Infectious biofilms are problematic in many healthcare-related devices and are especially challenging and ubiquitous in urinary catheters. This report presents an on-demand fouling-release methodology to mechanically disrupt and remove biofilms, and proposes this method for the active removal of infectious biofilms from the previously inaccessible main drainage lumen of urinary catheters. Mature *Proteus mirabilis* crystalline biofilms detach from silicone elastomer substrates upon application of strain to the substrate, and increasing the strain rate increases biofilm detachment. The study presents a quantitative relationship between applied strain rate and biofilm debonding through an analysis of biofilm segment length and the driving force for debonding. Based on this mechanism, hydraulic and pneumatic elastomer actuation is used to achieve surface strain selectively within the lumen of prototypes of sections of a fouling-release urinary catheter. Proof-of-concept prototypes of sections of active, fouling-release catheters are constructed using techniques typical to soft robotics including 3D printing and replica molding, and those prototypes demonstrate release of mature *P. mirabilis* crystalline biofilms (e.g., >90%) from strained surfaces. These results provide a basis for the development of a new urinary catheter technology in which infectious biofilms are effectively managed through new methods that are entirely complementary to existing approaches.

1. Introduction

Infection of urinary catheters with bacterial biofilms is a pervasive and challenging issue in healthcare. In the USA alone, over 30 million Foley urinary catheters are used annually to treat urine retention during short-term surgical procedures as well as longer-term conditions requiring kidney dialysis, time in intensive care, or time in assisted-living facilities.[1] Catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTIs) are the most common type of nosocomial infections and account for 30–40% of all hospital infections.[2] The unavoidable formation of asymptomatic biofilms in urinary catheters promotes development of symptomatic CAUTIs, and nearly 100% of patients that undergo catheterization for longer than 28 d will suffer some form of infection.[3] A biofilm can harbor bacteria for persistent infections and can also grow in thickness sufficiently to block urine flow causing trauma, leakage, polynephritis, septicemia, and shock, which lead to dangerous and expensive emergency treatments.[4] These infections are a concern for individual patients and for the public due to the implications of antibiotic resistance, and CAUTIs can represent a significant financial burden to hospitals.[5]

Biofilm formation begins with an initial layer of proteins attaching, which then facilitate the attachment of aquatic (planktonic) bacterial cells to the catheter surface.[6] Pilus often help stabilize attachment, a thin basal layer forms, and the bacteria multiply.[6] Bacterial attachment activates genes for synthesis of exopolysaccharide matrix, which has a protective effect for the bacteria, often requiring over 100 times the concentration of antibiotic to kill the bacteria compared to the liquid-borne phenotype.[6] In the case of *Proteus mirabilis*, a particularly problematic bacteria found in approximately 20% of single biofilm, and more than 30% of mixed biofilm, CAUTIs,[3] attachment also results in the production of urease, which causes crystalline biofilm encrustation akin to that of better-known kidney stones.[4,8] The urease that *P. mirabilis* generates leads to an alkaline environment, and the biofilm then incorporates calcium and magnesium phosphate crystals precipitated from the urine.[9]

Current commercially available catheters attempt to either kill or prevent adhesion of bacteria through elution of antibiotics or through incorporation of non-fouling surface treatments, but at best these measures simply delay bacterial adherence for several days. Examples of extant commercial approaches include hydrogel coatings—which still suffer from attachment and biofilm formation in less than 7 d.[3,4,10] and antibiotic-releasing or silver-ion-releasing luminal materials—which prevent biofilm formation for, at most, 7 d.[3,4,10a,c,11] Indeed, Pickard’s recent
and thorough multi-center randomized trial conclusively determined that silver alloy-catheters did not effectively reduce the incidence of symptomatic CAUTIs.\[12\] No effective method exists on the current market to stop crystalline biofilm encrustations, and commercially available methods meant to slow biofilm formation show only limited efficacy.\[3,6,10a,b,11b,13\]

Here, we present a new concept for a urinary catheter capable of on-demand fouling-release, a new approach that is different from all antifouling methods used in current catheters. The new design is based on active deformation of the inner surfaces of elastomeric catheters in response to external stimuli. The mechanism employed to achieve active surface deformation is similar to that reported by Whitesides and co-workers who actuated the appendages of soft robots pneumatically.\[14\] In our work, we hypothesized that active surface deformation of elastomers\[15\] can significantly facilitate the release of encrustation by crystalline urinary biofilms. To test the hypothesis, we first developed a method to grow mature crystalline biofilms in vitro, on flat silicone substrates. We applied strains on the silicone substrates at various strain rates, and then examined the detachment of biofilms. We found that the applied strain and strain rate both have significant effects on biofilm detachment: when the applied strain rate is relatively low, biofilms will not debond from the substrates even under very high strains; instead, the biofilms are fractured into small segments that remain attached to the substrates. On the other hand, when the applied strain rate is relatively high, biofilms can be readily detached as large pieces, once the applied strain reaches a critical value. We quantified the mechanical properties of biofilms formed by \textit{P. mirabilis} and developed a theoretical model to account for the effects of applied strain and strain rate on biofilm detachment. The model can be used to interpret the experimental phenomena, offering a potential design tool in future design of active surfaces for antifouling applications. We then used prototype fabrication techniques established for soft robotics\[14a,16\] to develop models of segments of urinary catheters that utilized substrate deformation by hydraulic actuation to debond \textit{P. mirabilis} biofilms in vitro. Crystalline biofilms were effectively debonded from these prototypes, suggesting a promising option for development of a new approach to control of catheter-associated biofilms and UTIs that can augment, as well as circumvent the limitations of, current antifouling approaches used in catheter technology.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Concept for Urinary Catheter with Active Fouling-Release

As shown in Figure 1, we conceived a urinary catheter that uses regioselective actuation of soft elastomers to actively debond biofilms from its inner surfaces (Figure 1a–c). The debonded biofilm can then be removed by a minimal flow (e.g., of urine, Figure 1d), thereby removing any biofilm obstructions and clearing the urine drainage lumen. We grew crystalline biofilms on proof-of-concept prototypes and actuated the prototypes to debond and remove the biofilm (Figure 1f,g). While multiple inflation lumens are feasible for urinary catheter manufacturing (Figure 1a–d), we used a single intrawall lumen to demonstrate the concept (Figure 1e–g). The actuation was achieved on the luminal surface through inflation of an intrawall lumen that is separated from the main drainage lumen by a thin wall.

![Figure 1. A conceptual schematic of the active fouling release urinary catheter and demonstration of proof-of-concept prototypes. a) Cross-section of urinary catheter before biofilm formation where R, U, and I represent the restraint balloon lumen, main urine drainage lumen and inflation lumens. b) Biofilm, denoted as B, forms on the drainage lumen. c) Reversible actuation of the lumen via water inflation debonds the biofilm formed in the urine drainage lumen. d) Debonded biofilm is carried away in the urine resulting in a cleared lumen thereby reducing risk of symptomatic infections. Optical images of proof-of-concept prototype cross-sections e) before biofilm growth, f) after growth of a mature \textit{P. mirabilis} crystalline biofilm (P.m.) in the drainage lumen, and g) after actuation and a gentle rinse debonded and removed the biofilm.](https://www.materialsviews.com)
When sufficient pressure is applied to the intrawall lumen(s), the thin wall stretches and generates strain on the luminal surface (Figure 1c; see also additional actuation description in Section 2.4). We first experimentally and theoretically explored the substrate-strain-induced debonding of an infectious crystalline biofilm, and then used that understanding to develop a proof-of-concept prototype of a section of an active, fouling-releasing catheter.

2.2. Uniaxial Strain Debonds Mature P. Mirabilis crystalline Biofilms

Before the implementation of the design in a model of a catheter, we first tested the hypothesis that active surface deformation can effectively detach crystalline urinary biofilms using flat elastomer coupons. We developed an in vitro, flat, biofilm-growth configuration to facilitate quantitative measurement of the biofilm area coverage and mechanical properties. Proteus mirabilis was grown on silicone substrates in a modified drip flow reactor\[17\] where the silicone substrate was submerged to simulate physiological conditions in a conventional silicone urinary catheter (Figure S1a, S1b, Supporting Information). We chose DragonSkin20 silicone (Smooth on, USA) to fabricate the flat silicone substrate coupons due to the similarity in mechanical properties (tensile modulus approximate 0.2 MPa) to those in commercial all-silicone catheters.\[18\] Artificial urine supplemented with 1% tryptic soy broth was peristaltically pumped through the reactor at 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\) for 48 h, or until the drainage tubing occluded (average time: 42 h). The resultant biofilm included crystal deposition typical of the mature crystalline biofilms observed in occluded catheters removed from patients (Figure 2a, S1c, Supporting Information). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis revealed the large crystals and microcrystalline aggregates that are similar to struvite and apatite crystals typically seen on SEM images of cross-sections of occluded catheters (Figure S1c, Supporting Information).\[13b\]

The biofilm thickness (0.57 ± 0.07 mm) was measured by quantifying microscope height adjustment as the focus was adjusted from the substrate to the top of the biofilm. It was comparable to the thickness required to block catheters in previous in vitro studies.\[19\] System sterility was confirmed by control runs without bacterial inoculation; only minor amounts of non-adherent debris were observed and no biofilm was formed. To our knowledge, this study presents the first growth model for a mature crystalline biofilm in a flat configuration, which was then used to study biofilm debonding and biofilm mechanical properties.

We carefully removed the flat silicone samples from the reactor to avoid disturbing the integrity of the crystalline biofilm. Uniaxial strain was applied to the silicone substrates using methods similar to those established in our previous study.\[15\] We clamped the silicone at both longitudinal ends on a horizontal mechanical stretcher (Figure 2b), and applied strain, \(\varepsilon\), to the silicone substrate at a rate of 0.2 s\(^{-1}\). The samples were stretched to 35% strain 10 times, unclamped, submerged in DI water, and rinsed at 4 mL min\(^{-1}\) for 1 min. We removed the samples from the DI water and then crystal violet stained (0.1%) the biofilm for 10–15 min before two additional

![Figure 2](image-url)
Crystal violet effectively stained and allowed quantitative assessment of the area coverage of the biofilms (Figure 2c,d). The samples were imaged along the longitudinal midline, and the images were characterized for biofilm area coverage by use of image analysis to estimate the fraction of pixels in the image corresponding to crystal violet stained biofilm. We note that in the discussion here and below, the term “biofilm area coverage” is a conservative indicator of the actual amount of biofilm debonded from the sample surface because local cohesive failure of the biofilm can result in the bulk of the material being shed from the surface while small amounts of stainable biofilm are retained at the substrate surface. The 35% straining regimen applied to the substrate resulted in a reduction in the biofilm area coverage of the silicone surface of 72% (Figure 2c,d,e). These data suggest that at least 72% of the crystalline biofilm detached from the samples, and we emphasize again here that this number is a conservative indication of the amount of biofilm removed (especially when considering the >0.5 mm thickness of the initial biofilm). These results are consistent with our previous studies, which showed that straining of an elastomer can result in detachment of mucoid biofilms formed by *Escherichia coli* and *Cobetia marina*, a marine bacterium. Interestingly, Limbert et al. recently conducted finite element analysis predicting biofilm debonding due to substrate micromotion caused by tension, torsion, or bending but did not provide experimental results to confirm their predictions. The current work represents the first experimental observation of active debonding of a mature, crystalline biofilm (>24 h old) by strain applied to an elastomeric substrate.

### 2.3. High Strain and Strain Rate Result in Debonding of Mature *P. Mirabilis* Crystalline Biofilms

We next studied the effects of applied strain and strain rate on the debonding of *P. mirabilis* crystalline biofilms from flat silicone substrates. For strains ranging from 0% to 100%, the strain rate was varied across a wide range, from 0.01 to 0.4 s⁻¹; each sample was strained 10 times at its designated strain rate. As shown in Figure 3a, both the applied strain and the strain rate are important in eliciting the debonding of crystalline biofilms. At relatively high strain rates (i.e., 0.2 and 0.4 s⁻¹), significant amounts of biofilm are debonded (i.e., over 70%, measured using crystal violet staining as described above) as the applied strain reaches critical values (e.g., 20%–30%). However, at relatively low strain rates (i.e., 0.01 and 0.04 s⁻¹), the debonded biofilm area is insignificant (i.e., less than 10%), even when the substrate is under strains up to 100%.

We measured the storage and loss moduli of the crystalline biofilms with a frequency sweep rheometer. Although biofilms are typically viscoelastic, we found that the mature crystalline biofilms generated by *P. mirabilis* in vitro appeared to be predominantly elastic (Figure S2a, Supporting Information), with a constant storage modulus \( G' \) of approximately \( 1.5 \times 10^4 \) Pa.
for low sweep frequencies (0.1–6 Hz) that was also higher than the loss modulus (approximately 4.5 × 10^3 Pa). Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms have demonstrated similar predominantly elastic properties within the same frequency range. [24, 25] but the storage modulus of mature P. mirabilis crystalline biofilms (≈1.5 × 10^4 Pa) was higher than that measured by Lahaye et al. for P. mirabilis biofilms (G’ of 0.9–1.0 × 10^4 Pa). [26] The higher storage modulus is likely due to the integration of rigid crystals in the biofilms; similar phenomena of modulus enhancement have been observed in rigid particle filled polymers. [27] Lahaye and co-workers only tested the proteinaceous component of P. mirabilis biofilms and did not use growth media that would support crystal generation. [26] While a wide range of viscoelastic properties for biofilms have been reported, overall the storage modulus of mature crystalline P. mirabilis biofilms is 1–3 orders of magnitude greater than other clinically relevant biofilms. [28]

To better understand the effects of applied strain rates on the debonding of biofilms, we then developed a theoretical model that relates the fracture of biofilms into segments to the segments’ subsequent debonding from the substrate. With a mature biofilm attached on the substrate, we stretched the substrate at a specified rate. Upon stretching, the biofilm first formed channel-like cracks, which then branched to form fragmented segments of biofilm with an average length, L. [29] Upon deformation of the substrate, cracks nucleated at the bottom of each segment, propagated through the interface between the biofilm and the substrate, and eventually debonded the biofilm from the substrate. We constructed a simplified, 2D, plane-strain model of the system of biofilm segments on the elastomer substrate as illustrated in Figure 3b. The biofilm and substrate were modeled as Neo-Hookean materials; the shear moduli (μ_s for the biofilm and μ_u for the substrate) were extracted from the measured storage moduli at near-zero frequency (Figure S2, Supporting Information). [30] The thickness of the substrate was taken to be much larger (≥ 20 times) than that of the biofilms, H. Considering the periodicity and symmetry of the model, only half of one biofilm segment was analyzed, as indicated in the dashed box in Figure 3b. The energy release rate G (not to be confused with G’ and G” storage and loss moduli) was computed by a commercial finite element package Abaqus 6.10.1 (SIMULIA, USA). The modeling results suggest that the average segment length L plays a critical role in the biofilm debonding process.

We believe our method will be effective regardless of the crystalline content of the biofilm. The energy release rate scales as: G = μ_sε^2H. A higher percentage crystallinity of the biofilm will lead to a higher shear modulus, [27] which will increase the energy release rate under the same applied strain, and thereby increase the driving force for debonding the biofilm. [31] Conversely, as the percentage of crystals in the biofilm decreases, the biofilm becomes more like mucoid biofilms such as those formed by C. marina and E. coli, which we have previously studied and have demonstrated can also be debonded by application of strain to elastomeric substrates. [15]

As shown in Figure 3c, the normalized energy release rate, G/(μ_sH), which is the driving force for biofilm debonding, [31] increases with the normalized segment length, L/H, for various applied strains in the substrate. These curves can be qualitatively understood as follows: if the biofilm segment length is very small, the segments can be regarded as tall plates vertically attached on the substrate, which result in a relatively low energy release rate. [32] When L/H → 0, the driving force for biofilm debonding G/(μ_sH) → 0. On the other hand, if the biofilm segment is infinitely long, it can be regarded as a film attached on the substrate. Therefore, when L/H ≫ 1, the driving force for biofilm debonding approaches its maximum, that is, G/(μ_sH) = [(1 + ε)^2 + (1 + ε)^2 − 2]/2. [15, 13] Therefore, the driving force for biofilm debonding increases with biofilm segment length, from 0 for very narrow segments to a plateau of [(1 + ε)^2 + (1 + ε)^2 − 2]/2 for very wide segments.

We noted that images gathered during strain testing revealed differences in typical segment length (Figure 3d,e) that correlated to strain rate. Actuation with low strain rates (i.e., 0.01 and 0.04 s−1) tended to fracture the biofilm into small segments that maintained attachment on substrates (Figure 3e), while high strain rates (i.e., 0.2 and 0.4 s−1) resulted in relatively large pieces of biofilms that were easily detached (Figure 3d,e; both samples at 100% strain). We quantified the segment length at 100% strain for different loading rates from representative images (Figure S4, Supporting Information), which confirmed that segment length increased monotonically as strain rate increased over the conditions studied. In Figure 3c, the dashed lines are the measured segment lengths for highest and lowest strain rates at 100% strain. The larger segment length (L/H = 4.2), given by the highest strain rate, correlates to a sufficiently high driving force to debond most of the biofilm from the substrate. Conversely, the smaller segment width (L/H = 1) for the lowest strain rate correlates to a low driving force for debonding that is not large enough to detach the biofilms from the substrate. As a result, the high strain rates lead to a higher percentage of debonded biofilm, while low strain rates debond low percentages of biofilm. The current study gives the first demonstration that the rate of the strain applied to the substrate significantly influences biofilm debonding, and the calculated relationship of segment length to debonding driving force represents a macroscale interpretation of the effect of strain rate.

### 2.4. Development of a Proof-of-Concept Prototype Urinary Catheter Incorporating Substrate Deformation via Intraluminal Inflation

Our interest in debonding crystalline biofilms was motivated by the desire to translate the active biofouling management method [15] into tubular devices such as urinary catheters. We first applied strain axially to tubular silicone substrates to debond crystalline biofilms that were grown within their lumens (Figure S5, Supporting Information). Application of an axial strain (50% strain at a rate of 1.7 cm s−1) effectively debonded the intraluminal crystalline biofilms (Figure S5c, Supporting Information). While this result demonstrates effective biofilm debonding, applying strain axially by extending the length of a catheter might not be practical in a point-of-care setting.

In order to incorporate our methods for biofilm release into technologies suitable for implementation in healthcare settings, we suggest another catheter design capable of actively...
debonding urinary biofilms by applying hydraulic actuation within a catheter. We conceptualized a method, similar to those used in the implementation of pneumatic networks for soft robotics,[14a] to apply strain to the catheter solely along its luminal walls, where the strain would debond urinary biofilms on the luminal surfaces without affecting the external dimensions of the catheter.

Figure 4a shows a schematic of a proof-of-concept prototype catheter cross section with an intrawall lumen separated by a thin wall from the main, urine drainage lumen. As pressure is increased in the intrawall lumen, it inflates and the thin wall deforms and the main luminal surface strains, until it impinges on the opposite wall of main lumen (Figure 4b). To make physical, proof-of-concept models (herein referred to as prototypes) of sections of such a urinary catheter, we constructed molds for pourable silicone using 3D printing (Figure S6, Supporting Information), which allowed rapid, iterative prototype development. Our early proof-of-concept prototyping efforts varied intrawall luminal shape and position, and confirmed that using circular intrawall lumens or crescent intrawall lumens positioned too far from the main lumen resulted in non-specific wall deformation. We achieved preferential deformation of the wall between the inflation and main lumen by constructing thicker external walls. Figure 4b shows uninflated and pneumatically inflated prototypes. We then numerically calculated the strain within the cross-section of the prototypes using the finite element package Abaqus, while assuming the silicone was an Arrude–Boyce material[34] with a shear modulus $\mu_s$ of 221 kPa (Figure S7, Supporting Information). Model results confirmed that the inflated wall can easily achieve substrate strains sufficient to debond crystalline biofilms (e.g., greater than 30% strain, Figure 3a) over an area corresponding to approximately 40% of the perimeter of the main lumen of the prototype (Figure 4c). We again used Abaqus to calculate the inflation pressures necessary to achieve given amounts of wall strain, and the model matched well with experimental data (Figure 4d). Inflation occurred along approximately 70% of the length of the prototype catheter sections, and was limited by our methods for sealing the inflation lumen of the prototypes. No prototypes experienced failure due to wall tears and no hysteresis was observed (Figure S7, Supporting Information). We were able to attain complete inflation and deflation within 1 s. Prototypes were easily inflated pneumatically or hydraulically, and strain was controllable through pressure or volume control (Figure 4d and Table S1, Supporting Information).

2.5. Proof-of-Concept Prototypes Utilizing Intrawall Inflation
Debond Mature P. Mirabilis Biofilm

We modified the artificial bladder biofilm growth model pioneered by Stickler and co-workers[15] to feed infected artificial urine downward through prototypes (Figure S9, Supporting Information, for flow schematic) at a rate of 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$, and
after approximately 42 h achieved uniform biofilm distribution around the perimeter and down the length of the main lumen (see Figure 4e for control sample). We switched to the artificial bladder growth system from the drip flow reactor system because the drip flow reactor mounting fixtures caused bubble formation and subsequent non-uniform biofilm growth in the prototypes. All biofilm growth was conducted in a sterile biosafety cabinet and the artificial bladder growth system sterility was confirmed by control runs without bacterial inoculation: no deposition was observed and no biofilm was formed on control samples. Once a mature biofilm formed on the prototypes, we carefully removed the prototypes from the growth model before rinsing them at 4 mL min$^{-1}$ for 1 min (Figure S9b, Supporting Information). We note that, although urine voiding reaches quite high flow rates, catheters are always “open,” and therefore flow rates are dominated by urine production rates. Normal urine production rates vary from 0.5 to 1.7 mL min$^{-1}$, but oral water loads of 8–12 mL kg$^{-1}$ (i.e., 560–840 mL for a 70 kg individual) can cause urine production rates in excess of 10 mL min$^{-1}$.[30] A rinse rate of 4 mL min$^{-1}$ is well within the urine production rates achievable through oral loading.

Thirty seconds into the rinse, prototypes designated for inflation were inflated 10 times to 35% strain at approximately 0.1 s$^{-1}$. The 10x inflation cycles, quite dramatically, produced visible biofilm debris in the effluent (Figure S10a and Video S1, Supporting Information) and subsequent examination of the cross-section showed that a large portion of the biofilm was removed (see Figure 4f for a representative cross-section). No “backflow” was observed (i.e., the inflation did not cause fluid to eject/flow out the top of the prototype). Biofilms in control and inflated prototypes were stained with crystal violet before the prototypes were sliced open. We confirmed that biofilm had been removed from strained areas (Figure 4f) while biofilm remained bonded to the unstrained areas. We then analyzed microscopic images of the biofilm area coverage using ImageJ and confirmed significant biofilm removal (approximately 84% of the biofilm removed from strained areas of the lumen versus 7% removed from unstrained areas; Figure S11, Supporting Information). Again, area coverage measurements appeared to underestimate the magnitude of biofilm removal, so the effluent collected during the rinse/inflation test was assessed for biofilm mass (Figure S10c, Supporting Information). An average of 0.29 g was removed from three inflated prototypes, which when compared to the total mass of biofilm in a control prototype (0.6 g) suggests that greater than 90% of the biofilm mass was removed from the inflated side of the sample. As illustrated in Figure 1, an additional inflation lumen would allow biofilm removal around the complete perimeter of the luminal surface and we are exploring prototypes with multiple inflation lumens for future evaluation.

3. Conclusions

We showed that surface deformation can result in debonding of crystalline biofilms and developed the first macroscale quantitative relationship between strain rate, biofilm segment length, and biofilm debonding. We then extrapolated from actuation techniques used in soft robotics to develop a method for on-demand removal of biofilms from catheters that can be applied in the previously inaccessible main lumen.[37] The mechanical biofilm-removal method circumvents the many chemical and biological issues with previous approaches to biofouling control in catheters[23b, 36–38] and is complementary to bactericidal and physicochemical approaches towards biofilm-resistant surfaces.[15] This active biofouling removal method presents a promising and affordable infection control option for urinary catheters, inexpensive devices relatively unchanged for 50 years due to the complexity and expense of previous infection control efforts.[3, 4] A urinary catheter with on-demand biofouling release would be valuable to the subset of patients dealing with serial, occlusive catheter blockages,[11b] but even more beneficial to society if used to proactively remove asymptomatic biofilms that lead to symptomatic CAUTIs.[3, 4, 13b, 23b] Existing extrusion techniques are thoroughly capable of adding an intrawall inflation lumen, or even multiple inflation lumens, to catheter shafts without affecting the shafts’ external dimensions. Multiple inflation lumens would allow actuation of the entire luminal surface, and inflation lumen(s) could be inflated via an additional hub port for actuation. Finally, the combination of this work with previous demonstrations of mucoid E. coli and C. marina biofilm debonding provides compelling evidence of the utility of a substrate-strain biofilm debonding method in a variety of applications.

4. Experimental Section

Bacteria Strain and Culture Media: Proteus mirabilis 2573 (ATCC 49565) was thawed from frozen stock and cultivated overnight at 37 °C on a tryptone soya broth agar slant, which was stored at 4 °C and used for up to 2 weeks. Artificial urine was prepared per the recipe originally described in Griffith and modified by Ciach and was composed of calcium chloride 0.49 g L$^{-1}$, magnesium chloride hexahydrate 0.65 g L$^{-1}$, sodium chloride 4.6 g L$^{-1}$, disodium sulfate 2.3 g L$^{-1}$, trisodium citrate dihydrate 0.65 g L$^{-1}$, disodium oxalate 0.02 g L$^{-1}$, potassium dihydrogen phosphate 2.8 g L$^{-1}$, potassium chloride 1.6 g L$^{-1}$, ammonium chloride 1.0 g L$^{-1}$, urea 25 g L$^{-1}$, and gelatin 5.0 g L$^{-1}$ in deionized water.[94] The medium was adjusted to a pH of 6.1 and then sterilized. Tryptone soya broth was prepared separately, sterilized, and added to the artificial urine to a final concentration of 1.0 g L$^{-1}$; this made the total artificial urine media (AUM). A colony of P. mirabilis was inoculated into 75 mL of AUM and grown for 4 h at 37 °C on a shaker at 240 rpm.

Preparation of Silicone Coupons: Flat silicone samples (Dragon Skin 0020, Smooth-On, Inc.) were manufactured by pouring 10 mL of silicone into a 90-mm diameter petri dish (VWR) generating a 1.7-mm thick silicone layer. Coupons were trimmed into 24 mm × 75 mm dimensions that would fit in a drip flow reactor (Figure S1b, Supporting Information). The coupons were removed from the petri dishes in the biosafety cabinet after rinsing with 95% ethanol and sterilized water.

Preparation of Proof-of-Concept Prototypes: Silicone prototype samples (Dragon Skin 0020 and Ecoflex 0050, Smooth-On, Inc.) were prepared by pouring approximately 10 mL of silicone into a 90-mm diameter petri dish (VWR) generating a 1.7-mm thick silicone layer. Coupons were trimmed into 24 mm × 75 mm dimensions that would fit in a drip flow reactor (Figure S1b, Supporting Information). The coupons were removed from the petri dishes in the biosafety cabinet after rinsing with 95% ethanol and sterilized water.
growth, the samples were sterilized in a biosafety cabinet by rinsing with 95% ethanol and sterilized water.

**Biofilm Growth:** The drain of a drip flow reactor (BioSurface Technologies Corporation) was modified to keep flat silicone coupons submerged in 0.3–0.6 cm media while under flow (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The reactor and all associated supply and drain tubing were sterilized and placed in a Class II biosafety cabinet. The reactor was maintained at 37 °C by placing it in a mini-incubator. AUM was introduced using a peristaltic pump to prime the flow system. The samples in the reactor were infected with 10 mL of the 4 h *P. mirabilis* culture and the infected culture was left for 1 h to allow bacterial attachment before the media supply was resumed. The model was run continuously at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min \(^{-1}\) until the desired time point, or a system blockage occurred.

Biofilms on proof-of-concept prototypes were grown using the same flow loop and method, but the drip flow reactor was replaced with a manifold of four artificial bladders in a vertical orientation (Figure S9, Supporting Information). The bladders were maintained at 37 °C by a mini-incubator. The bladders each held a 30-mL reservoir of infected media that would overflow into glass tubing and then drip-feed through the prototypes as fresh media were added to the bladder. Again, the model was run continuously at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min \(^{-1}\) until the desired time point, or a system blockage occurred.

**Strain and Inflation Testing:** Biofilm-covered silicone coupons were removed from the reactor and kept covered in a hydrated state. The coupons were carefully sliced longitudinally to bisect the coupon (12 mm × 75 mm) while avoiding disturbance of the biofilm. Resultant samples were stretched to the desired strain percentage at controlled strain rates; samples were sprayed with DI water to maintain hydration during strain testing. The gauge length was 5 cm, and the sample was 1.2 cm wide. Samples were subjected to 10 strain cycles and representative videos and images were captured during testing. Two different stretchers were required to apply strains across the range of strain rates. A tensile tester with grips oriented vertically (LRX Model 400c) was used for strain rates of 0.01 and 0.2 s \(^{-1}\) (velocities of 0.2 and 1 cm s \(^{-1}\)); while a syringe pump modified with additional clamps was used for the slowest and fastest strain rates 0.04 and 0.4 s \(^{-1}\) (velocities 0.05 and 2 cm s \(^{-1}\)) due to speed limitations of the LRX tensile tester (see dashed and solid lines, respectively, in Figure 3a). Once strain tested, samples were immediately submerged in DI water and subjected to 4 mL min \(^{-1}\) flow for 1 min. Samples were then stained with 0.01% crystal violet for 10–15 min and rinsed three times with DI water. Each sample was imaged at least six times (at center of sample to eliminate the area covered by the valve) before the media supply was resumed. The model was run continuously at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min \(^{-1}\) until the desired time point, or a system blockage occurred.

**Finite Element Calculations:** The boundary conditions for the calculation of energy release rate in Figure 3c are illustrated in Figure S3 (Supporting Information). The thickness of the substrate, \(H_s\), was set as 30 times the biofilm thickness, \(H\). In Abaqus, both the biofilm and substrate were modeled as nearly incompressible Neo-Hookean materials, and discretized as reduced integration 2D quadrilateral elements (CPF8R). The number of elements used in the model ranged from 12 000 to 40 000. The insensitivity of the model to mesh was validated by refining the mesh size of the model. The energy release rate was calculated as \(\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left( c^2 - U(a) \right) / \left( U(a) \right) \), as, where \(U\) was the strain energy of the model, and \(a\) was the crack length as shown in Figure S3 (Supporting Information).
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