# 6.1800 Spring 2024 Lecture #15: Reliability building reliable systems from unreliable components



## you have an exam on Thursday



## you have an exam on Thursday

### there are a lot of things you can use to study, all on the website

- lecture outlines, slides
- recitation notes
- practice exams





## you have an exam on Thursday

### there are a lot of things you can use to study, all on the website

- lecture outlines, slides
- recitation notes
- practice exams

the exam is open book but not open Internet. you will turn your need ahead of time.

# network devices off during the exam. download everything you might



# 'Shortcuts Everywhere': How **Boeing Favored Speed Over Quality**

Problems have plagued the manufacturer even after two fatal crashes, and many current and former employees blame its focus on making planes more quickly.

https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/28/business/boeing-quality-problems-speed.html

# 'Shortcuts Everywhere': How Boeing Favored Speed Over Quality

Problems have plagued the manufacturer even after two fatal crashes, and many current and former employees blame its focus on making planes more quickly.

https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/28/business/boeing-quality-problems-speed.html

Some of the crucial layers of redundancies that are supposed to ensure that Boeing's planes are safe appear to be strained, the people said. The experience level of Boeing's work force has dropped since the start of the pandemic. The inspection process intended to provide a vital check on work done by its mechanics has been weakened over the years. And some suppliers have struggled to adhere to quality standards while producing parts at the pace Boeing wanted them.





we will spend the next few weeks improving reliability by adding redundancy to systems, but reliability is also a human problem

# 'Shortcuts Everywhere': How **Boeing Favored Speed Over Quality**

Problems have plagued the manufacturer even after two fatal crashes, and many current and former employees blame its focus on making planes more quickly.

https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/28/business/boeing-quality-problems-speed.html

Some of the crucial layers of redundancies that are supposed to ensure that Boeing's planes are safe appear to be strained, the people said. The experience level of Boeing's work force has dropped since the start of the pandemic. The inspection process intended to provide a vital check on work done by its mechanics has been weakened over the years. And some suppliers have struggled to adhere to quality standards while producing parts at the pace Boeing wanted them.





we will spend the next few weeks improving reliability by adding redundancy to systems, but reliability is also a human problem

# 'Shortcuts Everywhere': How **Boeing Favored Speed Over Quality**

Problems have plagued the manufacturer even after two fatal crashes, and many current and former employees blame its focus on making planes more quickly.

https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/28/business/boeing-quality-problems-speed.html

Some of the crucial layers of redundancies that are supposed to ensure that Boeing's planes are safe appear to be strained, the people said. The experience level of Boeing's work force has dropped since the start of the pandemic. The inspection process intended to provide a vital check on work done by its mechanics has been weakened over the years. And some suppliers have struggled to adhere to quality standards while producing parts at the pace Boeing wanted them.



we will also see that reliability often comes at the cost of performance



scalability: how does our system behave as we increase the number of machines, users, requests, data, etc.?



security: how does our system cope in the face of targeted attacks ()?

#### who is impacted by our design and implementation choices? who makes those choices?

fault-tolerance/reliability: how does our system deal with failures ()? machines crashing, network links breaking, etc.

**performance:** how do we define our performance requirements, and know if our system is meeting them? what do we do if performance is subpar  $(\mathbf{k})$ ?





identify all possible faults;
 decide which ones we're
 going to handle



- identify all possible faults;
   decide which ones we're
   going to handle
- 2. detect/contain faults



- identify all possible faults;
   decide which ones we're
   going to handle
- 2. detect/contain faults
- 3. handle faults ("recover")



- identify all possible faults;
   decide which ones we're
   going to handle
- 2. detect/contain faults
- 3. handle faults ("recover")

#### how to measure success



- **1. identify all possible faults;** decide which ones we're going to handle
- 2. detect/contain faults
- 3. handle faults ("recover")

#### how to measure success

there are many things we could measure, but we will typically focus on availability: what fraction of time is the system up and available to use



- 1. identify all possible faults; decide which ones we're going to handle
- 2. detect/contain faults
- 3. handle faults ("recover")

#### how to measure success

there are many things we could measure, but we will typically focus on availability: what fraction of time is the system up and available to use

### today we'll focus on handling disk failure



- **1. identify all possible faults;** decide which ones we're going to handle
- 2. detect/contain faults
- 3. handle faults ("recover")

### today we'll focus on handling disk failure

#### how to measure success

there are many things we could measure, but we will typically focus on availability: what fraction of time is the system up and available to use

we want to make the disk as reliable as possible so that we don't lose data; we especially don't want to lose data when the machine fails (which is what will start happening in the next lecture)



#### 2.12.1 Annualized Failure Rate (AFR) and Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF)

The product shall achieve an Annualized Failure Rate - AFR - of 0.73% (Mean Time Between Failures - MTBF) - of 1.2 Million hrs) when operated in an environment that ensures the HDA case temperatures do not exceed 40°C. Operation at case temperatures outside the specifications in Section 2.9 may increase the product Annualized Failure Rate (decrease MTBF). AFR and MTBF are population statistics that are not relevant to individual units.

AFR and MTBF specifications are based on the following assumptions for business critical storage system environments:

- 8,760 power-on-hours per year.
- 250 average motor start/stop cycles per year. ٠
- Operations at nominal voltages. ٠
- Systems will provide adequate cooling to ensure the case temperatures do not exceed 40°C. Temperatures ٠ outside the specifications in Section 2.9 will increase the product AFR and decrease MTBF.

Nonrecoverable read errors	1 per 10 <sup>15</sup> bits read		
Annualized Failure Rate (AFR)	0.73% (nominal pov		

, max

wer, 40°C case temperature)



#### RAID 1 mirroring





#### RAID 1 mirroring



#### + can recover from single-disk failure



#### RAID 1 mirroring



- + can recover from single-disk failure
- requires 2N disks









#### xor sector 1 from each disk to get sector 1 on the parity disk





#### xor sector 1 from each disk to get sector 1 on the parity disk





xor sector *i* from each disk to get sector *i* on the parity disk

parity disk

0010001001

10111010011

10110101110

00000110100





parity disk





disk 3
11011010000
11010000001
11101110111
10000100011

parity disk

00100001001

10111010011

10110101110

00000110100

#### xor sector 1 from the remaining disks to recover sector 1 on the failed disk





#### xor sector 1 from the remaining disks to recover sector 1 on the failed disk

disk 3
11011010000
11010000001
11101110111
10000100011

parity disk

0010001001

10111010011

10110101110

00000110100





xor sector *i* from the remaining disks to recover sector *i* on the failed disk

parity disk

0010001001

10111010011

10110101110

00000110100







sector i of the parity disk is the xor of sector i from all data disks + can recover from single-disk failure
- requires 2N disks







sector i of the parity disk is the xor of sector i from all data disks + can recover from single-disk failure
- requires 2N disks

+ can recover from single-disk failure







sector i of the parity disk is the xor of sector i from all data disks + can recover from single-disk failure
- requires 2N disks

+ can recover from single-disk failure+ requires N+1 disks







sector i of the parity disk is the xor of sector i from all data disks + can recover from single-disk failure
- requires 2N disks

+ can recover from single-disk failure
+ requires N+1 disks

+ performance benefits if you stripe a single file across multiple data disks







sector i of the parity disk is the xor of sector i from all data disks

# + can recover from single-disk failure- requires 2N disks

+ can recover from single-disk failure
+ requires N+1 disks

+ performance benefits if you stripe a single file across multiple data disks

- all writes go to the parity disk







parity disk



#### 



#### 



#### 



#### 



00	10	00	01	001	1
----	----	----	----	-----	---

#### 

#### 



00	10	00	01	001	1
----	----	----	----	-----	---

#### 

#### 



00	10	00	01	001	1
----	----	----	----	-----	---

#### 

#### 

#### 



#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 







sector i of the parity disk is the xor of sector i from all data disks

#### **RAID 5** spread out the parity



# + can recover from single-disk failure - requires 2N disks

+ can recover from single-disk failure
+ requires N+1 disks

+ performance benefits if you stripe a single file across multiple data disks

- all writes go to the parity disk







sector i of the parity disk is the xor of sector i from all data disks

#### **RAID 5** spread out the parity



# + can recover from single-disk failure- requires 2N disks

+ can recover from single-disk failure
+ requires N+1 disks

+ performance benefits if you stripe a single file across multiple data disks

- all writes go to the parity disk

+ can recover from single-disk failure

+ requires N+1 disks

+ performance benefits if you stripe a single file across multiple data disks

+ writes are spread across disks



our goal is to build reliable systems from unreliable components. we want to build systems that serve many clients, store a lot of data, perform well, all while keeping availability high



our goal is to build **reliable systems from unreliable components**. we want to build systems that serve many clients, store a lot of data, perform well, all while keeping availability high





our goal is to build **reliable systems from** unreliable components. we want to build systems that serve many clients, store a lot of data, perform well, all while keeping availability high



- the high-level process of dealing with failures is to identify the faults, detect/contain the faults, and handle the faults. in lecture, we will build a set of abstractions to make that process more manageable
- this slide which we'll start and end on in each lecture will get more involved as that goes along



systems have faults. we have to take them into account and build reliable, fault-tolerant systems. reliability comes at a cost — there are tradeoffs between reliability and simplicity, reliability and performance, etc.

our main tool for improving reliability is **redundancy**. one form of redundancy is **replication**, which can be used to combat many things including disk failures (important, because disk failures mean lost data).

**RAID** replicates data across disks on a single machine in a smart way. RAID 5 protects against single-disk failures while maintaining good performance

one can extend the ideas in RAID to protect against multiple disk failures. RAID 6 does this, for example

