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Where’s the packet loss?

Faulty network device(s) along the way. But where?

A

100 pkts

B

25 pkts 😞
Where’s the packet loss?

Solution idea: Check how far packets get from A to B before being dropped somewhere.

Fine-grained packet counters + Forwarding Complex policies
Where’s the packet loss?

Instead: nice to get $A \rightarrow B$ packet counts each step along paths where $A \rightarrow B$ traffic flows
Wouldn’t it be nice to ask questions about packet paths in a network?

Problem: we only observe a given packet independently at different switches.
We’ve designed a path query system that analyzes packet paths directly in the data plane.
Problem statement

1. Operator/application specifies network path queries

2. Translate into efficient and direct switch measurements (i.e., data plane rules)
Problem statement

Independent specifications

Compiled into data plane rules
Solution architecture

1. Path query language
   Regular expressions of packet location & headers

2. Query compiler and runtime

Query expressions → Statistics

SDN controller

Payloads → Statistics
Path Query Language
Let’s write some queries! (1/3)

- Count packets reaching switch S1, then S2 with an internal source IP address (10.0/16)

```
switch=S1

A hop on the wire

switch=S2, srcip=10.0/16
```
Let’s write some queries! (2/3)

• Capture packets evading a firewall in the network

\[
ingress() \wedge (\text{switch} \neq \text{FW})^* \wedge egress()
\]
Let’s write some queries! (3/3)

- Switch-level traffic matrix:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E1</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Let’s write some queries! (3/3)

- Switch-level traffic matrix:

\[
\text{ingress}() \quad \land \\
\text{true} \quad \land \\
\text{egress}() \\
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>#pkts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count all packets, going from any ingress to any egress.
Let’s write some queries! (3/3)

• Switch-level traffic matrix:

\[
\text{groupby(ingress(), [switch])}
\]

\[
\text{^}
\]

\[
\text{(true)*}
\]

\[
\text{^
}\]

\[
\text{groupby(egress(), [switch])}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>#pkts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sw=I1, sw=E1</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sw=I1, sw=E2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group counts by packet’s ingress and egress switch!

⇒ Traffic matrix!
Let’s write some queries!

• More example queries in the paper
The Runtime System
How to analyze packet paths in the data plane?
Packet paths on data plane

- Main idea: Record path information in packets

- As such, too much state!
Reducing path state on packets

• Observation 1: Queries already tell us what’s needed!
  • Only record path state needed by queries

• Observation 2: Queries are regular expressions
  • Regular expressions $\Rightarrow$ Finite automaton (DFA)
  • Distinguish only paths corresponding to DFA states
Reducing path state on packets

• Observation 1: Queries already tell us what’s needed!
  • Only record path state needed by queries

Record only DFA state on packets (1-2 bytes)

Use existing “tag” fields (e.g., VLAN)
Example: Query Compilation (1/3)

Query:
\[(\text{switch}=S1, \text{srcip}=10.0.0.1) \land (\text{switch}=S2, \text{dstip}=10.0.0.3)\]
Example: Query Compilation (2/3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>state=Q0, srcip=10.0.0.1</td>
<td>state=Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>state=Q1, dstip=10.0.0.3</td>
<td>state=Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>state=Q1, dstip=10.0.0.3</td>
<td>count</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DFA transition

DFA accept
Example: Query Compilation (3/3)

DFA-Transitioning   Forwarding   DFA-Accepting

All acting on the same data plane packets!

Frenetic composition operators (details in paper)
Implementation

• Prototype on the Pyretic (NSDI’13) SDN controller

• Implementation publicly available online
  • http://frenetic-lang.org/pyretic/

• Evaluation:
  • Payload collection bandwidth
  • Rule space
  • See paper.
DFA state can be used to track packet paths directly on the data plane.

Measurement and forwarding can be specified independently.
Happy to answer queries ;)

narayana@cs.princeton.edu