Reflection and diffraction of internal waves analyzed with the Hilbert transform
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We apply the Hilbert transform to the physics of internal waves in two-dimensional fluids. Using this demodulation technique, we can discriminate internal waves propagating in different directions: This is very helpful in answering several fundamental questions in the context of internal waves. We focus more precisely in this paper on phenomena associated with dissipation, diffraction, and reflection of internal waves. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2963136]

I. INTRODUCTION

The synthetic schlieren technique\(^1\) is a very powerful method to get precise and quantitative measurements for two-dimensional (2D) internal waves in stratified fluids. Such a technique was very effectively used to get quantitative insights while studying different mechanisms for internal waves. Let us just mention the emission, propagation, and reflection of internal waves\(^2–8\) or the generation and reflection of internal tides.\(^6–9\) However, when considering internal waves generated by an oscillating body or by an oscillating flow over a topography, the analysis is drastically complicated by the possibility of different directions of propagation associated with a single frequency; such a problem arises also when multiple reflections occur at boundaries.

We present in this article a method to discriminate the different possible internal waves associated with one given frequency \(\omega\). These waves can be discriminated by their wavevectors \(\mathbf{k}=(k_x,k_z)\) according to the sign of both components, \(k_x\) and \(k_z\). The transformation we present here not only offers an analytical representation of the wavefield, which allows us to extract the envelope and the phase of the waves, but allows also to isolate a single wave beam. This method is based on the Hilbert transform (HT) previously applied to problems dealing with propagating waves but adapted here to 2D phenomena.

The method is used here to tackle several fundamental issues in order to bring new insights. It is important to emphasize that we used a source of monochromatic internal plane waves to facilitate the comparison with theoretical results.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the HT. In Sec. II D, we present its application to the classical oscillating cylinder experiment, with a special emphasis on the insights provided by the HT. In Sec. III, we study three different physical situations that can be nicely solved with this technique. The dissipation length is studied in Sec. III A, the backreflection on a slope in Sec. III B, while Sec. III C focuses on the diffraction mechanism. Finally Sec. IV concludes the paper.

II. PRINCIPLE OF THE HILBERT TRANSFORM

A. Presentation of the variables

Before explaining a simple example of the different steps necessary to apply the HT, let us briefly recall the different properties of internal gravity waves. We consider a 2D \((x,z)\) experimental situation and denote \(t\) the time variable, \(g\) the gravity, and \(\rho(x,z)\) the density. In a linearly stratified fluid such that \(\partial \rho / \partial z < 0\) and within the linear approximation, it is well known\(^9\) that the same wave equation,

\[
\Delta \psi_{tt} + N^2 \psi_{xx} = 0,
\]

is valid for the field \(\psi(x,z,t)\), which stands for either the streamfunction, both velocity components, the pressure, or the density gradients. The constant

\[
N = \sqrt{-\frac{g}{\rho \partial \rho / \partial z}},
\]

which characterizes the oscillation of a fluid particle within a linearly stratified fluid, is the so-called Brunt–Väisälä frequency. Looking for propagating plane wave solutions,

\[
\psi = \psi_0 e^{i(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})},
\]

where \(\mathbf{x}=(x,z)\) and \(\mathbf{k}=(k_x,k_z)\), one gets the dispersion relation

\[
\omega^2 = N^2 \frac{k_x^2}{k_x^2 + k_z^2} = N^2 \sin^2 \theta
\]

if one introduces \(\theta\) as the angle between the wavevector and the gravity. In this unusual dispersion relation, it is apparent that changing the sign of the frequency \(\omega\) or the sign of any component \(k_x, k_z\) of the wavevector has no consequences. So four possible wavevectors are allowed for any given positive frequency, which is smaller than the Brunt–Väisälä frequency.

The synthetic schlieren technique gives quantitative measurements of the horizontal and vertical density gradients \(\rho_x(x,z,t)\) and \(\rho_z(x,z,t)\), respectively. As anticipated,
both quantities verify Eq. (1). In the remainder of this section, we work on a field \( U(x,z,t) \), which might be either \( \rho_{\varepsilon}(x,z,t) \), \( \rho_{\gamma}(x,z,t) \), or a velocity component as obtained in particle image velocimetry experiments.

**B. A simple one-dimensional example**

We present here, in the first stage, how to compute the complex-valued field \( \tilde{U}(x,z,t) \) such that \( U(x,z,t) \) will correspond to its real part \( \text{Re}[\tilde{U}(x,z,t)] \). In order to do so, we demodulate the signal by applying the HT. To avoid misunderstandings, let us note that the HT is sometimes the name of the operation that associates the real-valued number \( \text{Im}(\tilde{U}) \) to the real-valued field \( U \), such that the complex number \( \tilde{U} \) can be fully reconstructed. In this article, we call HT (or complex demodulation) the operation associating the complex-valued field \( \tilde{U} \) with the real field \( U \). This demodulation technique has been previously used to compute local and instantaneous amplitudes, frequencies, and wavenumbers\(^{11-13} \) but, to the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first application in the context of internal gravity waves.

As an introductory example, let us consider a simple signal in one spatial dimension constructed as the superposition of two wave beams propagating in the vertical direction \( z \) with the same frequency and the same wavenumber \( k_{\varepsilon} \).

\[
U(z,t) = A \cos(\omega t - k_{\varepsilon} z) + B \cos(\omega t + k_{\varepsilon} z).
\]  

(5)

As \( z \) is the vertical component, the first term corresponds to a wave propagating upward, whereas the second one corresponds to a wave propagating downward. For the sake of simplicity, we further suppose that the amplitudes \( A \) and \( B \) are constant in space and time. Rewriting the cosines as the sum of exponentials with complex arguments and decomposing according to a Fourier transform in time, we have

\[
U(z,t) = \tilde{U}_1 e^{i\omega t} + \tilde{U}_2 e^{-i\omega t},
\]  

(6)

where \( \tilde{U}_1 = (Ae^{-ik_{\varepsilon} z} + Be^{ik_{\varepsilon} z})/2 \) and \( \tilde{U}_2 = \text{the complex conjugate of} \tilde{U}_1 \). So if we filter out the negative frequencies in Fourier space and multiply by a constant factor of 2, we are left with

\[
\tilde{U}(z,t) = A e^{i(\omega t - k_{\varepsilon} z)} + B e^{i(\omega t + k_{\varepsilon} z)}.
\]  

(7)

The real-valued signal \( U(z,t) \) has been transformed into the complex-valued signal \( \tilde{U}(z,t) \) such that \( U(z,t) = \text{Re}[\tilde{U}(z,t)] \). With that complex signal at hand, it is now easy to separate the two wave beams by looking at the Fourier transform in space,

\[
\tilde{U}(z,t) = (A e^{i\omega t}) e^{-ik_{\varepsilon} z} + (B e^{i\omega t}) e^{ik_{\varepsilon} z}.
\]  

(8)

Isolating the positive (negative) values of the wavenumber \( k_{\varepsilon} \) will isolate the wave propagating toward positive (negative) \( z \). It is important to stress that this second stage is only possible because \( \tilde{U} \) is a complex-valued signal and not a real-valued one: Its Fourier transform is therefore not the sum of two complex conjugated parts on positive and negative frequencies.

**C. The two-step procedure for two-dimensional waves**

Let us now be precise on how we operate on real experimental data involving two spatial dimensions.

The first step, called demodulation, is obtained by performing sequentially the three following operations:

(i) A Fourier transform in time of the field \( U(x,z,t) \),

(ii) a wide or selective band-pass filtering in Fourier space around the positive fundamental angular frequency \( \omega = 2\pi f \), where we have introduced \( f \), the temporal frequency measured in hertz, and

(iii) the inverse Fourier transform generating the complex signal \( \tilde{U}(x,z,t) \).

On step (ii), which removes exactly half the energy of the signal, we also perform a multiplication by a factor of 2 to preserve the amplitude of the signal and to have \( U = \text{Re}(\tilde{U}) \).

It is crucial to realize that four different traveling waves are mixed in this complex signal,

\[
\tilde{U}(x,z,t) = \tilde{A}(x,z,t) + \tilde{B}(x,z,t) + \tilde{C}(x,z,t) + \tilde{D}(x,z,t),
\]  

(9)

with

\[
\tilde{A}(x,z,t) = A(x,z,t)e^{i(\omega t - k_{\varepsilon} x - k_{\gamma} z)},
\]  

(10)

\[
\tilde{B}(x,z,t) = B(x,z,t)e^{i(\omega t - k_{\varepsilon} x + k_{\gamma} z)},
\]  

(11)

\[
\tilde{C}(x,z,t) = C(x,z,t)e^{i(\omega t + k_{\varepsilon} x - k_{\gamma} z)},
\]  

(12)

\[
\tilde{D}(x,z,t) = D(x,z,t)e^{i(\omega t + k_{\varepsilon} x + k_{\gamma} z)}.
\]  

(13)

Note that in Eqs. (10)–(13), we have considered the wavenumbers \( k_{\varepsilon} \) and \( k_{\gamma} \) to be positive in order to more easily identify the direction of propagation.

Although the four waves oscillate in time at the same frequency \( \omega \), they do not propagate in the same direction because of the different signs in front of the wavenumbers \( k_{\varepsilon} \) and \( k_{\gamma} \), (cf. Fig. 1). Note that amplitudes \( A–D \) might depend on space and time: Dissipation is a good example. However, scales on which they vary must be much larger than scales \( \omega^{-1}, k_{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \) and \( k_{\gamma}^{-1} \), around which the demodulation is performed.

In the second step, we isolate the four waves \( A–D \) from each other using the complex-valued field \( \tilde{U}(x,z,t) \). To do so, we apply another filtering operation in Fourier space but this time in the wavenumber directions \( k_{\varepsilon} \) and \( k_{\gamma} \), associated with spatial directions \( x \) and \( z \). Again, this filtering is only possible on a complex field, i.e., after the HT has been performed. The goal of this additional filtering is only to select positive or negative wavenumbers, but one might also take
In practice, the complex demodulation of the initial spatiotemporal signal $U(x,z,t)$ results in four sets of four fields (local and instantaneous):

(i) The amplitude $|\chi(x,z,t)|$,
(ii) the frequency $\omega(x,z,t)=\partial \phi_x/\partial t$,
(iii) the wavenumber in the $x$ direction, $k_x(x,z,t)=\partial \phi_x/\partial x$, and
(iv) the wavenumber in the $z$ direction, $k_z(x,z,t)=\partial \phi_x/\partial z$.

Note that the wavenumbers and the frequency have to be calculated from the phase field.

Let us finally emphasize that, the Fourier transform being bijective only when applied to infinite or periodical signals, it is important to filter the data first in time in order to benefit from the sharpness of time spectra obtained after long-time data acquisitions; in the second step, the Fourier transform in space is applied, allowing to separate waves $A$, $B$, $C$, or $D$.

The application of the HT to the study of internal waves can provide very interesting results and answer questions that remained unsolved. The main idea is to isolate the differences between internal wave beams propagating up or down and to the left or to the right. However, before considering such situations, we study in Sec. II D how this method might be applied to a simple 2D situation, which has been intensively studied already.

D. The classical oscillating cylinder experiment as a first example

The first example one might consider is the simple experiment of a cylinder oscillating up and down at a given frequency $\omega$. Initiated by the Göttler experiment, this setup was later popularized by Mowbray and Rarity and recently generalized to a three-dimensional situation.

The experiment we will describe was realized in a tank of $120 \times 50 \times 10$ cm$^3$ filled with linearly stratified salt water. Quantitative internal wave visualization was obtained by synthetic schlieren, which measures the horizontal and vertical density gradient perturbations referred to as $\rho_h(x,z,t)$ and $\rho_v(x,z,t)$ in the following. If one considers an oscillating cylinder in a 2D stratified fluid, the four internal wave beams emitted have four wavevectors differing from each other by the sign of their projections onto the gravity,

$$\begin{align*}
A & \Rightarrow \text{up and to the left}, \\
B & \Rightarrow \text{down and to the left}, \\
C & \Rightarrow \text{up and to the right}, \\
D & \Rightarrow \text{down and to the right}.
\end{align*}$$

After an additional filtering along the $z$ coordinate first and then along the $x$ coordinate, four different beams can be isolated as presented in Fig. 3. Although some boundary effects can be detected at locations corresponding to discontinuities in space due to the cylinder, explaining the intense yellow areas along the horizontal and vertical axes, it is important to stress that there is no ambiguity concerning the field treated. Moreover, these side effects might be corrected.
by applying the HT in space only to a selected domain instead of considering the full window of observation also containing the cylinder here.

We will now present two interesting points that have not been addressed in previous literature (for recent results see Refs. 6 and 17) while studying the wavefield emitted by an oscillating cylinder.

Figure 4(a) presents a zoom on the phase of the beams emitted to the right of the cylinder (right side of Fig. 2): It is clear that there is no direct link between the phase evolution of the downward and upward propagating waves. Such an image will be very helpful when we will analyze the spatial structure of the emitted phase for the diffraction phenomenon in Sec. III C.

Figure 4(b) shows the evolution of the transverse spatial spectrum of the downward propagating wave to the right. It has been obtained by extracting the transverse profiles [along $(O\eta)$] at the circles located on the axis of propagation of the wave $(O\xi)$ and shown in Fig. 4(a). This picture reveals not only the decrease in the amplitude due to dissipation (see Sec. III A for a complete analysis) but also the gradual shift toward smaller values of the wavenumbers, i.e., toward larger wavelengths.\(^{18}\)

In summary, the use of the HT allows one to separate rather easily all the waves emitted from the cylinder and to have a very precise definition of the phase of the wavefield, a quantity of importance to describe the wave spectra. We use in Sec. III these properties to address questions still pending.

III. APPLICATIONS

In the remainder of the article, we study internal wave beams emanating from a “pocket size” version of the internal plane wave generator that we have recently developed.\(^{19}\) All experiments were realized in a tank of $80\times42.5\times17$ cm\(^3\) filled with linearly stratified salt water. Horizontally oscillating plates of thickness of 6 mm create a sinusoidal envelope of amplitude $a_0=5$ mm and wavelength $\lambda_s=3.9$ cm, $(k_s = 2\pi/\lambda_s)$. The oscillating frequency $\omega$ defines through the...
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A. Dissipation of internal waves

The first physical situation we consider is the dissipation of internal waves within a laboratory tank. The linear viscous theory developed by Thomas and Stevenson first and by Hurley and Keady afterwards has been tested with good accuracy.\(^2,17,21\) The damping of the averaged spectrum with time has also been studied typically in the case of attractors because a steady state is obtained due to a balance between amplification at reflection and viscous damping.\(^18,23\) In Fig. 4(b), the damping of the spectrum along the axis of propagation can also be analyzed similarly. Nevertheless, these approaches are integral ones over all wavenumbers, and the viscous damping has not been tested on a monochromatic internal wave. Moreover, the HT is an excellent tool to measure the dissipation effects.

The structure expected\(^24\) for a viscous internal plane wave is

$$
\psi(\xi, \eta, t) = \psi_0 e^{-\beta \xi} e^{i(\omega t - k \eta)},
$$

where \(\xi\) is the longitudinal coordinate while \(\eta\) corresponds to the transversal one. The quantity

$$
\beta = \frac{\nu k^3}{2N \cos \theta} = \frac{\nu k^3}{2N \sqrt{1 - \omega^2/N^2}}
$$

corresponds to the inverse dissipation length. Thanks to the analytical representation of the internal waves using the HT, it is easy to get the envelope of a monochromatic internal wave and thus quantify how it decreases through viscous dissipation. Results shown below correspond to three different stratifications.

For each frequency, the envelope of the emitted beam is extracted: A typical result is shown in Fig. 5(a). The logarithm along the \(\xi\) coordinate is then plotted versus the longitudinal coordinate \(\xi\) for different \(\eta\) values, as illustrated in Fig. 5(b). The dissipation rate according to the direction of propagation is then obtained by the averaged linear fit over the different profiles extracted.

Repeating the above procedure for several frequencies, one gets the evolution of the dissipation length \(\beta(\omega, \eta)\). It is, however, important to realize that, the propagation being tilted with respect to the vertical plane of emission, the forcing of the internal plane wave generator does not create a
The model seems particularly accurate for frequencies best fit, attests the good agreement with experimental results. Internal plane wave generator. Figure 6, which presents the values are slightly different from the one imposed by the transverse beam structure. Surprisingly these obtained from the transverse beam structure. Figure 6, which presents the opposite case, \( \theta < \alpha \).

B. Backreflected waves on a slope

We have also used the HT to identify a possible backreflected wave when an incident internal wave beam is reflecting on a slope of angle \( \alpha \) with the horizontal. After reflection, as shown by Fig. 7, two beams inclined with an angle \( \theta \) with respect to the horizontal might be emitted from the slope. One of these beams has been experimentally reported several times, contrary to the second one, which is aligned with the incident beam but propagating in the opposite direction and represented by the dashed arrow in Fig. 7. This additional beam was considered by Baines and Sandstrom when theoretically studying the effect of boundary curvature on the reflection of internal waves. Let us experimentally prove that no backreflection occurs at planar surfaces.

It is clear that if it exists, the amplitude of the backreflected beam has to be much smaller than the incident one, as usual techniques were unable either to identify it or to exclude it. This is the reason why we have performed several experiments of an incident beam impinging onto a slope, away from critical incidence but also close to it (see Table I for values of control parameters). Analysis of one case with \( \theta > \alpha \) is presented in Fig. 8. The backreflected beam in that case should be a \( D \) wave according to classification (9). However, Fig. 8 shows absolutely no evidence of it, and only a \( B \) component is visible. Nevertheless, as the HT along the \( x \) coordinate has not been performed to avoid the introduction of spurious boundary effects, it is still possible to argue

\[
\beta = \frac{\nu k_c^3}{2N \cos^4 \theta}, \tag{17}
\]

which can be rewritten in the more convenient form

\[
N\beta = \frac{\nu k_c^3}{2(1-x^2)^2}, \tag{18}
\]

by introducing \( x = \omega / N \). It is thus generic to plot the attenuation rate \( \beta \) times the Brunt–Väisälä frequency \( N \) as a function of the ratio \( x = \omega / N \) for different values of \( N \), as presented in Fig. 6. Using the value of the viscosity \( \eta = 1.051 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \), only one free parameter remains, the wavevector \( k_c = 2\pi/\lambda_c \).

The above procedure leads to the result \( \lambda_c = 3.55 \text{ cm} \) (+0.2/−0.16 cm), in good agreement with the value obtained from the transverse beam structure. Surprisingly these values are slightly different from the one imposed by the internal plane wave generator. Figure 6, which presents the best fit, attests the good agreement with experimental results. The model seems particularly accurate for frequencies \( \omega \) sufficiently small compared to the cut-off frequency \( N \).

### Table I. Summary of experimental runs with all control parameters: The angle of energy propagation \( \theta \), the angle of the slope \( \alpha \), \( x = \theta - \alpha \), and the Brunt–Väisälä frequency \( N \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \theta ) (deg)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha ) (deg)</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon ) (deg)</td>
<td>−11.5</td>
<td>−7.5</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N ) (rad s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that the $D$ wave might be localized where the $B$ wave could shadow it. However, as this spatial domain remains extremely small, it is therefore very unlikely.

Varying the angle $\theta$ of the waves around $\alpha$, the slope angle, no trace of backreflected intensity is apparent even close to critical conditions $\theta=\alpha$. In order to give a definitive answer, we have also considered the case $\theta<\alpha$ [see Fig. 7(b)]. In that case, the backreflected beam would be the only one to propagate upward, while the classically reflected beam would be a $C$ wave, propagating downward.

Figure 9, corresponding to such a case, attests that there is no wave propagating backward. We can therefore claim that the backreflected beam is definitely not present when internal waves reflect onto a slope. Concave or convex slopes might lead to different results.25

C. Diffraction of internal waves

The diffraction of internal waves is the last issue we will consider in this paper. Although it is not directly interesting for oceanographic applications, it seems natural to ask what the equivalent of the Huygens–Fresnel principle is for optical waves. Indeed, it has been established for centuries that when a plane wave encounters a thin slit, optical waves are re-emitted in all directions. How about internal waves? To the best of our knowledge, there are neither theoretical nor experimental results on this topic.

As the incident wave is impinging onto the slit with a well defined frequency, it is clear that the transmitted waves have to satisfy the dispersion relation (4). However, as schematically shown in Fig. 10(a), two different beams might be expected after the slit. In the case exemplified in this picture, it is clear that most of the energy will be transmitted to the waves propagating upward. Is it possible, however, to detect whether part of the energy is emitted downward? The main goal is therefore to be able to discriminate what is going out of a slit with a width comparable to the wavelength of an incoming internal plane wave.

In the experiments, the stratification is linear with $N =0.45$ rad s$^{-1}$, while the incoming beam has a frequency $\omega =0.196$ rad s$^{-1}$ and a wavelength $\lambda=3.2$ cm. Since the source is the internal plane wave generator, we are reminded that it corresponds to a vertical wavelength of $\lambda_v=3.6$ cm. The slit of varying width $a$ is made of two sliding plastic plates of thickness of 1 cm and is represented by a thick vertical white line in Figs. 10–12 since no signal can be obtained in this region with the synthetic schlieren technique because of the sides of the slits. Below we present results corresponding to widths of the slit of $a=6$, 4, 3, and 2 cm. Note that for $a=1$ cm, no signal was obtained after the slit, which means that its intensity was below the noise level (if there was anything to measure).

Figures 11 and 12 present the results for $a=4$ cm and
since waves can be seen on both sides of the slit. It seems logical since the incoming plane wave creates an oscillating flow close to the slit, inducing a wavefield similar to the one of an oscillating body in a fluid at rest. It is finally important to notice that the spatial structure of the phase of the complete wavefield is different from the one observed in Fig. 4 for an oscillating cylinder. The emission of the upward and downward propagating waves by the slit is consistent since there is no discontinuity in the spatial structure of the phase.

In the second case, $a=2$ cm, presented in Fig. 12 with a slit smaller than the wavelength, the mechanism is different. It seems that the only property similar to the incoming plane wave in the two beams transmitted through the slit is the frequency. Both transmitted beams have comparable intensities. The spatial structure of the phase presents a discontinuity strongly reminiscent of the wavefield emitted by an oscillating body as shown by Fig. 4.

To have a global view of the physics of internal plane wave diffraction, we finally present in Fig. 13 the vertical spatial spectra associated with the transmitted waves (upward and downward) taken at 1.5 cm after the slit for all values of the width $a$, in comparison with the spectrum of the incoming wave. The amplitudes of the Fourier components have been normalized by the maximum amplitude $|A_{\text{incoming}}|$ of the incoming wave spectrum measured 4 cm before the slit. Several comments are in order. A clear shift in the peak toward larger values of the wavenumbers is visible when the width of the slit decreases. The spectra are also clearly enlarged. This is consistent with the previous remark that for a large slit, the transmitted wave beam is very similar to the incident one. The spectra of the downward beam are visible in the negative $k_z$ half-plane. In the large cases, $a=3$ and 4, they are wide and with a small amplitude, attesting that most of the incident energy is transmitted upward, i.e., directly. On the contrary, in the thin slit case, $a=2$, the amplitudes for downward and upward propagating waves are comparable. It is difficult to propose a more quantitative discussion since the dissipation of the spectra is important.

In summary, the analysis of these spectra confirms that when the slit is sufficiently “large,” the emitted beam has a vertical wavenumber similar to the incoming one although the spectrum is slightly wider. On the contrary, when the slit is “small” enough, both beams have similar spectra and amplitudes.
Finally, we can conclude that the change in the type of waves emitted after the slit is due to the possibility of spatial forcing of the phase by the incoming plane wave. The latter involves a typical length, the inverse of the vertical number $k_z$, which in the present experiment is nothing but the wave-number forced by the generator. It appears that a criterion for a change in behavior occurs when the spatial evolution of the phase is small compared to the temporal one, leading to $k_z a \leq \omega T$, i.e., $k_z a \leq 2\pi$. In the present case, it leads to $a = \lambda_z = 3.6$ cm. The main question remaining is to find a precise criterion to discriminate when spatial forcing of the phase occurs or not. This phenomenon of phase forcing might be related to circular oscillations of a cylinder in a stratified fluid, which leads to two preferential emission (two beams instead of four).  

IV. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have applied the complex demodulation, also called HT. This transformation is shown to be very powerful when adapted to internal waves in two dimensions. The experimental investigation of attenuation, reflection, and diffraction of internal plane waves generated using a new type of generator has brought answers to several theoretical assumptions never confirmed.

The attenuation of internal plane waves is in good agreement with the linear viscous theory of internal waves. Furthermore, the results obtained quantify the influence of the wavelength since we consider monochromatic internal plane waves.

Although the reflection of internal waves is a classic phenomenon, some theoretical ideas remained assumptions, and by looking for a hypothetical backreflected wave we can now confirm that the backreflection is not present. Finally, we study the problem of diffraction of internal waves as it has not been investigated to our knowledge yet, and we exhibit the diffraction pattern of an internal wave, which is atypical due to the peculiar dispersion relation of internal waves.
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FIG. 12. (Color online) Small slit case. HT of the horizontal density gradient field $g_{\xi}$ in $\Delta k^2$ (rad s$^{-1}$)$^2$ filtered at $\omega = 0.196$ rad s$^{-1}$ for $a = 2$ cm with $k_z > 0$ (left panel), $k_z < 0$ (center panel), and phase of the complete field with all values of $k_z$ (right panel).

FIG. 13. (Color online) Spectra of the vertical cut of the horizontal density gradient $g_{\xi}$ measured 1.5 cm on the right of the slit. The different curves correspond to different widths $a$ of the slit (see inset for values).