

**Determinants: Evaluation and Manipulation**

Yufei Zhao

September 22, 2009

APPETIZER PROBLEM

(This problem doesn't actually use determinants.)

**Problem 1.** Do there exist square matrices  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $AB - BA = I$ ?

*Solution.* No. Take the trace of both sides and using  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ , we get that  $\text{tr}(AB - BA) = 0$  while  $\text{tr}(I) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

1. INTRODUCTION

In this talk I'll discuss some techniques on dealing with determinants that may be useful for the Putnam exam. We will focus on the evaluation and manipulation of determinants. I won't talk about applications of determinants to, say, combinatorics (maybe another time).

We will assume familiarity with basic properties of determinants. Just a reminder, if  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

where the sum is taken over all permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Here's an outline of techniques used to deal with determinants.

**Evaluation**

- Row and column operations
- Expansion by minors
- Setting variables / Vandermonde
- Eigenvalues / circulant matrices

**Manipulation**

- Assume invertibility
- Block decomposition
- Conjugation and positivity

2. EVALUATION OF DETERMINANTS

I'll talk about how to evaluate determinants when the entries are given.

The most basic (and often extremely useful) method is **row/column operations** and **minor expansions**. Though I won't discuss them here, since I want to talk more exciting techniques.

The first example is everyone's favorite **Vandermonde determinant**.

**Problem 2** (Vandermond determinant). Let

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$\det V = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i).$$

*Solution.* Let

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \det V,$$

viewed as a polynomial in  $n$  variables. Now, suppose we view  $p$  as a single-variable polynomial in  $x_1$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . If we set  $x_1$  to  $x_i$ , for any  $i \neq 1$ , then two rows of the matrix are equal and hence the determinant vanishes, and therefore  $(x_1 - x_i)$  must be a factor of  $p$ .

Similarly, every  $(x_i - x_j)$  for  $i \neq j$  is a factor of  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . But the degree of  $p$  is  $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$  (from looking at the matrix), and we just showed that  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)$  (which has degree  $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ ) divides  $p$ . Therefore,

$$p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = k \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i),$$

for some constant  $k$ . Comparing the coefficient of the term  $x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 \cdots x_n^{n-1}$  shows that  $k = 1$ .  $\square$

Our next example is the **circulant matrix**.

**Problem 3** (Circulant matrix). Let

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-2} \\ a_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & a_0 & \cdots & a_{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\det C = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{jk} a_k \right)$$

where  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$ .

*Solution.* We know that the determinant equals to the product of the eigenvalues. The eigenvectors of  $C$  are

$$v_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \zeta \\ \zeta^2 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \zeta^2 \\ \zeta^4 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{2(n-2)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \cdots \quad v_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \zeta^{n-1} \\ \zeta^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

They are independent because of the Vandermonde determinant, so they form a complete set of eigenvalues. The corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} \\ \lambda_1 &= a_0 + a_1\zeta + a_2\zeta^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}\zeta^{n-1} \\ &\dots \\ \lambda_{n-1} &= a_0 + a_1\zeta^{n-1} + a_2\zeta^{2(n-1)} + \cdots + a_{n-1}\zeta^{(n-1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\det C = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-1}$ .  $\square$

Now you have the tools to solve the following problem, which appeared as Putnam 1999/B5. The highest score on his problem was 2 points by one contestant! By this measure, it is one of the most difficult Putnam problems in history; but knowing the above technique is becomes not so bad.

**Problem 4** (Putnam 1999/B5). Let  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $A$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix with  $A_{jk} = \cos(2\pi(j+k)/n)$ . Find  $\det(I + A)$ .

## 3. MANIPULATION OF MATRICES

Now I'll discuss some techniques on dealing with determinants of matrices without knowing their entires. We will make repeated uses of the fact that  $\det AB = \det A \det B$  for square matrices.

**Problem 5.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices. Show that  $\det(I + AB) = \det(I + BA)$ .

*Solution.* First, assume that  $A$  is invertible. Then

$$\det(I + AB) = \det(A(I + BA)A^{-1}) = \det A \det(I + BA) \det(A^{-1}) = \det(I + BA).$$

Now we give two ways of working around the assumption that  $A$  is invertible.

**Method 1.** For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $A_t = A - tI$ . Then  $A_t$  is non-invertible precisely when  $t$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . Thus, if  $t$  is not an eigenvalue, then  $\det(I + A_t B) = \det(I + BA_t)$ . Now,  $\det(I + A_t B) - \det(I + BA_t)$  is a polynomial in  $t$  which vanishes everywhere except for the finitely many eigenvalues; hence  $\det(I + A_t B) - \det(I + BA_t) = 0$  for all  $t$ . Setting  $t = 0$  gives the result.

**Method 2.** View the entries of  $A$  and  $B$  as indeterminants, so that what we are proving is a polynomial identity in  $\{a_{ij}\} \cup \{b_{ij}\}$ . Work over the field  $\mathbb{Q}(a_{11}, \dots, b_{11}, \dots)$ . Then in this field,  $A$  is invertible, and the proof works.  $\square$

*Remark.* The set of invertible matrices form a Zariski (dense) open subset, and hence to verify a polynomial identity, it suffices to verify it on this dense subset.

*Remark.* The statement is also true when  $A$  and  $B$  are not square matrices. Specifically, suppose that  $A$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix, and  $B$  an  $m \times n$  matrix, then  $\det(I_n + AB) = \det(I_m + BA)$ . To prove this fact, extend  $A$  and  $B$  to square matrices by filling in zeros.

The technique of assuming invertibility is very powerful. Let us give another example.

**Problem 6.** Let  $A, B, C, D$  be  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $AC = CA$ . Prove that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(AD - CB).$$

*Solution.* First assume that  $A$  is invertible. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ -CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix} = \det A \det(D - CA^{-1}B) \\ &= \det(AD - ACA^{-1}B) = \det(AD - CB). \end{aligned}$$

(We used the fact that  $A$  and  $C$  commute.)

Now we need to get rid of the invertibility assumption. Let  $A_t = A - tI$ . Since  $AC = CA$ , we get  $A_t C = CA_t$  for all  $t$ . It follows that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A_t & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A_t D - CB).$$

whenever  $t$  is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ . But this is a polynomial equation in  $t$ , which holds for all but finitely many  $t$ 's, and hence it must hold for all  $t$ . In particular, setting  $t = 0$  gives the desired result.  $\square$

Finally, let us look at a few problems involving inequalities.

**Problem 7.** Let  $A$  be a square matrix with real entries. Show that  $\det(A^2 + I) \geq 0$ .

One way to solve this problem is to look at the eigenvalues of  $A$ . If the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\{\lambda_i\}$  (as a multiset, i.e., counting multiplicities), then the eigenvalues of  $A^2 + I$  are  $\{\lambda_i^2 + 1\}$ , and hence  $\det(A^2 + I) = \prod_i (\lambda_i^2 + 1)$ . Finally use the fact that all non-real eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  come in conjugate pairs.

Here is a much slicker solution.

*Proof.* We have  $A^2 + I = (A + iI)(A - iI)$ . So

$$\det(A^2 + I) = \det(A + iI) \det(A - iI) = \det(A + iI) \overline{\det(A + iI)} = |\det(A + iI)|^2 \geq 0. \quad \square$$

**Problem 8.** Let  $A, B, C$  be  $n \times n$  real matrices that pairwise commute and  $ABC = O$ . Show that

$$\det(A^3 + B^3 + C^3) \det(A + B + C) \geq 0.$$

*Solution.* Recall the identity

$$A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC = (A + B + C)(A + \omega B + \omega^2 C)(A + \omega^2 B + \omega C)$$

where  $\omega = e^{2\pi/3}$  is a third root of unity. We used the assumption that  $A, B, C$  pairwise commute.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^3 + B^3 + C^3) \det(A + B + C) &= \det(A^3 + B^3 + C^3 - 3ABC) \det(A + B + C) \\ &= (\det(A + B + C))^2 \det(A + \omega B + \omega^2 C) \det(A + \omega^2 B + \omega C) \\ &= (\det(A + B + C))^2 \det(A + \omega B + \omega^2 C) \overline{\det(A + \omega B + \omega^2 C)} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Problem 9.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $n \times n$  real matrices that commute. Suppose that  $\det(A + B) \geq 0$ . Prove that  $\det(A^k + B^k) \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$

**Problem 10.** Let  $A$  be real skew-symmetric square matrix (i.e.,  $A^t = -A$ ). Prove that  $\det(I + tA^2) \geq 0$  for all real  $t$ .