Maggie – what about students taking the number 1 bus across the bridge?
- g. Martin – it is far too unreliable.

11. 39 UAS 11.1—Funding for Team Treatment Buddy

- a. Kathleen Cui addresses Senate: Team Treatment started as IDEAS project
 - i. Pilot program will be in October this year
- b. Hans: don't believe there's \$4K is in Fresh Fund?
- c. Martin: \$ hasn't been moved until there's a Treasurer
 - i. Says 9K, assumes that some of stuff was already allocated been hasn't been transferred to the groups, other was probably be transferred to Discretionary Fund
 - ii. \$ should be coming out of Discretionary Fund or
- d. Hans: What is material costs and group leader costs?
- e. Kathleen: group leaders are on the group and need to be trained
 - i. Material: center support groups around activity because a social stigma
- f. Allen: \$ is not going to undergraduates
- g. Kathleen: trying to encourage students go abroad to work on these efforts
- h. Hans: don't think we should fund that much money as salaries, beyond the scope of student body
 - i. Only affecting 2 people even though more people in the group
 - ii. Don't see how it will benefit student body as whole
- i. Kathleen: only sending 2 because want to efficiently allot \$, other people are learning from this project
- j. Martin: a worthwhile event but some details that need to be worked out; dates, expenses, people, costs, maybe we can reduce amount given or give stipulative amount
 - i. Maybe consider amendments
- k. Hans: motion to amend to \$500 fails
- l. Allen motions to extend debate for 3 minutes: passes
- m. Ali: thinks it's a meritorious project
 - i. Motion to amend to \$2000: seconded
 - ii. Hans: \$2000 too much \$, not funding a new idea
 - iii. Cyril: has great potential but \$4K too much, 1/3 of total costs
 - iv. Riley: thinks they will need to do their own fund-raising, maybe fund transportation but think about stipulative amount
 - 1. Motions to amend, must raise \$6000 before UA gives final \$2000: seconded
 - a. Hans motions to amend only be used to pay for MIT undergraduate expenses
 - b. Vote: approved w/majority
 - 2. Ali: agrees there should be stipulation but given timing and economic conditions, may be stringent, maybe matching (raise \$2K and we give them \$2K)
 - 3. Martin: we should be talking about \$ they must have until they have it
 - 4. Hans: maybe give them \$1000 fundraiser seed \$
 - 5. Motion to extend debate by 2 minutes: approved
 - 6. Martin: let's use snap method to cut down times
 - 7. Allen: find it troubling that we are funding something without knowing how much \$ we had
 - 8. Hans: should postpone funding this, table bill

- 9. Vote: 12 approve, 5 oppose
- v. Vote on amendment to change from \$4000 to \$2000: all in favor except 1 abstention
- n. Motion to be considered at next meeting: 12 approve , 2 oppose, 3 abstention

12. 39 UAS 11.2—Funding for Israeli Independence Day BBQ

- a. Itai: 3 points
 - i. Use of Discretionary Fund b/c of last meeting's transfer
 - ii. 4th Whereas clause: celebration of 60th anniversary
 - iii. Took comments regarding Passover event into consideration, wants to open this celebration to MIT community
- b. Keone: Who's cooking?
- c. Cyril: 1500 for 150 is excessive, \$400 for Baker bbq was adequate
- d. Itai: took into account the suggested budget on Discretionary Fund, open to amendment
- e. Keone: overestimate, may be more expensive than \$400 because of things chosen for BBQ
- f. Martin: Study breaks for 150 people (class council) were good
- g. Hans: can Itai comment on type of food, did they try LEF funding?
- h. Itai: Israeli cooking is more complex, but we just want basic BBQ stuff
 - i. We have Kresge BBQ pits for that day
- i. Paul: seems to be event aimed at broad range of people, what about getting class council to support this
 - i. Timing – let it slip this year? why not apply for Finboard next year?
- j. Itai: point of group is throw events for Israeli holidays, also 60th anniversary; class council suggestion – can do a good job of advertising on their own
- k. Keone: motions to amend to \$1000, does not pass
- l. Hans: motions to amend to \$500, seconded
 - i. Itai: \$500 may be kind of low
 - ii. Cyril: what about Weekends, ARCADE funding?
 - iii. Itai: no, did not apply to LEF funding
 - iv. Cathy: if less funding, can it just be for fewer people?
 - v. Itai: don't want to turn anyone away, but not sure of numbers
 - vi. Keone: combining class council w/Israeli Association would be awkward
 - 1. \$500 too low, but we can trust Itai to spend appropriately
 - 2. More inclusive event than last proposed event
 - vii. Motion to extend debate for 2 minutes
 - viii. Sun: do you charge participation fee?
 - ix. Itai: no
 - x. Hans: where is supplementary budget? Should not allocate and see how much they spend, \$500/600
 - 1. Maybe make sure it's on Kresge
 - xi. Itai: has it and sent it
 - xii. Martin: getting 150 people to any event is a challenge, is the target reasonable?
 - xiii. Itai: believes this is reasonable
 - xiv. Vote for amendment: 11 in favor, 4 oppose
- m. Vote on bill: All in favor

13. Five Minute Recess

14. Senate Officer Elections

- a. Speaker: Paul, Jason, Keone
 - i. Paul:

1. make sure Senators conversing with constituents, especially FSILGs
2. making sure balancing, checking
3. improve relationship between exec and Senate
4. Martin: what is your time commitment?
5. Paul: dependent on elections of other organizations
6. Dennis: what about other positions running for?
7. Paul: withdrawing from Vice-Chair race, Senate Rep position would be a very interesting role
8. Sun: if elected, what would you change about Senate
9. Paul: work on length of meetings, question on how \$ has been focused on in past meetings
10. Allen: why be Speaker as opposed to Senator?
11. Paul: has a commitment to the UA, vested interest in making sure Senate remains relevant in upcoming years
12. Martin: one role is interfacing between UA Exec and Senate, what is your guiding philosophy?
13. Paul: Senate needs to have voice in executive policy
14. Irina: what qualities as Senator and leader that would make you a good Speaker?
15. Paul: tenacity, not getting people off track, charisma

ii. Jason:

1. Have been UA Senate Vice-Chair this past year
2. Talking to Noah Jessop about working w/him in any capacity
3. Being Senate speak would enable UAP to do job better
4. Martin: what is your time commitment? What is your plan for working w/Exec, that often has a lot of responsibilities?
5. Jason: will have more time on his hands, don't hold any other executive board positions, would be primary activity for year
 - a. Working w/exec: let Senate know what's going on in exec, vice versa
6. Sun: What would you keep/change about how Senate is run?
7. Jason: would keep it more or less the same, but a goal would be to work with UAP to come up with projects, and Senators pick from the list to get Senators more actively involved

iii. Keone:

1. Streamline process of Senators with bills: Wants to look into how we follow up on bills, next year need to involve Senators and constituents in status updates
2. Run meetings more efficiently by making use of elected positions
 - a. Vice-Chair: Maybe put projector in middle of room so people can see what most recent amendments are
 - b. Senate Rep to Finboard: highlight close votes and contentious bills
 - c. Treasurer: update Fresh Fund amount weekly
3. President of ASA, but also ready to commit himself to Senate and ties will be useful b/c of ties of GSC and knowledge of clubs
4. Hans: Committees or Projects?
 - a. Which should Senators work on?
5. Keone: start on committees, but could shift to projects
6. Martin: what is your time commitment? What is your plan for working w/Exec, that often has a lot of responsibilities?
7. Keone: putting most commitments aside to ASA President, classes
 - a. Relationship with Exec: Combination of updating exec, making sure

Senators involved in project after bills passed, maybe could spread some work to Senators

8. Closed discussion on candidates
 9. Motion to extend closed debates by 5 minutes: unanimous approval
 10. Motion to do secret ballot on speaker:
 11. New Speaker announced: Jason
- b. Vice-Chair: Itai, Maggie, Sun, Paul, Rajiv (pending), Allen
- i. Itai withdraws (Paul already withdrew)
 - ii. Maggie:
 1. Help speaker, represent Senate to Exec, coordinate with other governing bodies
 2. Wants to help UA coordinate better with other student groups, such as IFC, DormCon, ASA
 3. Martin: what is your time commitment? What is your plan for working w/Exec, that often has a lot of responsibilities?
 4. Maggie: VP of EC for first term, UA will probably take precedent over other things, will be priority
 - a. More helpful if we put a face on exec
 5. Paul: future ambitions with UA
 6. Maggie: making sure that people know what UA is doing, start by letting those other groups know what UA is doing
 7. Irina: Being Vice Chair means a lot of logistical tasks. are you ready and willing to do that?
 8. Maggie: yes
 - iii. Sun:
 1. Wants to streamline Senate meetings
 2. would probably be better as supporting figure
 3. Dependability and ability to carry out tasks
 4. Would be able to enforce ideas, takes Sergeant at Arms seriously
 5. Martin: what is your time commitment? What is your plan for working w/Exec, that often has a lot of responsibilities?
 6. Sun: continue w/private piano lessons, not running for positions in fraternity, courseload will be manageable (4-5 classes)
 - a. By streamlining meetings, people would be more excited to attend emails
 - b. Lines of communication
 - c. Get to know Senators on more personal level
 7. Irina: Being Vice Chair means a lot of logistical tasks. are you ready and willing to do that?
 8. Sun: as historian of pledge class, pretty capable of doing
 9. Noah: used word "streamline" – what do you mean?
 10. Sun: cutting down on excess, make things more accessible online, physicality of paper, time
 11. Irina: Being Vice Chair means a lot of logistical tasks. are you ready and willing to do that?
 12. Sun: yes
 - iv. Allen: Withdraws candidacy
 - v. Martin: please try to withdraw before leaving room
 - vi. Closed discussion begins
 1. Vote on motion to reopen nomination: 7 in favor, 4 oppose 4 abstention

- 2. Vote on motion to close nomination: 7 in favor, 2 oppose, 5 abstention
- vii. Motion to extend debate by 5 minutes: seconded and approved
- viii. New Vice-Speaker announced: Maggie
- c. Senate Rep to Exec: Paul, Keone, Itai, James, Riley
 - i. Riley withdraws
 - ii. Paul:
 - 1. Many of previous qualifications mentioned in Speaker platform
 - 2. Worked with Martin and other Exec members, particularly Dining, trying to bridge disconnect
 - iii. Keone:
 - 1. Many of previous qualifications mentioned in Speaker platform
 - 2. Wants to see more collaboration with Senators
 - iv. Itai:
 - 1. Representing Senate in Exec
 - a. Tracking bills passed, action in Exec
 - 2. Reporting from Exec to Senate
 - a. Update Senate on what's going on in Exec regarding Exec
 - 3. Interacting w/Exec about specific projects that need action
 - a. Has experience used to help him, e.g. serving in Israeli government before MIT
 - 4. Martin: what is your time commitment?
 - 5. Itai: 4-5 classes, UA, UROP, Israeli Association
 - 6. Dennis: you're also running Senate to Finboard. Which job do you want more?
 - v. James:
 - 1. More communication/transparency between Senate and Exec
 - a. Take special minutes for Exec during Senate meetings
 - b. Make sure Exec acts on bills Senate passes
 - 2. Help Exec with people
 - a. Currently, Senators don't have expertise Exec does; more communication between Senate and Exec
 - 3. Martin: what is your time commitment?
 - 4. James: 4 classes, DormCon, withdrawing for Senate Rep to Finboard
 - vi. New Senate Rep to Exec announced: Paul
- d. Senate Rep to Finboard: James, Keone, Kate, Riley, Itai
 - i. James withdraws
 - ii. Keone:
 - 1. Facilitate communication between Finboard and UA, part of problem this year is this year's Senate Rep to Finboard dropped out
 - a. See more update from Finboard
 - b. Hans will be graduating
 - 2. Irina: is your philosophy fiscally conservative/liberal?
 - 3. Keone: more fiscally conservative than others, but open to new ideas, need to reexamine Finboard's relationship with UA in context of new ideas
 - 4. Paul: will you be a Senator next year? What about balancing ASA President responsibilities?
 - 5. Keone: James did a pretty good job at being present, doesn't seem like a very big addition; Finboard will be a greater responsibility, but won't be a problem
 - 6. Paul: what about conflict of interest as Senator and ASA President
 - 7. Keone: serving as ASA President makes him more informed about funding for student groups

iii. Kate:

1. Was Treasurer of MIT Science Fiction Society, and rebuilt financial system and worked with Finboard
2. Knows a lot about how funding at MIT works
3. We were lucky this year with Hans as Finboard President
 - a. We don't know a lot about LEF/ARCADE funding – want to keep track of why these groups give or don't give funding
4. Irina: is your philosophy fiscally conservative/liberal?
5. Kate: generally fiscally liberal, but want to make sure it's used well and fiscally conservative with groups with no plan
6. Hans: do you believe in fiscal control? UA Finboard website?
7. Kate: groups should decide on their events; course 6 major, code
8. Hans: other time commitments
9. Kate: President of MIT Science Fiction Society

iv. Riley:

1. Funding experience in high school
2. Hoping to work as Interim Treasurer, hope it will be applicable
3. Seeks to be Senator next year
4. Enjoys math
5. Being more active/present at Finboard meetings
6. Keep up to date on groups requesting funding from Finboard
7. Irina: is your philosophy fiscally conservative/liberal?
8. Riley: fiscally conservative, satisfy needs of groups who use money most effectively first
9. Hans: To what degree should Finboard involve themselves in spending on groups?
10. Riley: treasurers are responsible, don't need to make sure every line item is inflexible
11. Hans: new group and old group asking for \$1000. Which group would you fund?
12. Riley: depends on context; whether or not group has used money effectively in past?
 - a. Will lean towards old group, Try to direct new group to other resources
 - b. Motion to extend debate: 5 in favor, 6 oppose, 2 abstain

v. Itai:

1. Biggest role is to make sure knows everything's going on in Finboard
2. Expand knowledge regarding allocations for line items
 - a. Let Senators be part of decisions in allocations
3. Irina: is your philosophy fiscally conservative/liberal?
4. Itai: liberal, we're here for the students, think about how to help student groups as much as possible
5. Hans: should extra money be spent on groups or rolled over? To what degree should Finboard involve themselves in spending in groups and control regarding events? new group and old group asking for \$1000. Which group would you fund?
6. Itai: extra money either save it for appeals or rainy day or ask Senate about thoughts; fiscal control – implement contract, this money can only be used for XYZ; give \$500 to each and work with other people to help students get their money

vi. Motion to reopen questioning for Keone: 5 in favor, 6 oppose, 1 abstention

- vii. Closed discussion
- viii. Motion to extend debate for 5 minutes: all in favor except 4 abstentions
- ix. New Senate Rep to Finboard: Riley

15. New Business

- a. Motion to take 5 minute recess: 12 in favor, 2 oppose, 0 abstain
- b. 11.3 Funding for LSC's "31 Hours 4 Minutes: Seeting the Coast-to-Coast Driving Record
 - i. Motion to open 11.3: passed
 - ii. James authors 39 UAS 11.3
 - iii. Hans: thinks amount appropriate for size
 - iv. Hans motions to vote
 - v. Vote: all in favor
- c. 11.4 Bill to Fund MIT UAEM for Speaker Awareness Event
 - i. Motion to open 11.4 for discussion: passed
 - ii. Ye authors 39 UAS 11.4
 - iii. Hans: concrete details? Speakers?
 - iv. Ye: have speakers willing to come
 - v. Hans: do you have a room?
 - vi. Ye: thinking of reserving Kresge? Other room? Don't know as much as Paul Montgomery
 - vii. Keone: is there a way to get him here now?
 - viii. Ye: can email him
 - ix. Martin: how about funding event if it happens?
 - x. Hans: little detail, sketchy
 - xi. Motion to table bill until we contact Paul: all in favor
- d. 11.5 Bill to Create the UA Alumni Relation Committee
 - i. Motion to open for discussion: passed
 - ii. Martin: how about charging Resource Development to do Alumni Relation? Or change the name group
 - iii. Hans agrees about changing name of group
 - iv. Motion to table until next Senate meeting: all in favor
- e. 11.6 Bill to Increase UA Financial Security and Responsibility
 - i. Motion to open for discussion: passed
 - ii. Keone: second that clause is good
 - iii. Martin: is this a new bill or amendment of last time?
 - iv. Hans: new bill
 - v. Martin motions to table discussion: vote: all in favor
- f. 11.7 Bill to Update the John S. Hollywood and Jeremy D. Sher Award Plaques
 - i. Motion to open for discussion: passed
 - ii. Martin motions to vote: all in favor
 - iii. Vote: all in favor
- g. 11.8 Bill to Create UA War Committee
 - i. Motion to open for discussion: passed
 - ii. Paul: this is definition of Senate
 - iii. Hans: Senate's role is legislation not necessarily take
 - iv. Martin: already passed legislation creating committee on Student Engagement, except more militant
 - v. Motion to table: all in favor except 1 abstention
- h. Martin reintroduces 39 UAS 10.1
 - i. Motion to reopen for discussion: passed

- ii. Hans reauthors amended bill, That Article VI of the Senate Bylaws be amended with renumeration to include:
 - 1. Section 8: Approval and Derecognition of Institute Committee Student Advocates
 - a. The UA Nominations Committee presents a compilation of descriptions of student advocates approved by NomComm for approval by a majority of Senate, before being presented to the relevant Institute committees for approval.
 - b. That Institute committee representatives who neglect their responsibilities shall be derecognized by the UA Senate by a majority vote, for replacement by NomComm.
- iii. Motion to table discussion: not passed
- iv. Martin: important bill, this year better than others but communication with NomComm Chair and Vice Chair needs to be better, checks and balances necessary
- v. Paul: supports bill but thinks we're not all in a great mental state
- vi. Riley motions to vote: passed
- vii. Vote: all in favor
- i. 10.7 vetoed by Martin
 - i. Returns to table for discussion, must be overridden by 2/3
 - ii. Hans: best way to sting administration
 - iii. Cyril: passing too many joke bills will undermine credibility w/administration
 - iv. Keone: none of joke bills passed before
 - v. Move to vote: all in favor but 1 oppose
 - vi. Vote: 4 in favor, 7 oppose, 4 abstain
- j. Motion to take 11.4 off table: passed w/1 abstention and 1 opposition
 - i. Ye: Even if \$ not used for this event, will be used for something else in the group
 - ii. Hans: no new information, not any more passable
 - iii. Grace: UAEM not recognized by ASA, going through cycle now
 - iv. Paul Montgomery put on speaker phone
 - v. Ye: one speaker lined up from Yale, don't have a place yet but thinking Bush Room, date May 6th
 - vi. Itai: bill has not be presented properly
 - vii. Keone: what about not getting tied up in technicalities?
 - viii. Itai: this is different, not an issue of technicality
 - ix. Hans motions to postpone indefinitely: all oppose except 2
 - x. Hans motions to extend debate for 2 minutes: 11 in favor, 1 oppose
 - xi. Ye: need to hold event to show purpose of group
 - xii. Motion to amend: to make this event possible for either this event May 6th or next semester
 - 1. Hans: bad precedent to just give money like this without aim
 - xiii. Motion to amend: guaranteed give them \$ if they have speaker, room, budget, plan
 - 1. Cathy: not the same as tabling to next meeting, we're guaranteeing they get \$ if they have a plan
 - 2. Motion to vote: all in favor
 - 3. Vote: All in favor
 - xiv. Motion to vote: All in favor
 - xv. Vote: All in favor except 1

- a. Grace: boards are in, in office
 - i. Everyone take one for their dorm
- b. Martin: this is UA \$, make sure they're used as intended (talk to House Manager)
- c. Martin: next Tuesday, April 29 meeting with Ray Stata and Susan Whitehead at 8pm in W20-400/1
- d. Martin: UA tshirts should arrive in next day or 2
 - i. 1000 tshirts Coop helped to order are coming
 - ii. Student Engagement: Working on article for Tech
- e. Paul: number of new business bills is absurd, try to avoid that
- f. Cathy: Athletics Weekend was success, good turnout
- g. Hans:
 - i. Encourage everyone to apply for Finboard
 - ii. New business was not reason why meeting was so long, elections took up the bulk of time

17. Adjournment