

39 U.A.S. 6
Meeting Minutes
December 10, 2007
8:00pm-11:40pm(ish)
Senate Chambers

1. Q&A with John DiFava, Chief of Police and Facilities Operations and Securities
 - a. Chief of Police, Security, MIT Facilities, Dean for Student Life Search Committee
 - b. Been at MIT for 6 years, police for 33 years
 - i. 21K people, 10,400 students at MIT
 - c. Policing: service; with youth comes growth → growth comes education
 - i. Never want to negatively impact people's life unless absolutely have to
 - ii. Utilize police discretion
 - iii. Way MIT does policing is not in line with what DiFava would like
 1. would assign team of officers for different areas of the campus, e.g. fraternities, Stata, Libraries, etc.
 - d. Operations and Facilities role
 - i. Director of Facilities and Security Operations
 1. mail services, custodial, grounds, repair and maintenance, parking and transportation
 - e. Maggie: Speak about the party registration process
 - i. DiFava: when on-campus, safe, but FSILGs, events really need to be registered so can respond before Boston/Cambridge do (act as a buffer)
 1. does not mean there needs to always be a police officer
 - f. James: Phil Walsh – describe relationship
 - i. DiFava: still new to role, can describe in a little while
 - g. Itai: MIT Emergency phones – on Memorial Drive phones don't function properly → comment?
 - i. DiFava: did not know about this until a few weeks ago → has been corrected; some have been reporting to facilities instead of Vassar St.; never avg 2 min for emergency call
 - h. Itai: how does MIT handle so that VA Tech, terrorist situations don't happen
 - i. Security only as good as what people being protected will accept
 - ii. MIT is an academic environment → people want to come and go as they please
 - iii. Regulated places have been locked down as securely as possible
 1. Nuclear reactors, bio labs are secured
 - iv. Have securitized as many places as wanted
 - v. Constitution prevents some measures from being taken
 - vi. Has nothing to do w/dorm security
 - vii. Unless police have warrant or answering a call, can't go past common areas

- viii. Tactical unit → trained and prepared to handle a VA Tech like situation (question should not be can we handle it? should be can we prevent it?)
 - i. Nana: How are communications w/Boston Police?
 - i. Hierarchy in policing: campus, town, city, state, FBI (highest)
 - ii. Neighbors of frats don't love them, city of Boston would probably like them to go away
 - iii. Warns frats/sororities → call MIT Police
 - j. Akash: how to warm up to Boston police?
 - i. Boston does regulatory or licensing commission
 - 1. Don't say "show us your warrant"
 - 2. Criminal process, then need warrant
 - 3. disarm w/kindness, be as cooperative as possible
 - ii. who has priority in a case w/Boston & campus police
 - 1. first call is usually MIT, 3rd noise complaint
 - 2. Captain Evans is captain of most of districts frats are in
 - k. Lucia: openness of campus – dealing w/unwanted people
 - i. Inconsistency b/c each building has its own locking hours
 - ii. Data shows we're pretty safe
 - l. Hacking: what is policy?
 - i. DiFava: doesn't have set policy
 - ii. Try to enforce Institute rules – Institute has to create policy, police enforce rules
 - m. Send him, Irina, or Martin email if any further questions
2. Introduce Jed Wartman, Assistant Dean for Student Activities
 - a. SAO works with the UA as the advisor
 - b. Redefining the role of SAO,
 3. Call to Order 39 UAS 4/Roll Call
 4. Announcements from Exec
 - a. Working with Baker and BC presidents to find new senators to replace outgoing ones
 - b. COOP-
 - i. Had meeting to discuss textbook initiative, game plan was set
 - ii. Another meeting on wed. to finalize strategy
 - iii. System that doesn't include stuff will be implemented
 - c. Career fair
 - i. Met with careers office
 - ii. Current game plan is to put the institute holiday on Wed, move the career fair to that day
 - iii. This plan maintains balance between MW and TR class days
 - iv. Deans and Chancellor will debate the proposal. If they like it, it'll be brought to the faculty
 - d. Dining

- i. Legislation from lack of input on Ashdown
- e. DSL selection
 - i. Narrowed down to 5 candidates. 2 are extremely strong, 3 are decent, but not ideal
- f. Next house REX issue fixed! Yay!
- g. Spring weekend survey sent out, events committee meeting on Friday
- h. Ashdown will be fully renovated, as opposed to just getting a paint job
- i. Questions:
 - i. Hans: what about people who lose their 3 day weekend?
 - ii. Martin: people should prioritize. Plus, most people would not travel on that day, and it would be just another day off, which can happen on any date.

- 5. Approval of 38 UAS 5 minutes
 - a. Passed via voice vote

6. Discussion Items:

- a. Update on DSL Committee (3 min)
 - i. Martin: Timeline of DSL selection is that search will be over by the end of May, will run past end of school year. Next meeting is 1/25/08, position statement will be made with list of qualifications. Until then, focus group talks will be had. 2 meetings before May will be had to narrow down candidates
 - ii. If you have suggestions, let Kate know about what you want from a new DSL
- b. Topic of the Week: opinions on Class Councils (7 minutes)
 - i. Martin: as a class council president, keep in mind that each class council is different
 - ii. How much money does class council get, does the UA have say in how it is used?
 - iii. Martin-
 - 1. Fresh 20, sophomores 25, juniors 35k, senior 42.5% of career fair revenue, over 100k, used for senior ball, senior gift, senior week committee and several big things on campus
 - 2. each class should notify all its students what they're doing and what they're using the money for
 - iv. Katrina – props to 08 class council, this year and last. It is in contrast to complaints I hear about 09 and 2010 class councils.
 - v. Hans – I disagree, this year 08 isn't that great, but was good last year.
 - vi. Irina – if you have tangible ideas for the class councils, let them know.
 - vii. James Peacock – class budgets should be public for the class, so people know where the money is going, or if the class has 20k sitting around

- viii. Keone- has freshman class spent their allocation, and has it been reviewed?
- ix. Martin – they didn't return to ask for more, so I assume they haven't spent it
 - x. Keone- one big thing the 2011 council did was throwing a really inefficient IHOP study break, and they should work on efficient food distribution mechanisms. Think about smaller events, or larger scale food distribution events
 - xi. Martin – they had booked a room on the 3rd floor, but CAC cancelled on them. I talked with them about it to prevent future issues.
 - xii. Paul – I heard from people that 08 and 09 councils are/were bad, sending short notice for too few events. I suggest the class council roles be better defined.
 - xiii. Martin – class councils have a constitution. The reform committee may be working on it.
 - xiv. Kat – I agree with James. With 09 councils, there is a lack of professionalism, with multiple emails with different info and typos. There was the sweatshirt thing which annoyed many students. When there are ticketed events, only 5% of people get tickets. What can we do?
 - xv. Martin – we could bring back the class council coordinator position if someone brings it back up
 - xvi. Akash – to respond to that, keep in mind that we cannot please everyone. When I was 09 class president last year, the council was west campus heavy, so we tried to have many events to please more people. We could have big events which leave swaths of people out, or have many smaller events that reach more people. Maybe we could change how people are elected, so it won't be a popularity contest. At other schools, they have a P and VP, and it is a club where anyone can join, and that might make it easier to have transparency and better represent people.
 - xvii. Martin 4 points:
 - 1. need fair ticket distribution sales
 - 2. partnerships between class councils
 - 3. visibility – getting out there with posters, emails, facebook groups.
 - 4. Travel to different dorms to see what they want, and get ideas
 - xviii. Irina – if you have comments, ideas, suggestions or legislation, let the appropriate people know
- c. VP Ali Wyne: Conflict Resolution (10 min) with handout
- d. Senator Akash Chandawarkar: Campus-Wide Party (7 min)
 - i. Akash – looked into Groove Boston; it is like a mobile club that transforms places into clubs complete with TVs, DJ and so on. See the link that was sent out. For outdoors, costs 12-15k for

dancefloor, lights, video, optional bar, generator, music, maybe some permits. Bulk of costs is tent and generator, so if we did it indoors would cost 6-7k. they have experience with bringing together divided campuses. Said they could make a website and help with publicity. We can see their work at Tufts on 1/26 to see what it is like. This is cheaper than spring weekend, which costs 50k.

- ii. Lucia – are there other companies that do this?
- iii. Akash – there are, but not on this scale; using other companies means a lot of subcontracting. And BC, Tufts, NEU and other schools use their services
- iv. Lucia – where do you propose to get funding?
- v. Akash – that’s why I’m at the UA, trying to figure it out.
- vi. Irina – we have a lot of money in our invested reserved that doesn’t get used, so we could use that
- vii. Kate – I don’t think unifying the campus or pushing a dance aligns with the UA’s mission, which is to represent students to the administration. We may get the same reaction as spring weekend, people wondering why the UA is spending so much money. In past years, this idea has come up and hasn’t work.
- viii. Akash – it may be a difference of opinion about the mission/goals of the UA. I’d love to still try to unify campus, with east campus and west campus input, without unifying all of west campus and leaving out east campus.
- ix. Alan – when do you want this to happen?
- x. Akash – in the spring, because their calendars are open. But they fill up quick, so it may be best to figure this out sooner rather than later.
- xi. Hans – I don’t know if this is the best way to unify campus. This may just be some small thing before spring weekend. Be sure you plan events like this very far in advance, and I don’t think you have enough time to plan for next semester
- xii. Akash – they seem very prepared, because their reputation is based on good events. Things may not have worked for us in the past because we’re college students that don’t know what we’re doing. They are professionals.
- xiii. James – if the UA does do this, funding it is a bad idea. Other groups ask for less and do better events, so it may be like cheating other groups. If people really want this to happen, we could charge the events committee with it, and do it instead of bringing in an artist. Saying ‘it worked at another school, so it may work here’ is why we’re fighting dining halls. Other groups should have the same access to the funding.
- xiv. Katrina – props for the idea and effort, but I’m not sure its realistic. I’m not saying it cannot work or that it won’t happen, but it might be more realistic to try the idea with a smaller target audience.

Bring it to the junior class council because there is nothing for them. I know that no matter what you know, half the people won't like it, but the best you can do is get the people who care to speak up and go with it, because people who complain and don't help with ideas/effort are not worth the effort.

- xv. Lucia – right now, there is 20k in the account. It is from left over UA money that gains interest. Some admins would like to see it sent in a meaningful way. There is an unofficial ceiling at 30k. this was also considered a rainy day fund. Can be used with 2/3rd vote of senate
- xvi. Irina – if you have ideas for progress from here, let it be known.

7. Finboard Allocations

- a. Itai - When you have meetings, what is procedure for changing the meeting dates? Meeting date fell 2 days after the ASA recognition date. Can there be date coordination between recognizing and funding groups?
- b. James - That is 4 committees. It is possible in theory, but very difficult because UA and GSC funding cycles are different. If something gets pushed back, everything gets pushed back, which causes more problems.
- c. Paul – why is there always 1 abstention?
- d. Hans – I have to abstain on all non-tied votes
- e. Steve – any general trends you saw this year?
- f. Hans – people are doing their budgets better, doing more research for capital prices
- g. Keone – motion to end discussion
- h. Motion passed via voice vote
- i. Keone – motion to approve allocations
- j. Motion passed via voice vote, with 1 abstention

8. 39 UAS 5.3: Bill to Establish Responsible Investing Principles at MIT

- a. tabled last time, but has undergone some revisions
- b. Ali – consider the context of this bill. It is innovative for MIT, but in the whole field of academia, this bill is conservative and asks only for the bare minimum that is used at our peer institutions.
- c. Rep from student group – the MIT endowment has just over \$1 million per student. In other institutions, there are shareholder resolutions, where they ask for information or suggest investment guidelines. This would be similar. Such a committee as suggested in this legislation would be very conservative, and divestment is a very strong step which usually is not taken. This is just to provide responsible guidelines to those that decide where to invest.
- d. Hans – I like how you cleaned up the language.
- e. Hans – why has MIT been slow in the past?
- f. Rep from student group – a group gave its recommendations to the MIT Corporation, but never released by the public. MIT also has no standing committee, so a group was handpicked by the President. This was another

roadblock in the process. And the final resolutions did not specifically state divesting.

- g. Time for discussion elapsed
- h. Voice vote – bill passes

9. 39 UAS 5.4: Bill to Provide Funding to Host International Development Charity Events Through Alternative Spring Break

- a. tabled last time
- b. postponed until Vesuda or other ASB rep arrives.
- c. AFTER 6.2 PASSED:
- d. Vesuda passes out new budget, and other changes
- e. Vesuda – we’ve scaled down our goals. Instead of going abroad, we’ll be going to Florida to build houses with Habitat for Humanity. We’re applying for the Fresh Fund, so why are we Fresh? When our group was started, it was a new group, but we found out from the ASA that the ASB did the same thing years ago, so we’re just taking their name. I don’t know why the old group failed, but we have plans for the future. Since we’re not going international this year, we hope to save money for next year. We’re gaining experience as we go, and we’re improving. Right now, we don’t have much support. The PSC won’t give us money because we’re working with Hab for Hum, and the UA is pretty much our last resort, and we’re applying from other places. We have some support from Delta and Jet Blue who will subsidize our airfare.
- f. Paul – Are you working on training a treasurer? Who is handling the duties now?
- g. Vesuda – we’ll be doing that next year. And I’m handling the finances now.
- h. Mike – how much is left in fresh fund?
- i. Lucia – 5500 left. You mentioned you’re trying to save money. Explain please. What about fundraising?
- j. Vesuda – we made \$500 ourselves with a bake sale and another sale. Also, we’re not saving UA money, we’re saving money given to us by outside sources. UA funds are for this year’s trip.
- k. Hans – this is double dipping; we shouldn’t give them 800 because it was funded by finboard earlier tonight. Finboard has also given 1k to the gap for the trip. They asked for 2k, so its up to you to fill the gap. I’m also concerned about costs for licenses for movie screenings. ASB got 1k in operations, 60 for capital, 545 for events, 50 for printing for a total of 1655.
- l. Steve – have you thought about doing smaller personal donations, asking friends family and such?
- m. Vesuda – we thought of that, but we’re not sure if others would want to donate money just for our use. And each of the people in our group will be contributing out of pocket
- n. Hans – motion to amend to remove the first that clause, change next figure to 1000 for the last line item on their budget.

- o. Vote on amendment, passed by voice vote
- p. Bill passed by voice vote, gave 1k to ASB

10. 39 UAS 6.1: Bill to Create a new position of Webmaster

- a. postponed until bill can be printed
- b. CAME UP AFTER ASB WAS PASSED
- c. Itai – now that we’ve been talking about this for 2 months, we’ve decided this was the best route.
- d. Irina – I talked with Mason (the CIT) about this, and he supports it
- e. Mike – motion to white ballot
- f. Hans – I object. I have questions. Will this position be paid, who is the UA executive referred to?
- g. Itai – a later bill can decide payment. The executive referred to is the office of the UAP and VP
- h. Hans – motion to amend to say members of the Executive Committee, passed
- i. Martin – about the website. I’m not sure the website is THAT bad. Mason hasn’t explained how to use it, but it is otherwise functional. We can post legislation and minutes and such. It doesn’t need huge reform. I will also meet with IST to talk about how to get a better website that lasts a long time, because the UA changes it every year, only to have a broken website each time.
- j. Luke – motion to amend, but withdrew it
- k. Paul – motion to vote
- l. Bill passed by voice vote.

11. 39 UAS 6.2: Bill To Provide Funds for the Global Poverty Initiative’s Millennium Campus Conference and Poverty Week

- a. Anne Liu, founder and executive director of GPI – GPI started last April to combat poverty, and its scope expanded beyond just donation schemes. I want to spread knowledge to students. We’re 70 students strong, with 5 faculty wanting to be involved, and more wanting to help. We want to do an annual poverty week, this year April 14-18. this would be followed by the MCC, to bring together students staff, people from the field and so on.
- b. Alan – great initiative, this is huge, this is the first time it has happened here. This will help cement MIT’s reputation as being service oriented, and the 3k is worth it.
- c. Akash – is your funding from PSC included?
- d. Anne – yes.
- e. James Peacock – you’re spending a lot on food and printing, stuff that FinBoard may not have given you money for this.
- f. Hans – FinBoard just gave you 4k, which is more than the vast majority of groups get. I think you’re spending too much on food and publicity, which is ironic because this event is for poverty. This is also double dipping, almost.

- g. Ali – keep in mind this isn't pizza for a meeting, this is food for an unprecedented event. To address double dipping, GPI has made every effort to find funding; they're only asking us for 3k out of 120k. they are not double dipping because this is a different funding source. We are charged with giving out this money, and this group CLEARLY qualifies for the funding, which isn't event all that much.
- h. Martin – I think I will side with Hans and James. The fresh fund was meant to help ideas that would not happen without the fresh fund's support. This event is HUGE and will happen with or without the fund. I would feel better if we got a game plan in place about where you're getting the rest of the 120k for. I wouldn't say that voting against this is not supporting the event because finboard just gave 4k.
- i. Anne – we got funding from finboard, LEF, Baker foundation, Goddham center for entrepreneurship in developing countries, deans, Oxfam, Gates, Clinton, Rockefeller foundations, MIT foundation relations office, corporations, using alumni network.
- j. Lucia – how much do you have in hand, or when do you expect it to come in?
- k. Anne – most of it will come in next year, because of how foundation and LEF timelines work.
- l. Lucia – when do you make the decision as to whether or not the event will run.
- m. Anne – we don't have a set date for such a decision, but we will consider that for next semester.
- n. Erik – the MCC sounds like a big deal. This sounds HUGE, so will we see coverage like when Ted Kennedy came in? Is that reasonable for the first year?
- o. Anne – yes, we hope to have the event on such a scale. I think it is reasonable, and the big problems are delays and timeline problems. All speakers and guests on the list are confirmed.
- p. Steve – motion to extend debate for 5 minutes, passed for voice vote
- q. Lucia – the fresh fund does have some leeway in usage. Also, it rolls over, so don't think we have to spend it all before the end of this semester. I am also hesitant to fund it before it gets wider recognition from foundations and MIT.
- r. Paul – we shouldn't be concerned so much with the amount, but what it is spent for. This isn't as urgent, so maybe we might want to let it sit and think about if we want this to come from the Fresh Fund.
- s. Alan – why do you need the money right now?
- t. Anne – we have to book flights now before things get to expensive, we've sent invitations and so on.
- u. Hans – Senate is a funding source of last resort, not a source of first resort. One of the big things of a event of this size is proper planning, and I don't think you've done this.
- v. Steve – the debate about whether or not we're funding food is irrelevant, they are asking 3k for the event on [this handout], which is different.

Maybe we could look at expected attendance to make our decision. Such events are liked by students because they could make a difference. I am comfortable with the amount raised.

- w. Itai – we shouldn't look at it from the issue of funding food or technicalities. The point of the UA is to help undergrads do things that are good. This group has put in a lot of effort, they have a plan and they have a lot of speakers.
- x. Martin - Motion to extend debate by 2 min. aye 15, nay 6, passed
- y. Martin – I think the event is great, and aligns with MIT and student priorities, but what about sustainability? Do you have timelines?
- z. Anne – most planning occurred in the fall. Fundraising in late September early October. Our strategy is 3 pronged, MIT, corporate, and foundations. We made a mistake by going to corporate first, which work more with established events/groups. We have since moved on to foundations, and we work with them through connections and MIT alumni, so our applications aren't at the bottom of a huge stack. We should hear back from them in February.
- aa. Ali – every dollar can be a make-it-or-break-it dollar. The UA shouldn't get mired in technicality. This is a great event, and we should make it happen.
- bb. Hans – motion to postpone to 39 UAS 7. the budget they want us to fund is all publicity and AV, money they don't need right now. We can revisit this so they have time to raise more funds.
- cc. Erik – they're caught in a catch 22; they can't get funding because nobody has funded them yet. And we could help them get out. Could we make this conditional funding?
- dd. Ali – what they need right now is funding.
- ee. Hans – I don't think this is pressing, and Finboard already gave them money for this stuff. So we should wait till later.
- ff. Martin – Erik's solution is a great compromise; maintain UA financial
- gg. Irina – vote on postponing bill defeated by voice vote
- hh. Erik – motion to amend; make it conditional funding of 3k to kick in once you get 50k in other funding.
- ii. Martin – what is the lowest amount of money you need to run the event at all?
- jj. Anne – 100k seems the lowest.
- kk. Ali – lets keep the big theme in mind; there is a lot of support across MIT for this event, and we're squabbling over 3k.
- ll. Hans – would this come from budget or discretionary part of the fresh fund?
- mm. Martin – if we give them this money in a budgeted manner, they can only use it on this purpose, not plane tickets
- nn. Erik – I'll add a stipulation that they have to submit an updated budget before they get the money.
- oo. Irina – all in favor of the amendment – aye 10, nay 7, abstain 2 PASSED

- pp. Hans – add clause saying subject to say subject to a budget review by exec com
- qq. Irina: all in favor of amendment 16, all opposed 5,
- rr. Paul – motion to vote, seconded
- ss. Irina – all in favor of 6.2 as amended, passed, Hans was only nay.

12. 39 UAS 6.3: Bill to Provide Funding for Shared Student Group Storage Space Improvements

- a. DONE AFTER 6.1
- b. James Peacock – there is a HUGE need for storage space, and we’re currently way off the mark. I could easily spend over 10k on student storage.
- c. Lucia – motion to amend, to say the money is from the invested reserve. It should be used to fund something that is lasting. The other sources listed are not quite appropriate.
- d. Amendment is friendly.
- e. Paul – if you were to ask for this money through other sources, where would you get the money?
- f. James – the GSC, which gives us a year worth of funding over the summer.
- g. Steve – motion to vote
- h. Bill passed via voice vote

13. 39 UAS 6.4: Bill to Provide Funding to MITKNIT: a MIT and area-community knitting club

- a. Paul – do you plan on becoming ASA recognized?
- b. Group president – yes, next semester
- c. Paul – motion to vote.
- d. Hans- point of info; how much is left in the discretionary part of the fresh fund?
- e. Irina – all of it.
- f. Bill passed by voice vote

14. 39 UAS 6.5: Resolution to Reconsider Stipulation for W1 Dining Facility

- a. Many people, including Martin and Ali and so on are in support of the bill
- b. Paul – where did this decision come from?
- c. Sarah Hopp – dining is a strong initiative the President is pushing.
- d. Martin – Ali and I will be taking this issue to a lot of other places, and we’re using this bill to gain support for 39UAS 1.6, and widen student involvement on other things. We want them to reaffirm support for Blue Ribbon Dining Comm, fix dining, and increase student involvement in decisions. I have spoken with many people, and we have a strategy in place.
- e. Hans – I support this bill’s overall message. I say drop the “should consider” part.
- f. Amendment is friendly

- g. Passed via UNANIMOUS voice vote

15. Action Items Review

- a. At UAS 7, Katrina will go over results from her poll
- b. Commendations to Kate for using constituency fund
- c. Send in your project timelines
- d. Consider how you will work with Chief John DiFava.
- e. I am considering having people take a Dean out to dinner to talk with them about your projects. They can then come into Senate to talk about specific topics
- f. Consider what you want the SAO to do, what they should do
- g. Class councils – make budget more transparent, improve food distribution efficiency, establish a class council coordinator, consider making legislation for this.
- h. Consider what we should do with the invested reserve, 20k we have
 - i. We shouldn't rush to deplete it
- i. John DiFava is really an inside man for us with facilities, very student friendly. Wants to start a focus group, and we can impact W1 and other facilities interest with other people involved in the decision making progress. He will bridge the gap for us.

16. New Business

- a. Keone – 39 UAS 6.6 Bill to Make Class Council Budgets Publicity Available to Members of their Class
 - i. Itai – this may not be very helpful, because 1000 opinions of to the budget will be overwhelming
 - ii. Nana is added as cosponsor
 - iii. Nana – only those interested would look at the budget online, so its not like a council will get 1000 opinions
 - iv. Hans – this is good, and we should set a deadline for informing their class. The only body that should oversee their budgets is their own class, not Senate.
 - 1. Motion to amend; puts dates on email sending, and lists the classes.
 - v. Martin – class councils are always hated, and I think they'll be hated more if you do this. We should consider quality oversight. Maybe we should have them be responsible to Senate first. Putting the budget online is good. Class councils should at least have the opportunity to explain before we enact this.
 - vi. Akash – emailing everyone the budget will be like baiting people to grill the council on every expenditure.
 - 1. strike the last that clause, and say specifically the UA website
 - vii. Keone – the budget is supposed to be on the class site and the UA site
 - viii. Voting on amendment: fails

- ix. Paul and Hans added as cosponsors
- x. Riley – are finboard and senate budgets public?
- xi. Finboard is online right now, and the webmaster is tasked with having the senate budget online
- xii. Paul – having a public budget is good, because it is for the whole class, not just the 8 people on the class council
- xiii. Bill passes via voice vote
- b. Sarah Hopp – 39 UAS 6.7 Resolution of Appreciation for those that worked on the Next House REX/ Readjustment Lottery decision
 - i. Passed via unanimous vote

17. Good of the Order

- a. Maggie- I made a LaTeX tool, you can send text instead of PDFs with the bill.
- b. Tell Jason if you wanted to be added as a cosponsor on anything
- c. Itai – whenever you start something new, a business, an event or whatever, there is always risk, and someone has to take this risk. Sometime it is the UA that must take this risk by funding groups. But if we are to reap the benefits, we must be willing to take the risks involved.
- d. Akash – about the reason as to not listening to ideas from other schools; Just because they're another school does not mean they're always wrong, and just because we're MIT doesn't always make us right. There are plenty of good ideas from other campuses that may be worth our time and effort.

18. Adjournment/Have a good break