

Eraser Review Notes

Key Idea:

Eraser does not necessarily detect races. Eraser detects dangerous locking practices (often leading to races)

Simple Data Race:

$v = 0$

def f():

$v = v + 1$

when f is run by multiple threads, v can end up with an unexpected value (such as 1)

when analyzing concurrency, useful to split program into reads and writes

ex:

def f():

$x \leftarrow v$ (read v)

$v \leftarrow x + 1$ (write v)

Now the race is obvious

T₁:

read v

write v = 1

T₂:

read v

write v = 1

Eraser will warn us of this problem because variable v is not protected by any locks!

Correct Locking:

```
def f():  
    lock(l1)  
    v = v + 1  
    unlock(l1)
```

Question:

Eraser slows down a program, which can prevent races from happening. Why is this okay?

Answer:

As long as two threads access a shared variable (even at completely different times) without a lock, Eraser will detect it.

ex.

T₁:

$v = v + 1$
⋮ (time)

T₂:

⋮
 $v = v + 1$

Eraser will still notice that v is accessed without a lock, even though no race actually happens

Eraser False Positives:

read-only variables:

T₁:
x = v

T₂:
~~x = v~~
y = v

Eraser solves this
by waiting until
a variable is
read-write shared
before issuing warnings

Initialization:

T₁:
x = new object()
x.y = "foo"
init(x_lock)

T₂:
.
.
.
.

// all accesses to
// x now happen
// with lock held

Eraser solves this by waiting until
another thread accesses the variable
before checking its locks.

Private Lock Implementations:

Eraser adds its routines by checking a program for calls to the pthread library (a common C-library)

If a program uses other locking schemes, Eraser won't detect this.

Benign Races:

```
ex:      if (x == null) {  
            lock(L)  
            if (x == null)  
                x = new object();  
            unlock(L)  
        }
```

This code is correct.

Why would a programmer do this?

performance: Locking is expensive

Eraser False Negatives:

```
if (today == Xmas)
    v = v + 1
else {
    Lock(l)
    v = v + 1
    unlock(l)
}
```

Eraser will only detect bad locking practice on Xmas!

Code needs to execute for Eraser to detect problems.

2010 Quiz 1 Problem 5:

- A. Each account is a shared variable and is protected by its own lock when accessed. Eraser will not issue a warning.
- B. Now Eraser will issue a warning b/c TotalBalance is reading an account variable without a lock.

C. True. Transfer maintains the same total amount between accounts when run to completion.

D. False

ex:

~~T_1 (Change(a, 0) Total Balance)~~

T_1 (running Total Balance):

T_2 :

total = total + change(a, 0)

Transfer(a, b, 100)

total = total + change(b, 0)

total will end up with a balance too large.

E. False ex:

T_1 :

change(a, -100)

T_2 :

Total Balance()

change(b, 100)

This problem is a good example of another Eraser false negative.

Eraser issued no warnings, but we still had a bug!
