Module 6

Torsion

Learning Objectives

6.1 Formulation of the basic equations of torsion of prismatic bars (St. Venant)

Readings: Sadd 9.3, Timoshenko Chapter 11

We will employ the semi-inverse method, that is, we will make assumptions as to the
deformation of the twisted bar, enforce the governing equations of the theory of elasticity and from them derive simplified equations on a reduced set of variables. Due to the uniqueness of solutions, we can be sure that the assumptions made and the solutions found are correct for the torsion problem.

The assumptions about the deformation resulting from the applied torque $M_3 = T$ are:

- Each $x_3 = \text{constant}$ plane section rotates as a rigid body about the central axis, although it is allowed to warp in the $x_3$ direction
- The rotation angle of each section $\beta$ is a linear function of $x_3$, i.e. $\beta(x_3) = \alpha x_3$, where $\alpha$ is the constant rate of twist or angle of twist per unit length.

![Figure 6.2: Rigid in-plane rotation displacements for the torsion problem](image)

**Concept Question 6.1.1.** Based on these assumptions and the schematic of the figure, derive the displacements corresponding to the rotation of the cross section at $x_3$. The out-of-plane warping displacement is assumed to be independent of $x_3$. Our displacement assumption is thus reflected in the following expressions: function $u_3$ is assumed to be

\begin{align*}
    u_1 &= -\alpha x_3 x_2 \\
    u_2 &= \alpha x_3 x_1 \\
    u_3 &= u_3(x_1, x_2)
\end{align*}

(6.1)

### 6.2 Stress formulation

We start by looking at the implications of our kinematic assumptions in our strain-displacement relations:
Concept Question 6.2.1. Use the strain-displacement relations \( \varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) and the kinematic assumptions in equations (6.1) to derive the general form of the strains in the torsion problem.

Next, we need to consider our constitutive relations (isotropic material assumed).

Concept Question 6.2.2. Use Hooke’s law \( \varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{E}[(1 + \nu)\sigma_{ij} - \nu\sigma_{kk}\delta_{ij}] \) and the specific form of the strains resulting from the assumptions of torsion theory to derive the following relations between the stresses and the assumed displacements:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{11} &= \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{33} = 0 \quad (6.2) \\
\sigma_{23} &= G(\alpha x_1 + u_{3,2}) \quad (6.3) \\
\sigma_{31} &= G(-\alpha x_2 + u_{3,1}) \quad (6.4) \\
\sigma_{12} &= 0 \quad (6.5)
\end{align*}
\]

If we follow the stress formulation, at this point we would apply the strain compatibility relations, but it is more direct to derive a special compatibility relation for the torsion problem. To this end, differentiate equation (6.3) with respect to \( x_1 \)

\[
\sigma_{23,1} = G(\alpha + u_{3,21})
\]

, equation (6.4) with respect to \( x_2 \)

\[
\sigma_{31,2} = G(-\alpha + u_{3,12})
\]

and subtract to obtain:

\[
\sigma_{31,2} - \sigma_{32,1} = -2G\alpha \quad (6.6)
\]

As we have done for plane stress problems, we will seek a scalar function that automatically satisfies the equilibrium equations. Let’s see what the stress equilibrium equations look like for the torsion problem:

Concept Question 6.2.3. Specialize the general equations of stress equilibrium: \( \sigma_{ij,j} = 0 \) (no body forces) to the torsion problem (no need to express them in terms of the strains or displacement assumptions as we will use a stress function)

Now we can choose a stress function that will automatically satisfy equation (6.6):

\[
\sigma_{31} = \phi_{,2}, \quad \sigma_{32} = -\phi_{,1} \quad (6.7)
\]

It can be readily verified that this choice does indeed satisfy the equilibrium equations.

To obtain the final governing equation for the stress function, we need to combine equations (6.7) with the compatibility equation (6.6).
Concept Question 6.2.4. Do it!

This is the final governing equation we will use in the description of torsion based on the stress formulation. The type of equation (Laplacian equal to constant) is known as the Poisson equation.

It requires the provision of adequate boundary conditions. As we know, stress formulations are useful when we can provide traction boundary conditions.

Concept Question 6.2.5. Specialize the general traction boundary conditions $\sigma_{ij} n_j = \bar{t}_i$ to the torsion problem (Hint consider the loading on the (lateral) cylindrical surface of the bar and focus on a specific cross-section).

Replacing the stresses as a function of $\phi$ and observing that the tangent vector on the boundary is $s = s_1, s_2 = -n_2, n_1$, we obtain:

$$\phi_{,2} s_2 + (-\phi_{,1})(-s_1) = 0, \quad \phi_{,1} s_1 + \phi_{,2} s_2 = 0$$

\[ \nabla \phi \cdot s = 0, \text{ or } \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} = 0 \] (6.8)

that is, the gradient of the stress function is orthogonal to the tangent or parallel to the normal at the boundary of the cross section, which in turn implies that $\phi$ is constant on the boundary of the cross section. The value of the constant is really immaterial, as adding a constant to $\phi$ will not affect the stresses. For convenience, we will assume this value to be zero. (We will see that in the case of multiply-connected sections this has to be relaxed).

To summarize, the torsion problem for simply-connected cross sections is reduced to the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{align*}
\phi_{,11} + \phi_{,22} &= -2G\alpha \quad \text{inside the area of the cross section} \quad (6.9) \\
\phi &= 0 \quad \text{on the boundary} \quad (6.10)
\end{align*}$$

It remains to relate the function $\phi$ to the external torque $M_3 = T$ applied and to verify that all other stress resultants are zero at the end of the bar.

At the bar end ($x_3 = 0, L$), the internal stresses need to balance the external forces. Ignoring the details of how the external torque is applied and invoking St. Venant’s principle, we can state, see figure:

$$F_1 = \int_A \sigma_{13} dx_2 dx_1 = \int_{x_1} \int_{x_2} \phi_{,x_2} dx_2 dx_1 = \int_{x_1} [\phi_{,x_2}^{x_{\text{top}}} - \phi_{,x_2}^{x_{\text{bottom}}}] dx_1, \Rightarrow F_1 = 0$$

where $A$ is the area of the cross section. Similarly,

$$F_2 = \int_A \sigma_{23} dx_2 dx_1 = 0$$

For the applied torque $M_3 = T$ we need to make sure that:

$$T = \int_A (\sigma_{23} x_1 - \sigma_{13} x_2) dA = \int_A (-\phi_{,1} x_1 - \phi_{,2} x_2) dA = - \left( \int_A \phi_{,i} x_i dA \right)$$
6.3. SOLUTION APPROACH

Figure 6.3: Force and moment balance at bar ends

Integrating by parts by using \((\phi x_i)_i = \phi_i x_i + \phi x_i,i)\) and \(x_i,i = x_{1,1} + x_{2,2} = 2:\)

\[
T = -\left(\int_A (\phi x_i)_i dA - 2\phi dA\right)
\]

The first term can be converted into a boundary line integral by using the divergence theorem on the plane \(\int_A ()_i dA = \int_{\partial A} ()_i n_i ds\), where \(n_i\) are the components of the normal to the boundary and \(ds\) is the arc length:

\[
T = -\int_{\partial A} \phi x_i n_i ds + \int_A 2\phi dA
\]

The first term vanishes since \(\phi = 0\) at the boundary and we obtain:

\[
T = 2 \int_A \phi dA
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6.11)

6.3 Solution approach

The following box summarizes the overall solution procedure:

1. Compute the stress function by solving Poisson equation and associated boundary condition \([6.9]\)
2. Obtain torque - rate of twist relation \(T = T(\alpha)\) from equation \([6.11]\)
3. Compute stresses \(\sigma_{23}, \sigma_{31}\) from equations \([6.7]\), strains \(\varepsilon_{23}, \varepsilon_{31}\) follow directly from the constitutive law
4. Compute warping function \(u_3(x_1, x_2)\) by integrating equations \([6.4]\) and \([6.3]\)

A powerful method of approaching the solution of the Poisson equation for the torsion problem is based on the observation that \(\phi\) vanishes at the boundary of the cross section. Therefore, if we have an implicit description of the boundary of our cross section \(f(x_1, x_2) = 0,\)
we could use the inverse method where we assume a functional dependence of \( \phi(x_1, x_2) \) of the form \( \phi(x_1, x_2) = Kf(x_1, x_2) \), where \( K \) is a constant to be determined. Although this does not provide a general solution to the Poisson equation, it is useful in several problems of interest. Once again as we have done when using the inverse method, if our assumed functional form satisfies the governing equations and the boundary conditions, uniqueness guarantees that we have found the one and only solution to our problem of interest.

**Concept Question 6.3.1. Torsion of an elliptical bar**

Consider a bar with elliptical cross section as shown in the figure subject to a torque \( T \) at its ends. The boundary is described by the implicit equation

\[
f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1^2}{a^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{b^2} - 1 = 0
\]

![Figure 6.4: Elliptical cross-section.](image)

1. Propose a functional form for the stress function \( \phi \):

2. Use the governing equation \( \nabla^2 \phi = \phi_{,11} + \phi_{,22} = -2G\alpha \) to determine the value of the constant \( K \) and the final expression for \( \phi \).

3. Determine the relationship between the applied torque \( T \) and the rate of twist \( \alpha \) by using the torque-\( \phi \) relation (6.11) \( T = 2 \int_A \phi dA \). Interpret this important relation.

4. Use the relations (6.7): \( \sigma_{31} = \phi_2, \sigma_{32} = -\phi_1 \) to compute the shear stresses \( \sigma_{13} \) and \( \sigma_{23} \) as a function of the torque \( T \).

5. Determine the stress resultant defined by the relation \( \tau = \sqrt{\sigma_{31}^2 + \sigma_{23}^2} \).

6. Determine the maximum stress resultant \( \tau_{max} \) and its location in the cross section. Assume \( a > b \). What happens to the individual stress components at that point?

7. An elliptical bar has dimensions \( L = 1m, a = 2cm, b = 1cm \) and is made of a material with shear modulus \( G = 40GPa \) and yield stress \( \sigma_0 = 100MPa \). Compute the maximum twist angle before the material yields plastically and the value of the torque \( T \) at that point. Assume a yield criterion based on the maximum shear stress (also known as Tresca yield criterion), i.e. the material yields plastically when \( \tau_{max} = \sigma_0 \).

8. Calculate the warping displacement \( u_3 \) as a function of \( T \).
9. Specialize the results for the elliptical cross section to the case of a circle of radius \( r = a = b \)

### 6.4 Membrane analogy

For a number of cross sections it is not easy to find analytical solutions to the torsion problem as presented in the previous section. Prandtl (1903) introduced an analogy that has proven very useful in the analysis of torsion problems. Consider a thin membrane subject to a uniform pressure load \( p_a \) shown in Figure 6.6.

![Schematic of a membrane subject to a uniform pressure](image)

Figure 6.5: Schematic of a membrane subject to a uniform pressure

\( N \) is the membrane force per unit length which is uniform in all the membrane and in all directions. It can be shown that the normal deflection of the membrane \( u_3(x_1, x_2) \) is governed by the equation:

\[
  u_{3,11} + u_{3,22} = -\frac{p}{N} \tag{6.12}
\]

The boundary condition is simply \( u_3(x_1, x_2) = 0 \).

We observe that there is a mathematical parallel or analogy between the membrane and torsion problems:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Torsion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u_3(x_1, x_2) )</td>
<td>( \phi(x_1, x_2) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{p}{N} )</td>
<td>( 2G\alpha )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u_{3,1} )</td>
<td>( \phi_{,1} = -\sigma_{23} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u_{3,2} )</td>
<td>( \phi_{,2} = \sigma_{31} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V = \int_A u_3 dA )</td>
<td>( \frac{T}{2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The analogy gives a good “physical” picture for $\phi$ which is useful as it is easy to visualize deflections of membranes of odd shapes. It has even been used as an experimental technique involving measurements of soap films (see Timoshenko’s book). Looking at contours of $u_3$ is particularly useful.

By observing the table, we can see that:

- the shear stresses are proportional to the slope of the membrane. This can be gleaned from the density of contour lines: the closer, the higher the slope and the higher the stress, the stress resultant is oriented parallel to the contour line.

- if we measure the volume encompassed by the deformed shape of the membrane, we can obtain the torque applied.

In particular, we can draw insights into the overall torsion behavior of general cross sections.

![Examples of membranes subject to uniform pressure and sketch of deflection contour lines](image)

Figure 6.6: Examples of membranes subject to uniform pressure and sketch of deflection contour lines

**Concept Question 6.4.1.** Consider the torsion of a rectangular bar of sides $a,b$. An analytical solution can be obtained by using Fourier series (outside scope of this class). However, the membrane analogy gives us important insights about the stress field. Sketch contours of $\phi$ and make comments about the characteristics of the stress field.
Concept Question 6.4.2. Consider the cases of cross section with corners such as those shown in Figure 6.7. What can we learn from the membrane analogy about the stress distribution due to torsion near the corner in the case of

1. convex corner

2. concave corner

![Figure 6.7: Membrane analogy: corners](image)

---

Concept Question 6.4.3. Torsion of a narrow rectangular cross section: In this question, we will make use of the membrane analogy to estimate the stress distribution in a narrow rectangular cross section as shown in Figure 6.8.

1. Sketch the cross section of the bar and use the membrane analogy to estimate the deformed shape of the membrane $u_3$ and from that the shape of the contour lines of $\phi$. Comment on the dominant spatial dependence of $\phi$ and from there, the expected torsion response.

2. Based on your conclusions, obtain $\phi(x_1)$ by simplifying and then integrating the governing equation.

3. Obtain the torque-rate-of-twist relation $T = \alpha$ using the expression $T = 2 \int_A \phi dA$

4. The torsional stiffness of the bar is defined as $GJ$, where $G$ the shear modulus is the material and $J$ is the geometric contribution to the structural stiffness. Find $J$ for this case and comment on the structural efficiency of this cross section:

5. Find the stresses and further support your conclusions about structural efficiency:

The discussion for a narrow rectangular cross section is also applicable to other narrow (open) shapes, see examples in Figure 6.9.
Figure 6.8: Torsion of a narrow rectangular bar

Figure 6.9: Other narrow open cross sections for which the solution for the rectangular case is useful
Concept Question 6.4.4. Justify this statement and comment on the general torsional structural efficiency of narrow open shapes.

From the membrane analogy, one can observe that the volume of the deformed membrane for general narrow open cross-sections comprising several segments such as in an channel or I-beam, can be approximated by the sum of the individual volumes. The additive character of the integral then tells us that the torque-rate-of-twist relation can be obtained by adding the torsional stiffness of the individual components. Specifically,

\[ T = GJ\alpha = 2 \int_A (\phi^1 + \phi^2 + \ldots) dA = 2 \int_{A_1} \phi^1 dA + 2 \int_{A_2} \phi^2 dA + \ldots = GJ_1\alpha + GJ_2\alpha + \ldots \]

In the case of the channel beam, Figure 6.10, \( J_i = \frac{b_i h_i^3}{3} \). We observe that as we extend the lengths of each component, the torsional stiffness only grows linearly with the total length. We will see that the situation is very different for the case of closed sections.

As for the stresses, they maximum stress will differ in each component of the thin section if the thickness is not uniform, since:

\[ \sigma_{23} = -\phi_{,1} = \frac{2T}{J x_1} \]

where \( J \) is the total geometric contribution to the stiffness \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i h_i^3 \), so that the maximum stress in each section is determined by its thickness:

\[ \sigma_{23}^{(i)} = \frac{T}{J h_i} \]
The approach for thin open sections can be applied as an approximation for very slender monolithic wing cross sections, such as shown in Figure 6.11.

![Figure 6.11: Use of membrane analogy for the torsion of slender monolithic wing cross sections](image)

In this case, we can see that to a first approximation, the hypothesis for narrow sections apply and the same equations hold as long as we compute the torsional stiffness as:

\[ J \sim \frac{1}{3} \int_{y_1}^{y_2} h(x_2)^3 \, dx_2 \]

### 6.5 Torsion of bars with hollow, thick-wall sections

*Readings: Sadd 9.3, 9.6*

Consider cylindrical bars subject to torsion with a cross section as shown in Figure 6.12. Just as we did for the exterior boundary, we will assume that the interior boundary or boundaries are traction free. This implies that the shear stress is parallel to the boundary tangent, see Figure 6.13. We will call this the *shear stress resultant* \( \tau = \sigma_{s3} = \sqrt{\sigma_{31}^2 + \sigma_{23}^2} \). It also implies that \( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial s} = 0 \) (see equation (6.8)) and \( \phi = \text{const} \) at the interior boundaries as well as on the external boundary. However, we cannot assume that the constant will be the same. In fact, each boundary \( \partial \Omega_i \) will be allowed to have a different constant \( \phi_i \). We can still assign one constant arbitrarily which we will keep setting as zero for the external surface, i.e. \( \phi_0 = 0 \) on \( \partial \Omega_0 \).

The values of the constant for each internal boundary is obtained by imposing the con-
6.5. TORSION OF BARS WITH HOLLOW, THICK-WALL SECTIONS

Figure 6.12: Torsion of a hollow thick-wall cross section

Figure 6.13: Shear stress resultant
dition that the warping displacement be continuous (single valued):

\[ 0 = \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} du_3 = \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} (u_{3,1} dx_1 + u_{3,2} dx_2) \]

\[ = \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{31}}{G} + \alpha x_2 \right) dx_1 + \left( \frac{\sigma_{23}}{G} - \alpha x_1 \right) dx_2 \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{G} \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} (\sigma_{31} dx_1 + \sigma_{23} dx_2) + \alpha \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} (x_2 dx_1 - x_1 dx_2) \]

The first integrand \( \sigma_{31} dx_1 + \sigma_{23} dx_2 = \tau ds \), where \( \tau \) is the resultant shear stress and \( ds \) is the arc length. The second integral can be rewritten using Green’s theorem:

\[ \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} (x_2 dx_1 - x_1 dx_2) = -\iint_{\Omega_i} x_{1,1} + x_{2,2} d\Omega = 2A(\Omega_i) \]

Summarizing,

\[ 0 = \frac{1}{G} \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} \tau ds - 2\alpha A(\Omega_i), \quad \oint_{\partial \Omega_i} \tau ds = 2 \frac{G\alpha A(\Omega_i)}{T/J} = 2 \frac{A}{J} T \quad (6.13) \]

The value of the constant \( \phi_i \) on each internal boundary \( \partial \Omega_i \) can be determined by applying this expression to each interior boundary.

Internal holes also lead to a modification of the external torque equilibrium condition at the end of the bar equation (6.11). For the case of \( N \) holes, we obtain:

\[ T = 2 \int_A \phi dA + \sum_{i=1}^N 2\phi_i A(\Omega_i) \quad (6.14) \]

With the exception of a few simple cases, it is generally difficult to obtain analytical solutions to torsion problems with holes. We will look at a few specific cases.

These results are also very important in the context of box-beams, as we shall see later in the class.

**Concept Question 6.5.1.** Consider the case of a **Hollow elliptical section**. It is important that the interior boundary be an ellipse scaled from the outer boundary, that is the ellipse semi-radii are \( ka, kb \), where \( k < 1 \).

1. write the implicit equation of the interior boundary

2. Show that this implies that the interior boundary coincides with a contour line of the stress function we developed for the solid elliptical section and find the value of \( \phi_1 = C \) for which the contour line matches the interior boundary for a given \( k \).

3. Evaluate the value of \( \phi \) on the interior boundary when \( k = 0.5 \) relative to the value of \( \phi \) at the center of the bar in the solid section
4. Comment on the possibility of using the same function $\phi$ in both cases (solid and hollow): does it satisfy the governing equation $\phi_{,ii} = -2G\alpha$ and the boundary conditions? What about the warping displacement compatibility condition (6.13)?

5. Is there anything at all that changes, e.g. stiffness, stresses and rate of twist for a given torque, etc.?

6. Compute the torsional efficiency $\eta = \frac{J}{A}$ of the hollow elliptical cross section relative to the solid section as a function of $k$. How would you optimize the cross section to maximize stiffness relative to weight?

6.6 Torsion of bars with thin hollow cross-sections

Consider the limit case of a very thin hollow (closed) section, Figure 6.15.

Figure 6.14: Torsion of a bar with a hollow elliptical cross section

Figure 6.15: Thin hollow cross section
Since the inner and outer boundaries are nearly parallel, the resultant shear stress will be nearly parallel to the median line throughout.

Also, the gradient of $\phi$ across the thickness and therefore the resultant stress will be almost constant and equal to $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \sim \frac{\phi_1 - \phi_0}{t(s)}$, where $t(s)$ is the thickness.

This is in stark contrast to the thin open sections where $\phi$ was zero on both boundaries across the thickness (it is in fact the same boundary) and $\phi$ adopted a parabolic profile inbetween which resulted in a linear shear stress distribution which changed signs across the thickness.

We can also make the following approximation in the computation of the warping displacement compatibility condition (6.13):

$$\oint_{\partial \Omega} \tau ds \sim 2G\alpha A$$

where $A \sim A_{outer} \sim A_{inner}$.

The resisting torque provided by this cross section can then be computed as, Figure 6.16

![Figure 6.16: Computation of the torque for a closed thin section](image)

$$dT = h(s)\tau(s)t(s)ds, \quad T = \oint_{\partial \Omega} dT = \oint_{\partial \Omega} \tau(s)t(s)h(s)ds$$

where $h(s)$ is the moment arm.

We can show that the product $\tau(s)t(s)$ is a constant along the boundary for any $s$. Based on Figure 6.6 and imposing equilibrium:

$$\sum F_3 = 0: \quad -\tau_At_A dx_3 + \tau_Bt_B dx_3 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_At_A = \tau_Bt_B, \quad q = \tau(s)t(s) = constant$$

where we have defined $q$ as the shear flow.
Then,

\[ T = \tau t \oint_{\partial \Omega} h(s) \, ds \]

but \( hds = 2d\Omega \), then:

\[ T = 2\tau t A(\Omega) \]

\[ \tau = \frac{T}{2At} \]

which is known as Bredt’s formula. To find the torque-rate-of-twist relation, we replace in

\[ \oint_{\partial \Omega} \frac{T}{2At} \, ds = 2G\alpha A \]

and we obtain:

\[ T = G \frac{4A^2}{\oint_{\partial \Omega} ds} \alpha \]

From where we find that the stiffness is given by:

\[ J = \frac{4A^2}{\oint_{\partial \Omega} ds} \]

**Concept Question 6.6.1.** Compare the result of this approximation with the exact theory for a hollow circular bar of radius \( R \) and thickness \( t \).