



## Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (PALS) studies of gamma irradiated As<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> films used in MIR integrated photonics

Spencer Novak<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Vivek Singh<sup>c</sup>, Corentin Monmeyran<sup>c</sup>, Adam Ingram<sup>d</sup>, Zhaohong Han<sup>c</sup>, Hongtao Lin<sup>c</sup>, Nikolay Borodinov<sup>a</sup>, Neil Patel<sup>c</sup>, Qingyang Du<sup>c</sup>, Juejun Hu<sup>c</sup>, Igor Luzinov<sup>a</sup>, Roman Golovchak<sup>e</sup>, Anuradha Agarwal<sup>c,f</sup>, Kathleen Richardson<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA

<sup>b</sup> CREOL, College of Optics and Photonics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

<sup>d</sup> Institute of Mathematics and Physics, Opole University of Technology, Opole, POLAND

<sup>e</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Austin Peay State University, Clarksville, TN, USA

<sup>f</sup> Materials Processing Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 13 September 2016

Accepted 16 October 2016

Available online 25 October 2016

#### Keywords:

Chalcogenide glasses

Thin films

Radiation effects

Microphotonics

### ABSTRACT

Chalcogenide glass (ChG) materials are increasingly being utilized in infrared planar photonic devices due to their tailorable refractive indices and compatibility with other on-chip materials and manufacturing methodologies. The performance and reliability of such integrated photonic components in land or space-based environments where radiation impact structure and properties is important to their ultimate deployment. Bulk glasses and thermally evaporated thin films of As<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> were subjected to a gamma exposure of 229 Mrad to compare bulk/film material response and the impact of film thickness on such response. Positron annihilation lifetime (PAL) spectroscopy was employed to compare the effect of gamma irradiation on the different forms of the glass. The average positron lifetime was found to be longer for the films than the bulk glass in unirradiated specimens, and the films showed more significant increases in lifetimes with gamma exposure. This effect was more pronounced for thinner films, which showed, for example, an increase in positron lifetime. Positron lifetime was measured over the course of 20 days after exposure, over which the effect of the gamma radiation was found to relax at room temperature. Long-term or incomplete relaxation of the gamma-induced changes were observed in the films, while the bulk glass positron lifetime returned to pre-exposed values. A proposed mechanism for these observations based on coordination topological defects (CTD) is presented to explain the initial and longer term response, and the possible consequence when such films are integrated in device geometries.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Due to their unique optical properties including broad infrared transparency, high nonlinearity and photosensitivity, chalcogenide glasses (ChGs) are important materials in emerging applications such as sensing, communications, imaging, and radiation dosimetry [1,2]. As these devices are being considered for deployment in areas of high radiation, such as space or dosimetry applications, it is crucial to understand the effects of radiation on the performance of the device to establish operational limits and thresholds for component degradation. How such mechanisms previously studied in bulk glasses extend to the same materials in thin film form has not been well studied, especially

consideration of film thickness effects on scales relevant to those found in photonic devices, and as a function of time following radiation exposure where transient performance stability may be tolerated if devices cannot be readily repaired or replaced, such as in space.

At present, three different mechanisms have been proposed to explain the  $\gamma$ -induced effects in bulk ChG [3]. The first of these involves simultaneous switching of two heteropolar covalent bonds into two homopolar bonds (or vice versa) without any changes in the local coordination of all bond-forming atoms. The second model involves breaking a covalent chemical bond to form a transient D<sup>+</sup>-D<sup>-</sup> coordination topological defect (CTD) pair. These charged diamagnetic defects then immediately annihilate, producing the final state (Fig. 1). The third model is similar to the second, consisting of the production of a CTD pair which is then stabilized, as in Fig. 1, to an intermediate stage. Stabilization can be achieved through additional bond switching, which increases the spatial separation between created CTDs and preserves

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA.

E-mail address: [spencen@g.clemson.edu](mailto:spencen@g.clemson.edu) (S. Novak).




Fig. 1. Coordination topological defects formed by gamma radiation in As-Ch material (subscript means coordination, superscript means charge state).

them from spontaneous annihilation. This mechanism is believed to be the most promising as it can explain the wide variety of the observed gamma-induced effects [4].

For example, the observed darkening effect, or long-wave shift of the fundamental optical absorption edge, in vitreous  $\text{As}_2\text{S}_3$  can be explained by switching of the heteropolar As—S covalent bonds into homopolar As—As bonds, accompanied by charged  $\text{D}^+\text{-D}^-$  CTD formation [5]. Here, these CTDs enhance the intrinsic electrical fields within the glass network, leading to the observed decrease in the slope of the fundamental optical absorption edge [6]. Destruction-polymerization transformations shown in Fig. 1 together with CTD formation can also explain the changes in the refractive index and density of various As—S compositions with gamma-irradiation to doses up to 400 Mrad, as reported by Sundaram et al. [7] Annealing of radiation-induced CTDs at room or elevated temperatures is responsible for the observed complete or partial restoration of ChGs initial physical properties with time [6,8]. The time constant of this process is assumed to depend significantly on the nature of the chalcogen atom (S, Se or Te), being the largest for sulfides [4,9,10]. This ability to fully recover with time could be especially important in film-based photonic devices where long-term stability may be needed in adverse environments.

As noted, coordination topological defects (CTDs) are a central part of these mechanisms and warrants a brief review. The concept of CTDs exploits Anderson's idea [11] based on strong electron-phonon coupling in amorphous semiconductors, which results in a negative spin-pairing correlation energy and pinning of the Fermi level in the absence of paramagnetism. The corresponding electronic states models were developed by Mott, Davis, Street [12] and expanded by Kastner, Adler, Fritzsche [13], which allowed explanation, in part, of the unique physical properties of amorphous semiconductors and chalcogenide glasses. Early theoretical calculations of correlation energies supported the possibility of CTD formation in vitreous  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$ , but their equilibrium concentration in as-prepared glass was predicted to be at the level of only  $\sim 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  [14,15]. More recent calculations [16,17] suggest higher concentration of CTDs, especially as a result of external influences, such as photoexposure or radiation treatment [5,18,19]. Nevertheless, to date, there is no confirmed experimental evidence of CTD formation in as-prepared ChGs or ChG thin films because of their diamagnetic nature and, most likely, their very low concentrations.

Conversely, direct evidence of the presence of induced CTDs has been obtained through X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and extended X-ray absorption fine structure analyses [20,21], or indirect measurements using vibrational spectroscopies such as Raman and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy [3–5,10,22]. These observations made in glasses upon exposure to various forms of radiation suggest a threshold for formation. However, these techniques can only provide information on

CTDs from a structural standpoint, which is insufficient to fully characterize the presence, concentration and stability of such defects under all 'exposure' conditions.

Complementary information to that realized in the above techniques can be obtained through the investigation of electron deficient sub-system of glass network voids, which can be achieved by using positron annihilation lifetime (PAL) spectroscopy. PAL spectroscopy is believed to be one of the best techniques capable of characterizing the local free volume, such as open-volume defects or pores in materials on a subnanometer scale. Because of the reduced coordination and negative charge of under-coordinated CTDs such as seen for one-fold coordinated chalcogen atoms in Fig. 1, this provides free volume with an associated negative effective charge, where a positron can be trapped before annihilation. This process should lead to an increase in the average positron lifetime in such a material [23,24], which can be measured with PAL spectroscopy.

As noted above, while many studies have investigated the effects of gamma radiation on various compositions of bulk ChG, only a few studies have been done to understand gamma-induced effects in the thin film form of these materials [25–28]. Because thermally evaporated  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  thin films have been proposed for microphotonic applications [29], the effect of gamma exposure on thermally evaporated, glassy  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  films is therefore studied in this paper by PAL spectroscopy. Thin films of varying thickness are compared to bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  glass and the post-irradiation stability of the radiation-induced modification of glass network is examined.

## 2. Experimental

Bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  was made by conventional ChG fabrication methods, beginning by batching elemental starting materials into a fused  $\text{SiO}_2$  ampoule inside of a nitrogen-purged glovebox [30]. The tube was sealed under vacuum with a methane-oxygen torch, and melted for 16 h at 750 °C in a rocking furnace, air quenched, and annealed at 150 °C for 24 h. The bulk glass was cut into discs with thickness of approximately 3 mm, and optically polished. The bulk glass was also broken into pieces about 2–5 mm in size using a mortar and pestle, which were used for film deposition by thermal evaporation. Films were deposited on a Si wafer maintained at 25 °C, at a rate of  $18 \text{ \AA s}^{-1}$ , by heating the glass pieces in a tantalum boat, using protocols optimized for fabrication of low loss optical waveguides and resonators [31]. Here, blanket  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  films with thicknesses of 0.4, 0.8, and 2.0  $\mu\text{m}$  were prepared.

Gamma exposure was done inside of evacuated glass ampoules at a rate of approximately 400 krad/h to a total dose of 229 Mrad. The PAL spectra of unexposed and gamma-exposed bulk and film samples were recorded with fast coincidence system (ORTEC) of 230 ps

resolution. FWHM of a single Gaussian was determined by measuring  $^{60}\text{Co}$  isotope at a temperature of 22 °C and relative humidity of 45%. Each PAL spectrum was recorded with a statistics  $2.5 \cdot 10^5$  coincidence to balance reasonable time of measurements and quality of lifetime spectrum. The channel width of 6.15 ps allows 8000 total channels. The source of positrons was a  $^{22}\text{Na}$  isotope of low  $\sim 50$  kBq activity prepared from aqueous solution of  $^{22}\text{NaCl}$ , and wrapped by Kapton foil of 7  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness and sealed. This source was sandwiched between two identical thin film samples. The raw PAL spectra of the investigated glasses were processed with LT 9.0 program [32]. For the case of the film samples, PAL spectra were taken of the irradiated and unirradiated Si substrates, with no significant differences detected. Calibration of the instrument and source contribution were checked by routine measurement of standards.

PAL spectra for thin films were treated by subtracting contributions from source and substrate, following the regular well-approbated procedure in LT 9.0 [32]. Despite the fact that the fraction of positrons annihilated in thin film is smaller than in the substrate, the developed algorithm allowed us to unambiguously register the changes produced by gamma-irradiation. Irradiated and non-irradiated substrates and thin films of different thicknesses (on substrates) were measured with the same conditions, which additionally increased the confidence in PAL data. Fig. 2 shows an example positron decay data for the unirradiated 2.0  $\mu\text{m}$   $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  film, employing the above protocol.

Accepting the two-state positron trapping model validated for ChG [33,34], which assumes only one kind of positron trapping centers exist and no positronium formation takes place, the experimental PAL spectra were fitted with two lifetime components ( $\tau_1, I_1$ ) and ( $\tau_2, I_2$ ), where  $\tau_i$  stands for the lifetime and  $I_i$  for the intensity of  $i$ -component. Then, the average positron lifetime ( $\tau_{av}$ ), defect-free bulk positron lifetime ( $\tau_b$ ) and positron trapping rate in defects ( $k_d$ ) can be calculated as follows:

$$\tau_{av} = \frac{\tau_1 I_1 + \tau_2 I_2}{I_1 + I_2} \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_b = \frac{I_1 + I_2}{\frac{I_1}{\tau_1} + \frac{I_2}{\tau_2}} \quad (2)$$

$$k_d = \frac{I_2}{I_1} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_b} - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right) \quad (3)$$




Fig. 2. Sample positron decays of 2.0  $\mu\text{m}$   $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  film,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  components, the reconstructed fit, uncoated Si wafer, and the source contribution.

### 3. Results and discussion

The positron decay behavior of the bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  glass samples were fit using two exponential terms,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , which were allowed to vary freely along with their relative weights,  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ . In the case of the films,  $\tau_1$  was fixed to the value of the bulk glass, 0.215 ns, while  $\tau_2, I_1$  and  $I_2$  were allowed to vary freely. Table 1 shows the measured PAL parameters post-irradiation, including calculated  $\tau_{av}$ , the weighted average positron lifetime, and  $k_d$ , the positron trapping rate of the defect.

According to the adopted two-state positron trapping model, the first component ( $\tau_1, I_1$ ) includes free annihilation of positrons and is also related to the positron bulk lifetime ( $\tau_b$ ) as discussed in measurements made for bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  in prior work [23,35]. The second component ( $\tau_2, I_2$ ) is usually caused by positrons which are trapped before annihilation in open-volume defects, such as vacancies and their agglomerations in crystals, grain boundaries in ceramics and glass-ceramics, shallow positron traps, and precipitates and inclusions, such as in crystals and some disordered materials where positronium is not formed [23,24,35]. In the non-irradiated bulk As—Se glasses, the most efficient positron traps contributing to the second component ( $\tau_2, I_2$ ) are identified as bond free solid angle sub-nanovoids, including their agglomeration with each other or different sub-nanometer open volumes, near the chalcogen atoms within heteropolar As—Se bonds [36]. Size of these open volume entities in bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  glass can be estimated using bond free solid angle concept [36,37], and  $\tau_2$  values within Jensen's approach [38]. The concentration of these trapping centers can be roughly estimated through the intensity,  $I_2$ , of the second component in the fit. By comparing the  $I_2$  values in Table 1, it can be concluded that thinner non-irradiated films have a more defective structure. Simultaneously, the value of  $\tau_2$  has a tendency to increase with decreasing thickness, indicating a higher degree of voids agglomeration at the nanoscale, meaning more geometric free volume, like vacancies or their clusters, present in thin films compared to bulk.

The dramatic increase in  $k_d$  seen for the thin films as shown in Table 1, suggests either formation of CTDs in significant concentrations during thermal evaporation or more significant contrast in charge distribution (charging of the open-volume entities), that leads to more efficient trapping of positrons in geometric free volume defects as compared to bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  glass. Indeed, it is known that the structure of As—Ch evaporated films differs from that of the bulk glass, as the films can contain higher concentrations of homopolar As—As and Se—Se bonds, in addition to  $\text{As}_4\text{Se}_4$  and  $\text{As}_4\text{Se}_3$  molecular units and  $\text{Se}_8$  rings [39]. The interface between film and substrate can also contribute to positron trapping, but it is not possible to resolve this contribution. PAL experiment using moderated positron source is required in that case.

The weighted average lifetime,  $\tau_{av}$ , increases post-gamma exposure, which is apparent in all samples tested, including bulk and thin film forms. The magnitude of the gamma-induced increase in  $\tau_{av}$  is greater as the sample becomes thinner, with the bulk glass exhibiting the smallest increase and the 0.4  $\mu\text{m}$  film showing the largest increase. In bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  glass the observed increase in  $\tau_{av}$  can be explained by the two-state positron trapping model. Indeed, a  $\sim 7\%$  increase in  $I_2$  after gamma-irradiation can be associated with the increase in the concentration of trapping centers responsible for the  $\tau_2$  and  $I_2$  component. Since the value of  $\tau_2$  did not change significantly after gamma-irradiation of bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  glass, we do not expect changes in geometric dimensions of corresponding open-volume entities, but increase in  $k_d$  may indicate a negative effective charging of the voids. This is consistent with the CTD concept, particularly with the formation of negatively charged  $\text{Ch}^-$  defects in Fig. 1. These gamma-induced changes are unstable and decay completely after about two weeks of storage at room temperature, as shown in Figs. 3a and 4a. During the decay process,  $\tau_2$  values were found to be constant, while  $\tau_{av}, I_2$  and  $k_d$  gradually decreased to their values for the non-irradiated bulk  $\text{As}_2\text{Se}_3$  glass. It is noteworthy that the observed changes in PAL parameters cannot be

**Table 1**PAL parameters measured before and post-irradiation. Error on the lifetimes ( $\tau$ ) is  $\pm 0.02$  ns, and error on the weight ( $I$ ) is  $\pm 5\%$ .

Sample	$\tau_1$ (ns)	$I_1$ (a.u.)	$\tau_2$ (ns)	$I_2$ (a.u.)	$\tau_{av}$ (ns)	$\tau_b$ (ns)	$k_d$
As <sub>2</sub> Se <sub>3</sub> bulk Non-irradiated	0.215	0.64	0.351	0.36	0.262	0.250	0.65
As <sub>2</sub> Se <sub>3</sub> bulk Irradiated	0.215	0.56	0.343	0.43	0.270	0.256	0.75
0.4 $\mu\text{m}$ Non-irradiated	0.215	0.01	0.425	0.99	0.425	0.424	2.29
0.4 $\mu\text{m}$ Irradiated	0.215	0.01	0.687	0.99	0.684	0.678	3.18
0.8 $\mu\text{m}$ Non-irradiated	0.215	0.04	0.358	0.96	0.352	0.348	1.78
0.8 $\mu\text{m}$ Irradiated	0.215	0.01	0.468	0.99	0.465	0.461	2.48
2.0 $\mu\text{m}$ Non-irradiated	0.215	0.06	0.301	0.94	0.296	0.294	1.26
2.0 $\mu\text{m}$ Irradiated	0.215	0.02	0.318	0.98	0.317	0.316	1.49


explained by gamma-induced structural relaxation, which is known to be negligible for bulk glass As<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> composition which possesses an optimally-constrained network [40].

In thin film samples, an increase in  $\tau_{av}$ ,  $I_2$  and  $k_d$  parameters of two-component fits is also observed, but changes are more pronounced in magnitude. Additionally, an increase in  $\tau_2$  after gamma-irradiation is noticeable. The latter circumstance indicates that the mechanism of radiation-induced effects in thin films include additional processes not characteristic of the processes seen in bulk glass. For example, the observed changes here may be attributed to more complicated structural transformations like agglomeration of free-volume entities due to the destruction-polymerization transformations, non-defect switching of covalent bonds, and radiation-induced shrinkage/expansion of the films. Some of these changes may be permanent, since as shown in Figs. 3b–d and 4b–d, the  $\tau_{av}$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $I_2$  and  $k_d$  parameters do not restore to the initial values seen prior to irradiation. The time dependent relaxation PAL studies in thin films reveal a somewhat faster decaying component, on the order of one week. Following this initial recovery, no

appreciable change in PAL parameters are subsequently observed over the remaining duration of the long-term experiment.

#### 4. Conclusions

In summary, these results illustrate a difference in the effect of gamma irradiation on thermally evaporated ChG films of device-relevant thicknesses as compared to behavior seen in the same material in bulk glass form. In the case of the bulk form, complete relaxation of the defects is observed over time, whereas in the case of the films, long term changes to the structure are observed and remain, as quantified by an increase in the positron annihilation lifetime. The magnitude and impact of this long(er) term lifetime in defects in film structures on photonic device performance is not yet known. Future work will consist of efforts to identify the types and concentrations of the defects that are induced in film as compared to bulk, and, ultimately, to characterize device performance as a function of gamma radiation dose.



**Fig. 3.**  $\tau_{av}$  and  $\tau_2$  of bulk and film ChG samples measured periodically after gamma exposure.




Fig. 4.  $I_2$  and  $k_d$  determined from periodic measurements after gamma exposure. In all samples  $\tau_1$  is fixed at 215 ps, and for the bulk sample only,  $\tau_2$  is fixed at 351 ps.

## Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by Defense Threat Reduction Agency contract number HDTRA1-13-1-0001: Evaluation of Radiation-induced Photonic Defects in Silicon, Germanium, Chalcogenides, and Polymers.

## References

- [1] V. Singh, P.T. Lin, N. Patel, H. Lin, L. Li, Y. Zou, F. Deng, C. Ni, J. Hu, J. Giammarco, A.P. Soliani, B. Zdyrko, I. Luzinov, S. Novak, J. Novak, P. Wachtel, S. Danto, J.D. Musgraves, K. Richardson, L.C. Kimerling, A.M. Agarwal, Mid-infrared materials and devices on a Si platform for optical sensing, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* 15 (2014) 014603.
- [2] J. Hu, L. Li, H. Lin, Y. Zou, Q. Du, C. Smith, S. Novak, K. Richardson, J.D. Musgraves, Chalcogenide glass microphotonics: stepping into the spotlight, *Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull.* 94 (4) (2015) 24–29.
- [3] R. Golovchak, O. Shpotyuk, Radiation-induced bond switching in mixed Ge-as sulphide glasses, *Philos. Mag.* 85 (2005) 2847–2860.
- [4] *Semiconducting Chalcogenide Glasses 1: Glass Formation, Structure, and Stimulated Transformations in Chalcogenide Glasses*, Edited by R. Fairman, B. Ushkov (Amsterdam, Boston, London, New York, Oxford, Paris, San Diego, San Francisco, Singapore, Sydney, Tokyo: Elsevier Academic Press, 2004).
- [5] O. Shpotyuk, Reversible radiation effects in vitreous  $As_2S_3$ . II. Mechanism of structural transformations, *Phys. Status Solidi A* 145 (1) (1994) 69–75.
- [6] R. Golovchak, O. Shpotyuk, V. Boyko, A. Zuravska, On the temperature behaviour of optical transmission spectra of gamma-modified vitreous  $As_2S_3$ , *Ukr. J. Phys.* 7 (1) (2006) 18.
- [7] S.K. Sundaram, J.S. McCloy, B.J. Riley, M.K. Murphy, H.A. Qiao, C.F. Windisch Jr., E.D. Walter, J.V. Crum, R. Golovchak, O. Shpotyuk, Gamma radiation effects on physical, optical, and structural properties of binary As-S glasses, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 95 (2011) 1048–1055.
- [8] O.I. Shpotyuk, A.P. Kovalskiy, T.S. Kavetskyy, R.Y. Golovchak, Threshold restoration effects in  $\gamma$ -irradiated chalcogenide glasses, *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* 351 (2005) 993–997.
- [9] R. Golovchak, O. Shpotyuk, A. Kozdras, B.J. Riley, S.K. Sundaram, J.S. McCloy, Radiation effects in physical aging of binary As-S and As-Se glasses, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* 103 (2011) 213–218.
- [10] A.I. Popov, I.A. Domoryad, N.I. Michalev, Structural modification of arsenic chalcogenide glasses under  $\gamma$ -irradiation, *Phys. Status Solidi* 106 (1988) 333–337.
- [11] P.W. Anderson, Model for the electronic structure of amorphous semiconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 34 (15) (1975) 953–955.
- [12] N.F. Mott, E.A. Davis, R.A. Street, States in the gap and recombination in amorphous semiconductors, *Philos. Mag.* 32 (5) (1975) 961–996.
- [13] M. Kastner, D. Adler, H. Fritzsche, Valence-alternation model for localized gap states in lone-pair semiconductors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 37 (22) (1976) 1504–1507.
- [14] D. Vanderbilt, J.D. Joannopoulos, Theory of defect states in glassy  $As_2Se_3$ , *Phys. Rev. B* 23 (6) (1981) 2596–2606.
- [15] Z. Vardeny, J. Tauc, Method for direct determination of the effective correlation energy of defects in semiconductors: optical modulation spectroscopy of dangling bonds, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 54 (16) (1985) 1844–1847.
- [16] J. Li, D.A. Drabold, First-principles molecular-dynamics study of glassy  $As_2Se_3$ , *Phys. Rev. B* 61 (18) (2000) 11998–12004.
- [17] S.I. Simdyankin, T.A. Niehaus, G. Natarajan, T. Frauenheim, S.R. Elliott, New type of charged defect in amorphous chalcogenides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94 (2005) 086401–1–086401–4.
- [18] G.J. Adriaenssens, V.K. Tikhomirov, S.R. Elliott, Mechanism and kinetics of photoinduced anisotropy in chalcogenide glasses, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 227–230 (1998) 688–693.
- [19] K. Antoine, J. Li, D.A. Drabold, H. Jain, M. Vlcek, A.C. Miller, Photoinduced changes in the electronic structure of  $As_2Se_3$  glass, *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* (2003) 248–256 326–327.
- [20] A.P. Kovalskiy, H. Jain, A.C. Miller, R.Y. Golovchak, O.I. Shpotyuk, A study of reversible  $\gamma$ -induced structural transformations in vitreous  $Ge_{23.5}Sb_{1.8}Se_{64.7}$  by high-resolution x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 110 (45) (2006) 22930–22934.
- [21] A.V. Kolobov, H. Oyanagi, K. Tanaka, K. Tanaka, Structural study of amorphous selenium by in situ EXAFS: observation of photoinduced bond alternation, *Phys. Rev. B* 55 (1997) 726.
- [22] F. Xia, S. Baccaro, D. Zhao, M. Falconieri, G. Chen, Gamma ray irradiation induced optical band gap variations in chalcogenide glasses, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* 234 (4) (2005) 525–532.
- [23] R. Golovchak, A. Ingram, S. Kozyukhin, O. Shpotyuk, Free volume fragmentation in glassy chalcogenides during natural physical ageing as probed by PAL spectroscopy, *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* 377 (2013) 49–53.
- [24] O. Shpotyuk, A. Ingram, R. Szatanik, M. Shpotyuk, R. Golovchak, Structural-relaxation phenomena in As-S glasses as probed by combined PAL/DBAR technique, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 155 (2015) 76.
- [25] S.M. El-Sayed, Electron beam and gamma irradiation effects on amorphous chalcogenide  $SbSe_{2.5}$  films, *Nucl. Inst. Methods Phys. Res. B* 225 (4) (2004) 535.
- [26] M.S. Ailavajhala, T. Nichol, Y. Gonzalez-Velo, C.D. Poweleit, H.J. Barnaby, M.N. Kozicki, D.P. Butt, M. Mitkova, Thin Ge-Se films as a sensing material for radiation doses, *Phys. Status Solidi B* 251 (7) (2014) 1347.
- [27] G.A. Amin, S.M. El-Sayed, H.M. Saad, F.M. Hafez, M. Abd-El-Rahman, The radiation effect on optical and morphological properties of Ag-As-Te thin films, *Radiat. Meas.* 42 (3) (2007) 400–406.
- [28] G.A.M. Amin, J. Gregus, M. Zahoran, Optical response of gamma irradiated arsenic selenide thin films, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 15 (4) (2012) 455–459.
- [29] L. Li, H. Lin, S. Qiao, Y. Zou, S. Danto, K. Richardson, J.D. Musgraves, N. Lu, J. Hu, Integrated flexible chalcogenide glass photonic devices, *Nat. Photonics* 8 (2014) 643–649.
- [30] J.D. Musgraves, P. Wachtel, S. Novak, J. Wilkinson, K. Richardson, Composition dependence and other physical properties in the arsenic selenide glass system, *J. Appl. Phys.* 110 (2011) 063503.
- [31] N. Carlie, J.D. Musgraves, B. Zdyrko, I. Luzinov, J. Hu, V. Singh, A. Agarwal, L.C. Kimerling, A. Canciamilla, F. Morichetti, A. Melloni, K. Richardson, *Opt. Express* 18 (25) (2010) 26728–26743.

- [32] J. Kansy, Microcomputer program for analysis of positron annihilation lifetime spectra, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* 374 (1996) 235–244.
- [33] R. Krause-Rehberg, H.S. Leipner, *Positron Annihilation in Semiconductors*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1999.
- [34] C. Lin, H. Tao, Z. Wang, B. Wang, H. Zang, X. Zheng, X. Zhao, Defect configurations in Ge-S chalcogenide glasses studied by Raman scattering and positron annihilation technique, *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* 355 (7) (2009) 438–440.
- [35] A. Dupasquier, G. Kögel, A. Somoza, Studies of light alloys by positron annihilation techniques, *Acta Mater.* 52 (2004) 4707–4726.
- [36] A. Ingram, R. Golovchak, M. Kostrzewa, S. Wacke, M. Shpotyuk, O. Shpotyuk, Compositional dependences of average positron lifetime in binary As-S/Se glasses, *Physica B* 407 (2012) 652–655.
- [37] M. Kastner, Compositional trends in the optical properties of amorphous lone-pair semiconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* 7 (12) (1973) 5237.
- [38] K.O. Jensen, P.S. Salmon, I.T. Penfold, P.G. Coleman, Microvoids in chalcogenide glasses studied by positron annihilation, *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* 170 (1994) 57–64.
- [39] P. Nemeč, J. Jedelský, M. Frumar, M. Stabl, M. Vlček, Structure, thermally and optically induced effects in amorphous As<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> films prepared by pulsed laser deposition, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 65 (2004) 1253–1258.
- [40] R. Golovchak, O. Shpotyuk, A. Kozdras, B.J. Riley, S.K. Sundaram, J.S. McCloy, Radiation effects in physical aging of binary As-S and As-Se glasses, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* 103 (№1) (2011) 213–218.