

## Homework 3: Problem 4.5

March 3, 2008

We're given the following data for polarizability:

Species	$\alpha 10^{25} \text{ cm}^3$
CH <sub>4</sub>	26.0
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	44.7
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	62.9
CH <sub>3</sub> Cl	45.6
CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	64.8
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	82.3
CCl <sub>4</sub>	105.0

As suggested by the paragraph on page 174, assume that the polarizability is linearly proportional to the number of each atom type:

$$\alpha = \alpha_C n_C + \alpha_H n_H + \alpha_{Cl} n_{Cl} \quad [ + 1 \text{ point } ]$$

The easiest way to determine the unknown coefficients  $\alpha_i$  is by minimizing the sum of squares in MATLAB. To do that, set up a matrix of number of atoms:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 0 \\ 3 & 8 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Set up a similar column vector with the known polarizability.  $X \backslash \alpha$  will give you the three coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} n_C &= 11.275 \\ n_H &= 3.650 \\ n_{Cl} &= 23.095 \text{ [ + 3 points ]} \end{aligned}$$

This result is consistent with the notion that larger atoms will be more polarizable. The result of this least sum of squares fit is:

Species	$\alpha$	$\alpha_{fit}$
CH <sub>4</sub>	26.0	25.9
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	44.7	44.5
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	62.9	63.0
CH <sub>3</sub> Cl	45.6	45.3
CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	64.8	64.8
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	82.3	84.2
CCl <sub>4</sub>	105.0	103.4

Not a bad fit. Using these values, the predicted polarizabilities are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{C}_4\text{H}_{10} &= 81.6E - 25 \text{ [cm}^3\text{] [ + 3 points ]} \\ \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{Cl} &= 63.9E - 25 \text{ [cm}^3\text{] [ + 3 points ]} \end{aligned}$$

Make sure you include the  $E - 25$  to get full credit.