

SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEM SET 1

Problem 0

```
APROC Factor(n : Int) -> (Int, Int) RAISES {NoFactor} =  
  << VAR p, q : Int | n > 1 /\ p > 1 /\ q > 1 /\ p * q = n => RET (p, q)  
    [*] RAISE NoFactor >>
```

```
APROC Factor(n : Int) -> (Int, Int) RAISES {NoFactor} =  
  << n <= 1 => RAISE NoFactor  
    [*] {p := 2 BY p+1 WHILE p <= n/2 | n // p = 0 | (p, n/p) }.head  
    [*] RAISE NoFactor >>
```

Problem 1

```
MODULE PriorityQueueImpl[T WITH {"<="}]  
  EXPORTS Insert, FindMin, DeleteMin  
  
  % we artificially make our array start at index 1 by  
  % inserting a null element at the beginning:  
  VAR c : SEQ T := {Null}  
  
  APROC Insert(n : T) = << c := c + n;  
    VAR newIndex, parentIndex : Int;  
    newIndex := c.size;  
    parentIndex := newIndex/2;  
    DO (parentIndex > 0 /\ n <= c(parentIndex)) =>  
      VAR t := c(newIndex);  
      c(newIndex) := c(parentIndex);  
      c(parentIndex) := t;  
      newIndex := parentIndex;  
      parentIndex := newIndex / 2  
    OD  
  >>  
  
  APROC FindMin() -> T RAISES {Empty} =  
    << IF c.size = 1 => RAISE Empty [*] RET c(0) >>  
  
  APROC DeleteMin() -> T RAISES {Empty} =  
    << VAR min := c(1);  
      c(1) := c(c.size-1); c := c.sub(0,c.size-2);  
      Heapify(1);  
      RET min  
    >>
```

```

APROC Heapify(i : Int) =
  << % no children:
    IF i*2 > c.size-1 => RET FI

    % if only left child exists...
    IF i*2 = c.size-1 =>
      IF c(i*2) <= c(i) => VAR j : T |
        j := c(i*2); c(i*2) := c(i); c(i) := j;
      FI
    RET FI

    % now, check both left and right children.
    VAR smaller := 0 |
      IF c(i*2) <= c(i*2+1) =>
        smaller := i*2
      [*]
        smaller := i*2+1
      FI
      IF c(smaller) <= c(i) => VAR j : T |
        j := c(smaller); c(smaller) := c(i); c(i) := j;
        Heapify(smaller);
      FI
    RET
  >>

% The principal invariant says that you are always less than your parent.
FUNC Inv () -> Bool = RET (ALL i | (1 < i /\ i < c.size) ==>
  c(i) <= c(i/2))

% The simplest abstraction function you can write is:
FUNC AF () -> (T -> Int) = (\ t | RET c.Count(t))

% If you don't want to use Count, just iterate through the array
% looking for t, and sum up how many times it occurs.
END PriorityQueueImpl

```

Problem 2

```

MODULE SetAssociative[A WITH {hf : A->Int}, V, N, B]
  EXPORT Read, Write, Reset, Swap =

TYPE M      = A -> V
  Pair     = [a, v]
  Bucket   = SET Pair
  HashT    = SEQ Bucket

VAR m      := InitM()
  v0      := default;
  c : HashT := InitC(v0)

APROC InitM() -> M = << VAR m' | (ALL a | m'!a) => RET m' >>

```

```

% The complicated initialization is so that the rep. invariant
% will hold even at initialization
APROC InitC(v0) -> HashT = << VAR c' : HashT | c'.size = B /\
      (ALL i :IN c'.dom | c'(i).size = N /\
        (ALL p :IN c'(i) | p.a.hf = i) /\
        (ALL p :IN c'(i) | p.v = v0) /\
        (ALL a | {p' :IN c'(i) | p'.a = a}.size <= 1))
      => RET c' >>

% It's OK to use :IN here, although it was fine to provide more detail.
APROC Read(a) -> V = << VAR bucket := c(a.hf);
      VAR p :IN bucket | p.a = a => RET p.v [*]
      VAR p' :IN bucket | Flush(p');
      p'.a := a; p'.v = m(a);
      RET m(a) >>

APROC Write(a, v) = << VAR bucket := c(a.hf);
      VAR p :IN bucket | p.a = a => p.v := v [*]
      VAR p' :IN bucket | Flush(p');
      p'.a := a; p'.v = v >>

APROC Reset(v) = << c := InitC(v); VAR m' | (ALL a | m'(a)=v) => m := m' >>

APROC Swap(a, v) -> V = << VAR t := Read(a); Write(a, v); RET t >>

% Internal function: flush
APROC Flush(Pair p) = << m(p.a) := p.v >>

```

The abstraction function is similar to the one for `HashMemory`.

```

FUNC AF() -> M = RET
  (LAMBDA(a) -> V = VAR b := c(a.hf);
    IF VAR p :IN b | p.a = a => RET p.v [*] RET m(a)
  FI)

```

The proof is fairly straightforward.

To show Condition 1, let t be an initial state of `SetAssociative`. Then $AF(t)$ is some state of `Memory`. All memory states are allowable in initial states of `Memory`, so $AF(t)$ is an initial state of `Memory`, as needed. Condition 2 is a case analysis; let t and $AF(t)$ be states of `SetAssociative` and `Memory`, and let (t, π, t') be a step of `SetAssociative`. We need to show that there is a step of `Memory` from $AF(t)$ to $AF(t')$ having the same trace.

- **Read:** Consider some state t of `SetAssociative` and the corresponding state $AF(t)$ of `Memory`. Observe that `Read` does not change the abstract memory: if there is a cache hit, then it just returns a value from the cache, and otherwise, it just copies the value in main memory into the cache, possibly flushing an old value to memory; in both cases, the abstract memory is preserved. We next show that both `Reads` return the same value. The `Read` from `SetAssociative` returns $p.v$ if $p.a = a$, otherwise it returns $m(a)$. The `Read` from `Memory` returns the value of $AF(t).m(a)$, which is $p.v$ if $p.a = a$, and $m(a)$ otherwise. Since these are equal, we conclude that Condition 2 is satisfied in this case.

- Write: The abstract state is modified in one place: location `a` now contains `v`. Consider `SetAssociative`. If `a` was cached, then it is overwritten with `v`, which conforms to the change in the abstract state. If `a` was not cached, then some other location is flushed (no change in abstract state), and the cache is augmented with a mapping from `a` to `v`, also changing the abstract state as required.
- Reset: After executing `Reset`, all cache and memory locations of `SetAssociative` map to `v`. Therefore, the abstraction function will map all locations in the post-state to `v`. After a `Reset`, the post-state of `Memory` is the state where all locations contain `v`. Thus $AF(t')$ is the same as the post-state of `Memory`.
- Swap: Since both `Read` and `Write` are correct, then `Swap` is clearly correct as well.

Problem 3

```

Module FileVersionImpl =
  TYPE FileRecord = [n:String, f:String, v:Int]

  % In my implementation, I maintain an in-memory map of strings to
  % version numbers. This is OK because it is easy (but takes time
  % linear in the number of records written to disk) to reconstruct
  % this map completely from disk. It was not OK if your implementation
  % needed some state that was in memory. I preferred solutions where
  % you started looking for a version from the end of a disk.
  VAR diskEnd : Int = 0,
      disk : WriteOnceMemory[FileRecord], % disk is dummy variable; need this line to
                                          % initialize the type T in WriteOnceMemory

      vs : String -> Int := {}

  APROC Write(n:String, f:String) = <<
    ~(n :IN vs.dom) => vs(n) := 0;
    diskEnd := WriteOnceMemory.append(FileRecord{n:=n, f:=f, v:=vs(n)});
    vs(n) := vs(n)+1 >>

  APROC Read(n:String, i:Int) -> String RAISES {NoFile} =
    << VAR q := diskEnd;
      DO q >= 0 =>
        (VAR d := WriteOnceMemory.fetch(q)
          EXCEPT {NotThere} => RAISE NoFile |
          IF d.n = n /\ d.v = i => RET d.f);
        q := q - 1
      OD
    RAISE NoFile >>

  APROC ReadLatest(n:String) -> String RAISES {NoFile} =
    << ~(n :IN vs.dom) => RAISE NoFile [*] RET Read(n, vs(n)-1) >>
END FileVersionImpl

```