

Blueshift & a converse for Eoo-ring spectra

Overview Our goal is to show that for Eoo-rings,

- $L_{T(i)} k(R) = L_{T(i)} k(L_{T(i)} \otimes T(i+1)) R$
 - Descent results
- } (1)

Last time Shachar showed that (1) follows from

$$L_{T(i)} k(L_{i-2}^{\dagger} \mathcal{B}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

If in addition we know that for Eoo-ring R ,

$$L_{T(i-1)} R = 0 \Rightarrow L_{T(i)} k(R) = 0, \quad (3)$$

taking $R = L_{i-2}^{\dagger} \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Today • Discuss Kuhn's blueshift result:

- Prove a main technical result that will help us prove (3) next time:

Thm [CMNN, 45] Let A be an Eoo-ring.

$$L_{T(i-1)} A^{+cp} = 0 \Rightarrow L_{T(j)} A = 0, \quad \forall j \geq i.$$

Remark Fails for E1-rings, e.g. Morava k -theory:

$$L_{K(i-1)} k(i) = 0 \Rightarrow L_{K(i-1)} k(i)^{+cp} = 0 \Rightarrow L_{T(i-1)} k(i)^{+cp} = 0$$

But $L_{T(i)} k(i) \neq 0$

Blueshift & Converse for E_{∞} -ring spectra

§1. Blueshift / Tate vanishing.

- Let G be a finite group. Denote by \widetilde{E}_G the cofiber of $E_{G_+} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$. Thus we have a diagram of cofiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_{G_+} \otimes X & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{E}_G \otimes X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_{G_+} \otimes F(E_{G_+}, X) & \longrightarrow & F(E_{G_+}, X) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{E}_G \wedge F(E_{G_+}, X) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (-)^G & X^{hG} & \longrightarrow & X^G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}^G X \\ \longrightarrow & \parallel \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & X^{hG} & \xrightarrow{\text{norm}} & X^{hG} & \longrightarrow & X^{tG} \quad (\text{Tate construction}) \end{array}$$

- Let L be a Bousfield localization functor and $L\text{Sp}$ the $(\infty, 1)$ -category of L -local spectra.
- L is a right adjoint, so it commutes with $(-)^{hG}$.
- In $L\text{Sp}$, $(-)^{hG}$ and $(-)^{tG}$ are the L -localizations of these constructions in Sp .

Thm [Kuhn; Clausen-Mathew]

Let X be a $T(0)$ -local spectrum with a G -action.

Then $X_{hG} \xrightarrow{N} X^{hG}$ is an equivalence in $L_{T(0)}\text{Sp}$, i.e. $X^{+G} = *$.

Remark: We will only prove the case $G = C_p$.

For arbitrary G , one can first reduce to p -groups using transfer, and then induct along extensions of solvable groups. (See 2.7, 2.8 of [Kuhn]).

Key idea of Clausen & Mathew's proof:

Tate vanishing \Leftrightarrow the transfer $\bar{L}^{\infty}BG \rightarrow \bar{L}^{\infty}*$ = Kahn-Priddy has a section after localization

Prop ([Clausen-Mathew], 2.3) TFAE:

- (1). For $X \in L\text{Sp}$ with a C_p -action, $X_{hG} \xrightarrow{N} X^{hG}$ is an equivalence in $L\text{Sp}$ and $X^{+G} = 0$.
- (2). Condition (1) holds for $X = L\mathbb{S}$ with trivial action.
- (3). The transfer $X_{hG} \rightarrow X$ admits a section after applying L , where G acts trivially on X .

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : X is an $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S}$ -module $\Rightarrow X^{tG}$ is a $(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})^{tG}$ -mod

Since $c \rightarrow tG$ is lax-monoidal. Any module over 0 is 0.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Consider the following diagram in $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{p}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})_{hG} & \xrightarrow{N} & (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})^{hG} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} (\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})^{tG} \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \tau \\ & & \mathbb{L}\mathbb{S} \end{array}$$

Here τ is induced by inclusion of the basepoint $* \hookrightarrow BG$ and f is the L -localization of the transfer

$$L(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S} \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty BG) \subset L \Sigma_+^\infty BG \rightarrow \mathbb{L}\mathbb{S}.$$

• Suppose that (2) holds, i.e. N is an equivalence.

Since τ has a section, so does f .

• Suppose that (3) holds, i.e. f has a section.

Fact : $(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})^{tG}$ is a ring and can a ring map.

Hence to show that $(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})^{tG} = 0$, it suffices to show that

$N: \pi_0 L(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})_{hG} \rightarrow \pi_0 L(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})^{hG}$ hits a unit u . Since u is then mapped to $0 \in \pi_0 L(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})^{tG}$.

Since f has a section, there exists $x \in \pi_0 L(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})_{hG}$ such that $f = \tau \circ N$ maps x to $1 \in \pi_0 L(\mathbb{L}\mathbb{S})$.

• Claim. $u = N_X$ is a unit in $\pi_0(L\mathcal{S})^{hG}$.

$L\mathcal{S}$ represents a commutative multiplicative cohomology theory. We want to show that if $u \in L\mathcal{S}^0(BG)$ restricts to a unit in $L\mathcal{S}^0(*)$, then cupping with u is an iso on $L\mathcal{S}^*(BG)$.

Let $K \subset BG$ be any finite pointed subcomplex. The Atiyah-Hirzebruch sseq. of $L\mathcal{S}^*(K)$ is multiplicative. Since $F^m(L\mathcal{S}^*(K)) = 0$ for $m > \dim(K)$, we deduce that $F^1(L\mathcal{S}^*(K)) = \ker(L\mathcal{S}^*(K) \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}^0(K))$ is nilpotent. Hence u restricts to a unit under $L\mathcal{S}^*(BG) \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}^*(K)$ and $u: L\mathcal{S}^*(K) \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}^*(K)$ is an iso.

Using the Five Lemma on the Milnor sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_K L\mathcal{S}^{*-1}(K) \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}^*(BG) \rightarrow \varinjlim_K L\mathcal{S}^*(K) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_K L\mathcal{S}^{*-1}(K) \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}^*(BG) \rightarrow \varinjlim_K L\mathcal{S}^*(K) \rightarrow 0$$

We conclude that $u: L\mathcal{S}^0(BG) \rightarrow L\mathcal{S}^0(BG)$ is an iso. \square

- Now we only need to find a section for the transfer after $T(n)$ -localization.

Thm [Kahn-Priddy]

The transfer $\Sigma_0^\infty B\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Sigma_+^\infty *$ admits a section σ after applying $\Omega^{\infty+1}$.

Recall that $L_{T(n)} \simeq \bar{\Phi} \circ \Omega^\infty$, where $\bar{\Phi}$ is the Bousfield-Kuhn functor. Since $\bar{\Sigma}^{-1}$ commutes with $L_{T(n)}$, $\bar{\Sigma} \circ \bar{\Phi} \circ \sigma$ provides a section.

This concludes the proof of Tate-vanishing. \square

§2. Fix a height $i+1$ Morava E -theory \bar{E}_{i+1} . $BP_* \rightarrow \bar{E}_*$
 $\pi_* (\bar{E}_{i+1}) = W(k) \llbracket v_1, \dots, v_i \rrbracket \llbracket \beta^{\pm 1} \rrbracket$ $v_j \mapsto v_j \beta^{\pm 1}, j \leq i$
 $v_{i+1} \mapsto \beta^{\pm 1}$

Thm [CMNN, Lemma 4.5]

Let A be an \bar{E}_0 -ring with trivial C_p -action.

If $L_{T(i)}(A^{+C_p}) = 0$, then $L_{T(j)}A = 0, \forall j \geq i+1$.

$$L_{T(i)}A = 0 \Rightarrow L_{T(i)}A^{+C_p} = 0$$

$$\text{[Hahn]} \Rightarrow L_{T(j)}A = 0, j \geq i+1 \quad \leftarrow \text{Thm}$$

Main idea

(0). Reduce to $k(i+1)$ -local \bar{E}_0 - \bar{E}_{i+1} -algebras.

(1). Relate A and A^{+C_p} via $A^{+C_p} = A \otimes_{\bar{E}_{i+1}}^{\text{tCP}} \bar{E}_{i+1}$.

(2). Algebraic massage + [Hahn]

Proof Recall from Ishan's talk that for ring R ,

$$R \otimes T(i) = 0 \Leftrightarrow R \otimes k(i) = 0,$$

so it suffices to prove the result for $L_{k(i)}$.

We will first show that $L_{k(i)}A^{+C_p} = 0 \Rightarrow L_{k(i+1)}A = 0$

This is equivalent to $L_{k(i+1)}(\bar{E}_{i+1} \otimes A) = 0$, since

$k(i+1) \otimes \bar{E}_{i+1} \neq 0$ splits as a wedge of $\Sigma^? k(i+1)$'s

Hence it suffices to show: if A is a $k(i+1)$ -local

\bar{E}_0 - \bar{E}_{i+1} -algebra with $L_{k(i)}A^{+C_p} = 0$, then $A = 0$.

Lemma $A^{+Cp} \simeq A \otimes_{E_{i+1}} \bar{E}_{i+1}^{-+Cp}$

proof. We will construct equivalences such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A \otimes_{E_{i+1}} (E_{i+1})_{hCp} & \xrightarrow{N} & A \otimes_{E_{i+1}} \bar{E}_{i+1}^{hCp} & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_{E_{i+1}} \bar{E}_{i+1}^{-+Cp} \\
 \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_2 & & \downarrow \\
 A_{hCp} & \xrightarrow{N} & A^{hCp} & \longrightarrow & A^{+Cp}
 \end{array}$$

Commutates.

Then the cofibers are equivalent.

(i). ψ_1 comes from the definition of $(-)^{hCp}$:

$$A \otimes_{E_{i+1}} (E_{i+1})_{hCp} = A \otimes_{E_{i+1}} E_{i+1} \otimes_{S(Cp)} S \simeq A \otimes_{S(Cp)} S = A_{hCp}.$$

(ii). (Lemma 4.2) $\bar{E}_{i+1}^{-hCp} \simeq F(LBCp_+, E_{i+1})$

The SES $Cp \rightarrow S' \xrightarrow{P} S'$ induces a fiber sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S' & \rightarrow & BCp & \rightarrow & ES' \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq BS' & \rightarrow & BS' & \rightarrow & BS'
 \end{array}$$

This classifies an S' -bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ sitting inside a disk (line)-bundle $L^{\mathbb{C}P}$.

Taking the Thom space of $L^{\oplus p}$ yields cofiber sequence

$$B\mathbb{C}p \hookrightarrow E(L^{\oplus p}) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \rightarrow \text{Thom}(L^{\oplus p}).$$

This induces a LES in \bar{E}_{i+1}^* -cohomology

$$\bar{E}_{i+1}^*[[x]] \hookrightarrow \bar{E}_{i+1}^*[[x]] \rightarrow \bar{E}_{i+1}^*(B\mathbb{C}p).$$

$\cdot [p](x) \leftarrow$ non-zero divisor

(cupping with the Euler class)

Hence $\bar{E}_{i+1}^*(B\mathbb{C}p) = \bar{E}_{i+1}^*[[x]] / [p](x)$. This is a free module of rank p^{i+1} over \bar{E}_{i+1}^* by Weierstrass Preparation Theorem, so $\bar{E}_{i+1}^{h\mathbb{C}p} \simeq \bigoplus_{p^i} \Sigma^? \bar{E}_{i+1}$.

Since \bar{E}_{i+1} is $T_{(i+1)}$ -local, Kuhn's Tate-vanishing implies that $L_{T_{(i+1)}}(\bar{E}_{i+1})^{h\mathbb{C}p} \simeq \bar{E}_0^{h\mathbb{C}p}$, so the LES is a free \bar{E}_{i+1} -module on a dual basis. Working in $T_{(i+1)}$ -local \bar{E}_{i+1} -modules, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{L_{T_{(i+1)}} \text{Mod}_{\bar{E}_{i+1}}} (L_{T_{(i+1)}}(\bar{E}_{i+1})^{h\mathbb{C}p}, A) &\simeq L_{T_{(i+1)}}(\bar{E}_{i+1}^{h\mathbb{C}p} \otimes_{\bar{E}_{i+1}} A) \simeq \bar{E}_{i+1}^{h\mathbb{C}p} \otimes_{\bar{E}_{i+1}} A \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{E}_{i+1}}} ((\bar{E}_{i+1})^{h\mathbb{C}p}, A) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}(\mathbb{S}^{h\mathbb{C}p}, A) = A^{h\mathbb{C}p} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will apply some algebraic massage.

- $\pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1}^{+cp}) = \pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1}^{hcp})[\bar{x}^{-1}] = \bar{E}_{i+1}^+[\bar{u}[x]][\bar{x}^{-1}]/(p)[x]$

is flat over $\pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1})$, so all higher Tor vanishes.

and $\pi_0(A^{+cp}) \cong \pi_0(A) \otimes_{\pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1})} \pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1}^{+cp})$

- Mod out by the ideal (p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) and invert x on both sides.

Observe that $\pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1}^{cp})/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})$ is faithfully flat over the field $\pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1})/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})[\bar{x}^{-1}] = k((v_{i-1}))$.

This is because

$$(p)[x] = v_{i+1}x^{p^{i+1}} + f(x)x^{p^{i+1}} \pmod{(p, v_1, \dots, v_i)}.$$

$$\text{In } \pi_0(\bar{E}_{i+1}^{+cp})/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) = \bar{E}_{i+1}^0[\bar{u}[x]][\bar{x}^{-1}]/(p)[x]/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}),$$

$$(p)[x] = 0 \iff v_i \cdot G(x) = (v_{i+1} + f(x) \cdot x) \cdot x^{p^{i+1}}.$$

Since x and v_{i+1} are both invertible, so is v_i .

- Hence the induced map

$$\pi_0(A)/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})[\bar{v}_i^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathbb{F}}} \pi_0(A^{+cp})/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1})[\bar{x}^{-1}]$$

is faithfully flat.

Now we use the following result:

Lemma ([Halm], 2.2)

If R is a $k(i)$ -acyclic $E_0 - E_{i+1}$ -algebra, then some power of v_i is in the ideal $(p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) \subset \pi_0(R)$.

Since A^{+p} is $k(i)$ -acyclic by assumption, the target of \mathbb{F} vanishes and so does the source.

• Finally, we want to show that

$$(*) = \pi_0(A) / (p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) [v_i^{-1}] = 0 \Rightarrow A = 0$$

(i). For $i=0$, $(*) = \pi_0(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, so A is $k(1)$ -acyclic by the May Nilpotence Conjecture [Mathew-Naumann-Niel]. Since A is $k(1)$ -local by assumption, $A = 0$.

(ii). For $i \geq 1$, we use the following blackbox:

Thm ([Halm], 1.2)

Let A be a $k(i+1)$ -local $E_0 - E_{i+1}$ -algebra, (if in $\pi_0(R)$) some power of v_i is in the ideal (p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) , then $\pi_0(A) = 0$.

It follows that $\pi_0(A) / (p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) = 0$, so

$\pi_*(A) / (p, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}) = 0$. Since A is $k(i+1)$ -local, $A = 0$.

- This concludes the proof for $L_{(i)} A^{+CP} = 0 \Rightarrow L_{(i+1)} A = 0$.
To see that $L_{(j)} A = 0, \forall j \geq i+1$, we use the following:

Thm (Ulmer), 1.1

Let A be a $k_{(n)}$ -acyclic \mathbb{E}_0 -ring spectrum.

Then A is $k_{(n+1)}$ -acyclic.

pf. Note that A is $k_{(n+1)}$ -acyclic $\Leftrightarrow A \wedge \bar{E}_{n+1}$ is.

By Lemma 2.2, some power of V_n is in the ideal $I = \langle p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \rangle \subset \pi_0(A \wedge \bar{E}_{n+1})$. The same is true

for $I \subset \pi_0(L_{k_{(n+1)}}(A \wedge \bar{E}_{n+1}))$, so $I \in I$ by Thm 1.2.

As a result, $L_{k_{(n+1)}}(A \wedge \bar{E}_{n+1})$ is acyclic w.r.t. any type $n+1$ Moore spectrum and thus its telescope. It follows that $L_{k_{(n+1)}}(A \wedge \bar{E}_{n+1})$ is $k_{(n+1)}$ -acyclic, and so is $A \wedge \bar{E}_{n+1}$. \square

\square