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1. Describe the data

- The security-level data are an important resource, but they may never wind up in the public domain
  - Provide sufficient summary statistics not results only specific to the maintained hypothesis

- Tell us what’s missing
  - Both from the perspective of the U.S. investor and the emerging market
2. Are there one or two observations per security?

- The choice of 1997 to sample was an accident of fate
  - That may distort changes

- Emphasize the panel dimension
3. Home bias is about holding, not investing

- The IFC investable index may be more about investing, as it screens on
  - Market size
  - Liquidity

- Holdings may be more general,
  - FDI
  - Local mutual funds
  - Domestic firms with EME exposure
4. May want to control using “political science” variables as well (Reinhart and Rogoff, a)

- Rule of law
- Frequency of conflict
- Debt levels
- Average level of inflation as a measure of distortion
5. Is it just the current level of controls that matter?

- Capital market reforms are the prototypical case of time inconsistency

- Argentina reformed but now discriminated
  - What happens going forward?

- This raises the issue of the endogeneity of controls
6. Could some market prices be used as instruments as well?

- Dual exchange rate premia (Reinhart and Rogoff, b)?

- Debt spreads?