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Where are we today?
Where are we today?

- HER customers have implement their grant business using different approaches
  - CO
  - PS
  - FM
  - custom solutions
- HERUG requested improved functionality 2 years ago
- Grant Year development was meant to be the first phase of the solution
Further requirements

- From HER institutions
  - Duke University
  - MIT
  - University of Nebraska

- From others
  - World Bank
  - State of Florida
  - State of Delaware
  - City of Phoenix
Pilots & Interested group

- Pilots
  - Duke University
  - City of Phoenix
  - Non-Profit organization

- Interested customers
  - Baylor College of Medicine
  - Kellogg Foundation
  - National Research Council
  - Queens Borough Public Library
  - University of Mississippi
  - University of Nebraska
  - University of Tennessee
  - ...

Where do we want to get to?
Grantee Processes

Proposition / Application

Award / Contract

Accounting / Reimbursement

Sponsor

Recipienent

(R/3 Customer)
We expect to flexibly link sponsored program master data objects to existing R/3 master data objects in order to accommodate both the internal grantee budgeting/accounting/reporting/billing requirements and the external sponsor budgeting/accounting/reporting/billing requirements.
In order to facilitate tracking and accounting for sponsored programs within R/3, we expect the sponsored program (external) view of the data to be de-coupled from, but integrated with, the organization’s view (internal). Separate ledgers may be used in order to record sponsor-related documents simultaneously as existing R/3 documents are posted.
Although the first phase of development for the Sponsored Programs Management system will only support grantee functionality, we expect the overall design to contemplate the sponsor point-of-view as well in order to develop and integrate sponsor functionality at a later date.
In order to cover the entire sponsored programs market and to provide for the possibility of mySAP.com marketplaces for grants, we would expect to de-couple and support inter-related sponsor vs. grantee requirements.
Potential Grants Marketplaces
Universities and Foundations

- Research funding availability
- Application submission
- Funding notifications
- Funding amendments

Potential Higher Education Marketplaces

- Reimbursement requests
- Research collaborations
- Reporting
How do we get there?
Planned Project Timeline

Initial Spec. & Kickoff  Questionnaire  Process Models  Final Specification

Actual

Visit 1 - Overview  Visit 2 - Detail  Visits 3 & 4 - Detail  High-Level Design

Specification

Design

Development & Testing

Planned

Prioritization & Design Review  Prototype  FCS

1999  2000  2001  2002
Challenges we face

- Governmental customers also look for a solution
- Most current implementations cause massive numbers of master data
- Either sponsor or internal view dominates
- No options for grantor processes

Conclusion:

→ A solution for a more complex process / new master data is needed
Evaluation of Grant Year solution

- Places sponsor view in funds management vs. Internal view that would result from Grants Management
- Creates issue with all year dependent functionality
  - master data
  - customizing

Conclusion

- We are discussing options for pilot customers
- It will not be generally released
Thank you