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**PageRank**

**Definition**

Given a graph \((P, L(P))\) of pages \(P\) and links between pages \(L(P)\), the **PageRank** \(PR(p_i)\) of a page \(p_i\) is the probability that a person who randomly follows links will stop at page \(p_i\).
Formally, the PageRank $PR(p_i)$ of page $p_i$ is defined by

$$PR(p_i) = \frac{1 - d}{|P|} + d \sum_{q \in N(p_i)} \frac{PR(q)}{|L(q)|}$$

- $N(p_i)$ is the set of pages that link to $p_i$,
- $L(q)$ is the set of outgoing links from page $q$, and
- $d$ is the probability of following any link on a page.
PageRank

Problem

Given a graph \((P, L(P))\) of pages \(P\) connected by links \(L(P)\), compute the PageRank of each page in \(P\).

Main Idea: Compute PageRanks iteratively until convergence.

- Initially, all PageRanks are \(\frac{1}{|P|}\).
- Update using \(PR(p_i) = \frac{1-d}{|P|} + d \sum_{q \in N(p_i)} \frac{PR(q)}{|L(q)|}\).
- Example uses \(d = 0.85\).
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bool done = false;
while (!done) { // Iterate until convergence
    done = true;
    for (int p = 0; p < N; ++p) { // Scan pages
        // Accumulate weighted PageRanks of neighbors
        double sum = 0;
        for (int l = inEdgeList[p]; l < inEdgeList[p+1]; ++l) {
            int q = inEdges[l];
            sum += pageRank[q] / (outEdgeList[q+1] - outEdgeList[q]);
        }
        // Compute the new PageRank for p
        double newPageRank = (1-d) / N + d * sum;
        if (abs(newPageRank - pageRank[p]) > tolerance) {
            pageRank[p] = newPageRank;
            done = false;
        }
    }
}
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Problem
Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, perform iterative updates on the vertices and edges of the graph in parallel while avoiding races.

Solution
Color the conflict graph, then process vertices of the same color in parallel.
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Why does coloring work?

An **independent set** is a set of vertices such that no two vertices in the set are adjacent.

- The vertices in an independent set of the conflict graph are not adjacent.
- Processing these vertices therefore does not cause a race.
- Coloring the conflict graph ensures that no two connected nodes share the same color.
- By coloring the conflict graph, each set of nodes of the same color is an independent set.
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int numColors = colorGraph(); // Color the graph
bool done = false;
while (!done) { // Iterate until convergence
    cilk::reducer< cilk::opand<bool> > done_r();

    // Process colors serially
    for (int c = 0; c < numColors; ++c) {
        // Process pages of same color in parallel
        cilk_for (int i = 0; i < numColoredPages[c]; ++i) {
            int p = coloredPages[c][i];
            int newPageRank = computePageRank(p);
            if (abs(newPageRank - pageRank[p]) > tolerance) {
                pageRank[p] = newPageRank;
                *done_r &= false;
            }
        }
    }
    done_r.move_out(done);
}
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    done_r.move_out(done);
}

Question: Why don’t we need to recolor the graph each iteration?
int numColors = colorGraph();  // Color the graph
bool done = false;
while (!done) {  // Iterate until convergence
  cilk::reducer< cilk::opand<bool> > done_r();

  // Process colors serially
  for (int c = 0; c < numColors; ++c) {
    // Process pages of same color in parallel
    cilk_for (int i = 0; i < numColoredPages[c]; ++i) {
      int p = coloredPages[c][i];
      int newPageRank = computePageRank(p);
      if (abs(newPageRank - pageRank[p]) > tolerance) {
        pageRank[p] = newPageRank;
        *done_r &= false;
      }
    }
  }

  done_r.move_out(done);
}

Question: Why don’t we need to recolor the graph each iteration?
Answer: The graph is static, so the same coloring always works.
What’s the theoretical performance of this parallel PageRank?

To update all PageRanks in a graph \((P, L(P))\) in a single iteration:

**Work:**

\[ W = \Theta(P + L(P)) \]

**Span:**

\[ S = \langle \text{number of colors} \rangle \cdot \langle \text{span to process one color} \rangle \]

We can process all pages \(P_a\) of color \(a\) in span \(O(\lg P_a)\).
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```c
char usedColors[maxDegree];
memset(usedColors, 0, maxDegree);
for (int i = 0; i < N; ++i) {  // Scan vertices
    int degree = nodes[i+1] - nodes[i];
    // Tally colors of neighbors
    for (int j = nodes[i]; j < nodes[i+1]; ++j) {
        if (colors[edges[j]] < degree)
            usedColors[colors[edges[j]]] = 1;
    }
    int color;
    for (color = 0; color < degree; ++color) {
        if (usedColors[color] == 0)
            break;
    }
    colors[i] = color;
    memset(usedColors, 0, degree);
}
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Serial coloring algorithm

```c
char usedColors[maxDegree];
memset(usedColors, 0, maxDegree);
for (int i = 0; i < N; ++i) { // Scan vertices
    int degree = nodes[i+1] - nodes[i];
    /* Tally colors of neighbors */
    for (int j = nodes[i]; j < nodes[i+1]; ++j) {
        if (colors[edges[j]] < degree)
            usedColors[colors[edges[j]]] = 1;
    }
    int color;
    for (color = 0; color < degree; ++color) {
        if (usedColors[color] == 0)
            break;
    }
    colors[i] = color;
    memset(usedColors, 0, degree);
}
```

**Work:**

\[ W = \Theta(V + E) \]

Work-efficient parallel coloring algorithms are possible.
Theoretical performance:

To update all PageRanks in a graph \((P, L(P))\) in a single iteration:

- **Work:** \(W = \Theta(P + L(P))\)
- **Span:**
  \[S = \langle\text{number of colors}\rangle \cdot \langle\text{span to process one color}\rangle = O(\Delta \lg P/\Delta)\]
Advantages of chromatic scheduling

Chromatic scheduling offers many nice properties.

- For a static graph, the same coloring always works. Computing a chromatic schedule can be done as *precomputation*.
  - Coloring is *relatively cheap* when the work and span of the main computation exceeds the work of the work-efficient serial coloring algorithm.
  - Work-efficient parallel coloring algorithms are also possible.

- Processing the colors in the same order every time processes the graph *deterministically*.
- Chromatic scheduling handles many problems that can be viewed as performing local updates to vertices and edges in a graph, including Loopy belief propagation, Gibbs sampling, fluid dynamics simulation, and many machine-learning algorithms.
Question: Can we improve our parallel PageRank code?

Iteration 1

Color 0

Iteration 2
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Color 2

...
**Question:** Can we improve our parallel PageRank code?

**Consider:** Which PageRanks change significantly (by more than the threshold) after the first iteration?

*After Iteration 1:*

```
0.05 0.07
0.06 0.12 0.14
0.28 0.26
```
**Question:** Can we improve our parallel PageRank code?

**Consider:** Which PageRanks change significantly (by more than the threshold) after the first iteration?

*Iteration 2 significant updates:*

![Diagram of PageRank updates](image-url)
**Question:** Can we improve our parallel PageRank code?

**Consider:** Which PageRanks change significantly (by more than the threshold) after the first iteration?

*Iteration 3 significant updates:*

![Graph with updated PageRanks](image)
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**Consider**: Which PageRanks change significantly (by more than the threshold) after the first iteration?

*Iteration 4 significant updates:*
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**Idea**: If a page’s PageRank converges, don’t reprocess it immediately.

- Avoid unnecessary work when computing PageRanks.
**Question:** Can we improve our parallel PageRank code?

**Consider:** Which PageRanks change significantly (by more than the threshold) after the first iteration?

*Iteration 4 significant updates:*

0.03 0.09 0.09 0.29 0.03 0.03 0.29

**Idea:** If a page’s PageRank converges, don’t reprocess it immediately.

- Avoid unnecessary work when computing PageRanks.
- Only process a page if the PageRank of a neighboring page changes.
**Idea:** Only process a page if the PageRank of a neighboring page changes.

**Problem:** How do we efficiently track which pages need to be processed on the next iteration?
**Idea**: Only process a page if the PageRank of a neighboring page changes.

**Problem**: How do we efficiently track which pages need to be processed on the next iteration?

**Solution**: Use a *bag*. 
A **bag** is a multi-set data structure that supports the following special operations:

- **Bag_Create()**: Create a new, empty bag.
- **Bag_Insert()**: Add an element to a bag.
- **Bag_Split()**: Divide a bag into two approximately-equal-size bags.
- **Bag_Union()**: Combine the contents of two bags into a single bag.

**Idea**: Use bags to store vertices to process in each iteration.
Using a bag

**Idea:** Use bags to store vertices to process in each iteration.

Bag\_Split() allows for efficient parallel traversal of the elements of the bag.

```c
void processBag(Bag<int> *b) {
    if (b->size < threshold) {
        // Process bag’s contents serially
    } else {
        // Destructively split the bag
        Bag<int> *b2 = b->Bag_Split();
        cilk_spawn processBag(b);
        processBag(b2);
        cilk_sync;
    }
}
```
**Idea**: Use bags to store vertices to process in each iteration.

A bag supports parallel insertions when used as a reducer.

```c
void processBag(Bag<int> *in,
                Bag_reducer<int> *out) {
    if (b->size < threshold) {
        // Process bag’s contents serially
        out->Bag_Insert(/* . . . */);
    } else {
        // Destructively split the bag
        Bag<int> *in2 = in->Bag_Split();
        cilk_spawn processBag(in, out);
        processBag(in2, out);
        cilk_sync;
    }
}
```

- The bag reducer corresponds to the set monoid $(S, \cup, \emptyset)$, where $S$ is the set of sets.
- `Bag_Union()` implements the reduce operation for the bag reducer.
**Idea:** Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.
Idea: Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.
**Idea:** Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.
Idea: Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.
**Idea:** Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.
**Idea:** Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.

![Diagram of bags](image-url)

- **Output bags:** bags[0][0], bags[0][1], bags[0][2]
- **Input bags:** bags[1][0], bags[1][1], bags[1][2]

- **Process & empty**
- **Populate**
**Idea:** Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.
**Idea:** Use an array of bags such that there are two bags — one “input” and one “output” — for each color.
Using bags with coloring

**Problem:** There is a “race” on inserting a vertex into a bag.

- Both vertices 1 and 2 may attempt to add vertex 0 to their own local view of an output bag.
- This is not technically a determinacy race, but it can cause problems.
Problem: There is a “race” on inserting a vertex into a bag.

- Both vertices 1 and 2 may attempt to add vertex 0 to their own local view of an output bag.
- This is not technically a determinacy race, but it can cause problems.

One possible solution: Use a lock or atomic operation to avoid duplicating elements in the bag or processing both duplicates.

- This is nondeterministic code, but
- The input graph is still updated deterministically in a manner consistent with a serial execution.
Question: How does the bag work?
A bag is made up of **pennants** — complete binary trees with extra root nodes — which store the elements.

- Pennants may be split and combined in $\Theta(1)$ time by changing pointers.
- A pennant is only ever combined with another pennant of the same size.
A bag is an array of pointers to pennants.

- The $i$th entry in the array is either NULL or points to a pennant of size $2^i$.
- Intuitively, a bag acts much like a binary counter.
The bag data structure — Bag_Insert()

Inserting an element works similarly to incrementing a binary number.

Bag_Insert() runs in $O(1)$ amortized time and $O(\lg n)$ worst-case time.
The bag data structure — Bag_Insert()
Splitting a bag works similarly to an arithmetic right shift.

Bag_Split() runs in $O(\lg n)$ time.
Unioning two bags is works similarly to adding two binary numbers.

Bag_Union() works in $O(\lg n)$ time.
**Notice:** When used as a reducer, the order of elements in a bag is nondeterministic.

- Bags are “logically” deterministic in that the presence of an element in a bag is deterministic.
- Bags encapsulate this nondeterminism and provide the abstraction of an unordered multi-set.
Bags can be made more efficient in practice by storing an array at each node.

Each node in a pennant stores a fixed-size array of data, which is guaranteed to be full.

The bag stores an extra fixed-size array of data, called the hopper, which may not be full.

Inserts first attempt to insert into the hopper. Once the hopper is full, a new, empty hopper is created while the old hopper is inserted into the bag using the original algorithm.

With this optimization, the common case for Bag_Insert() is identical to pushing an element onto a FIFO queue.
Actual performance of PageRank on a “power law” graph of 1M vertices and 10M edges (both perform $1.25 \times 10^7$ updates):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>$T_1$ (s)</th>
<th>$T_{12}$ (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatic</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Breakdown of parallel PageRank performance (11 colors used):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_1$ (s)</th>
<th>$T_{12}$ (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coloring</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iterations</td>
<td>30.60</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To goal of fluidanimate is to solve the problem:

**Problem**

Simulate the flow of a fluid over time.

To simulate the flow of a fluid, fluidanimate uses *smoothed-particle hydrodynamics*, which

- divides the fluid into discrete units, called *particles*, and
- approximates any physical property of the system by summing over the pairwise interactions of nearby particles.
Using smoothed-particle hydrodynamics, `fluidanimate` simulates a fluid flow as follows.

### Pseudocode

1. For each particle, approximate the physical properties — forces, density, vorticity, velocity, etc. — on that particle.
2. Use these velocities to move each particle over a small time step.
3. Repeat.

Approximately 90% of the total execution time of `fluidanimate` is spent executing inside Step 1. Let’s parallelize this step!
Simplified problem statement

Given a set of particles in space that interact pairwise with nearby particles only, compute all of their pairwise interactions \( f(a, b) \).

For any two particles \( a \) and \( b \), their interaction \( f(a, b) \) has the following properties.

- \( f(a, b) \) is nonnegligible only if \( a \) and \( b \) are physically close.
- \( f(a, b) \) is symmetric: \( f(a, b) = -f(b, a) \).
- \( f(a, b) \) takes \( \Theta(1) \) time to compute in theory.
- \( f(a, b) \) is expensive to compute in practice.
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Given a set of particles in space that interact pairwise with nearby particles only, compute all of their pairwise interactions $f(a, b)$.

For any two particles $a$ and $b$, their interaction $f(a, b)$ has the following properties.
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**Problem:** How do we track which particles are physically close?

**Solution:** Partition space with a static $\Theta(n) \times \Theta(n)$ grid.

- Expected $\Theta(1)$ particles per grid cell.
- Only consider interactions between particles in same cell and adjacent cells.
- Intuitively, writing to a cell involves reading all cells in the $3 \times 3$ square enclosing that cell.
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**Problem:** How do we track which particles are physically close?

**Solution:** Partition space with a static $\Theta(n) \times \Theta(n)$ grid.

- Expected $\Theta(1)$ particles per grid cell.
- Only consider interactions between particles in same cell and adjacent cells.
- Intuitively, writing to a cell involves reading all cells in the $3 \times 3$ square enclosing that cell.
Key optimization: For each pair of particles $a$ and $b$, compute $f(a, b)$ once, then write $f(a, b)$ to $a$ and $-f(a, b)$ to $b$.

This optimization intuitively cuts the work of computing all interactions $f(a, b)$ in half.

Performance Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimization</th>
<th>$T_1$ (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Key optimization:** For each pair of particles $a$ and $b$, compute $f(a, b)$ once, then write $f(a, b)$ to $a$ and $-f(a, b)$ to $b$.

This optimization intuitively cuts the work of computing all interactions $f(a, b)$ in half.

### Performance Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimization</th>
<th>$T_1$ (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Issue**: Updating a cell involves writing to that cell and all neighboring cells.

- Intuitively, all cells in the $3 \times 3$ square enclosing a target cell are written.
- Updating a cell updates a *tile* of the grid.
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- Updating a cell updates a *tile* of the grid.
Issue: Updating a cell involves writing to that cell and all neighboring cells.

Intuitively, all cells in the $3 \times 3$ square enclosing a target cell are written.

Updating a cell updates a tile of the grid.
Question

How do we compute these interactions in parallel?
How do we compute these interactions in parallel?

Let’s try coloring!
**Idea:** Consider the conflict graph.

A grid cell conflicts with its neighbors and any cell that writes to one of its neighbors.

- Cell \((i, j)\) may write to any cell \((i', j')\) where \(|i - i'| \leq 1\) and \(|j - j'| \leq 1\).
- Therefore cell \((i, j)\) conflicts with all cells \((i', j')\) where \(|i - i'| \leq 2\) and \(|j - j'| \leq 2\).
- Conflict graph has degree 24, and may be colored with 25 colors.

Can we do better?
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Can we do better?
**Graph coloring for fluidanimate**
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**Question**: How many colors do we need for fluidanimate?

Consider first coloring a tile, then tiling the graph.

- **Tiling the graph ensures that no tiles conflict.**
- **Because tiles use a consistent coloring, processing any color processes a tiling of the grid, which induces no conflicts.**
- **We can color the grid with 9 colors!**
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**Question:** How many colors do we need for fluidanimate?

Consider first coloring a tile, then tiling the graph.

- Tiling the graph ensures that no tiles conflict.
- Because tiles use a consistent coloring, processing any color processes a tiling of the grid, which induces no conflicts.
- We can color the grid with **9** colors!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Better graph coloring for fluidanimate

Question: How many colors do we need for fluidanimate?

Consider first coloring a tile, then tiling the graph.

- Tiling the graph ensures that no tiles conflict.
- Because tiles use a consistent coloring, processing any color processes a tiling of the grid, which induces no conflicts.
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Can we do better?
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Theoretical Performance for 2-D fluidanimate
Work: \( W(n) = \Theta(n^2) \)
Span: \( S(n) = \langle \text{number of colors} \rangle \cdot (O(lg \ n) + \Theta(1)) = O(lg \ n) \)

Actual performance for 3-D fluidanimate on 300,000 particles in 135,000 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>( T_1 ) (s)</th>
<th>( T_8 ) (s)</th>
<th>Parallelism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cilk, 14-coloring</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pthreads</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilk, “stencil”</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>23791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chromatic scheduling allows for parallel updates on graphs that produce deterministic results that are consistent with a serial execution.

- Computing a chromatic schedule can be relatively cheap.
- Chromatic schedules can be very efficient.
- Chromatic scheduling can coordinate updating all nodes in the graph in parallel.
- Using bags, chromatic scheduling can support updating nodes in a graph sparsely.
Questions?