

8.322: Quantum Theory II

Problem Set #2 Solutions February 23, 2007

1. The Dirac Equation with a Central Potential

(a) The properties of the parity operator give

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi\vec{r}\Pi &= -\vec{r} \\ \Pi\vec{p}\Pi &= -\vec{p}.\end{aligned}$$

We also know that $\beta\vec{\alpha}\beta = -\vec{\alpha}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi H_D \Pi &= -\vec{\alpha} \cdot -\vec{p} + \beta m + \beta V(-\vec{r}) \\ &= H_D.\end{aligned}$$

(b) First note that

$$\begin{aligned}\{\gamma^5, \beta\} &= 0 \\ [\gamma^5, \vec{\alpha}] &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\gamma^5 H_D \gamma^5 = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} - \beta(m + V(r)).$$

Which gives

$$\gamma^5 \Pi H_D \gamma^5 \Pi = -\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} - \beta(m + V(r)) = -H_D$$

Thus

$$H_D (\gamma^5 \Pi \psi_E(\vec{r})) = -E (\gamma^5 \Pi \psi_E(\vec{r}))$$

If $H_D = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m + \phi(r)$ then clearly

$$\gamma^5 \Pi H_D \gamma^5 \Pi \neq -H_D,$$

and the spectrum is no longer symmetric in energy.

(c) We first note that $[L_i, p_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}p_k$.

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{L}, H_D] &= [\vec{L}, \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}] \\ &= i\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{p} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{\sigma}, H_D] &= [\vec{\sigma}, \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}] \\ &= -2i\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{p}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows from $[\sigma_i, \alpha_j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}\alpha_k$.

Thus $[\vec{J}, H_D] = 0$.

(d) Dirac states are labeled by (j, π, m_j) and non-relativistic states by (l, j, m_j) . We will drop the m_j label since it has an obvious 1 to 1 correspondence. Note that given a non-relativistic state l the corresponding eigenvalue of the parity operator $\pi = (-1)^l$.

The third column here is the spectroscopic label.

(j, π)	(l, j)	
$\frac{1}{2}, +$	$0, \frac{1}{2}$	$s_{1/2}$
$\frac{1}{2}, -$	$1, \frac{1}{2}$	$p_{1/2}$
$\frac{3}{2}, +$	$2, \frac{3}{2}$	$d_{3/2}$
$\frac{3}{2}, -$	$1, \frac{3}{2}$	$p_{3/2}$
$\frac{5}{2}, +$	$2, \frac{5}{2}$	$d_{5/2}$
$\frac{5}{2}, -$	$3, \frac{5}{2}$	$f_{5/2}$

and so on.

2. Relativistic Corrections in a Central Potential

(a)

$$[\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta(m + V(r))] \psi = E\psi$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} m + V & \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} & -m - V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving these two equations in the limit $|V| \ll m$ gives

$$\chi = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{E + m} \varphi.$$

To lowest order in v/c , $E \approx m$ thus

$$\chi = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{2m} \varphi.$$

(b) The magnetic moment operator is given by

$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{r} \times \vec{j} = \frac{e}{2} \vec{r} \times \vec{\alpha}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^\dagger \vec{\mu} \psi &= e \left(\varphi^\dagger \quad \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{2m} \varphi^\dagger \right) \frac{\vec{r} \times \vec{\alpha}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{2m} \varphi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{e}{4m} \varphi^\dagger \{ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}, \vec{r} \times \vec{\sigma} \} \varphi \end{aligned}$$

We now must evaluate this anti-commutator.

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}, \vec{r} \times \vec{\sigma} \} &= \sigma_i p_l \epsilon_{ijk} r_j \sigma_k + \epsilon_{ijk} r_j \sigma_k \sigma_l p_l \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} p_l r_j (\delta_{lk} + i \epsilon_{lkm} \sigma_m) + \epsilon_{ijk} r_j p_l (\delta_{kl} + i \epsilon_{klm} \sigma_m) \\ &= 2L_i + i \epsilon_{ijk} p_l r_j \epsilon_{lkm} \sigma_m + i \epsilon_{ijk} r_j p_l \epsilon_{klm} \sigma_m \\ &= 2L_i + i (\delta_{mi} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{mj} \delta_{il}) p_l r_j \sigma_m + i (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) r_j p_l \sigma_m \\ &= 2L_i + i \sigma_i p_j r_j - i p_i r_j \sigma_j + i r_j p_i \sigma_j - i r_j p_j \sigma_i \\ &= 2L_i - \sigma_i + 3\sigma_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\langle \vec{\mu} \rangle = \frac{e}{2m} \int d\vec{r} \varphi^\dagger (\vec{L} + \vec{\sigma}) \varphi$$

(c) We let $\varphi(\vec{r}) = f(\vec{r})u$ where u is a two component constant spinor.

$$\begin{aligned}
2\varphi^\dagger \vec{A}\varphi &= \varphi^\dagger \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \gamma^5 \varphi \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^\dagger & \chi^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \varphi^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \varphi + \varphi^\dagger \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{2m} \vec{\sigma} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}}{2m} \varphi \\
&= \varphi^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \varphi + \frac{1}{4m^2} \varphi^\dagger \left(-(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})^2 \vec{\sigma} + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \{ \vec{\sigma}, \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \} \right) \varphi \\
&= \varphi^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \varphi + \frac{1}{4m^2} \varphi^\dagger (-\vec{p}^2 \vec{\sigma} + 2\vec{p}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})) \varphi \\
&= f^* f u^\dagger \vec{\sigma} u + \frac{1}{4m^2} f^* u^\dagger (-\vec{p}^2 \vec{\sigma} + 2\vec{p}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p})) u f.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\langle p_i p_j \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} p^2$, we find

$$\langle \vec{A} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} u^\dagger \vec{\sigma} u \left(\int d^3 r f^* f - \int d^3 r f^* \frac{\vec{p}^2}{12m^2} f \right).$$

We note that we need to renormalize ψ .

$$1 = \int d^3 r f^* f + \frac{1}{4m} \int d^3 r f^* p^2 f,$$

giving

$$\langle \vec{A} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} u^\dagger \vec{\sigma} u \left(1 - \int d^3 r f^* \frac{\vec{p}^2}{3m^2} f \right).$$

3. Anomalous Magnetic Moment

(a) We know that the non-relativistic limit of

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - ie\gamma^\mu A_\mu - m)\psi = 0$$

is

$$H\varphi = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{p} - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 \varphi - \frac{e}{2m} \left(\vec{L} + 2\vec{s} \right) \cdot \vec{B} \varphi = E\varphi,$$

which is obtained by multiplying by γ^0 and taking $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$. Thus

$H \rightarrow H + \Delta H$ where

$$\Delta H = -\frac{\kappa e}{4m} \gamma^0 \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}.$$

We note

$$F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} B_k = -\epsilon_{ijk} B^k$$

$$F_{0i} = -E^i$$

$$F_{i0} = -E_i$$

$$\sigma^{0i} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^0, \gamma^i] = i\alpha^i$$

$$\sigma^{ij} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = \frac{-i}{2} [\sigma^i, \sigma^j] = \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma_k$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H &= -\frac{\kappa e}{4m} \gamma^0 (-2i\alpha^i E^i - \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma_k \epsilon_{ijl} B^l) \\ &= -\frac{\kappa e}{4m} \gamma^0 (-2i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{E} + 2\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $\vec{E} = 0$, we look at the equation for φ since we are in the non-relativistic limit.

Thus

$$\Delta H = -\frac{e}{2m} (2\kappa) \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B}.$$

In other words it takes the g factor from $g = 2 \rightarrow g = 2(1 + \kappa)$.

(b) Reading off from (a)

$$H_D \rightarrow H_D - \beta \frac{\kappa e}{2m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} - i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{E})$$

(c) We note again that $\beta\vec{\alpha}\beta = -\vec{\alpha}$, and that the parity operation is $\Pi_D = \beta\Pi$ where Π takes \vec{r} to $-\vec{r}$. Thus under parity $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{E}$ goes to itself as does \vec{B} and the new term is invariant.

(d) We note that $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma_5\} = 0$. Thus we use the results above to get

$$\Delta H = \gamma_5 \frac{\tilde{\kappa}e}{2m} \beta (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} - i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{E})$$

$$\Delta H = \frac{\tilde{\kappa}e}{2m} \begin{pmatrix} i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} & -\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} & -i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since β and γ_5 anti-commute it is clear that the above argument for being invariant under parity fails. In particular $\Pi_D \Delta H \Pi_D = -\Delta H$.

If we now assume that $\vec{E} = 0$ as in (a), we see that the change in the equation for φ is

$$\Delta H = -\frac{\tilde{\kappa}e}{2m} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) \chi$$

which to lowest order does not enter the non-relativistic limit.

In the case that $\vec{E} \neq 0$, this term corresponds to an electric dipole moment.

4. MIT Bag Model

(a) The current is given by $j_\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi$. Starting with the Dirac equation when $m = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} i\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu\psi &= 0 \\ -i\psi^\dagger\gamma_\mu^\dagger\partial^\mu & \end{aligned}$$

and using $\gamma_\mu^\dagger = \gamma_0\gamma_\mu\gamma_0$, we derive the equation for $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$,

$$-i\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu = 0.$$

Now using the two equations we see that

$$\partial^\mu j_\mu = (\partial^\mu\bar{\psi})\gamma_\mu\psi + \bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu\psi = 0.$$

Now at $r = R$, we begin with the boundary condition

$$i\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\gamma}\psi = \psi \rightarrow i\bar{\psi}\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\gamma}\psi = \bar{\psi}\psi.$$

Now looking at the conjugate,

$$-i\bar{\psi}\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\gamma} = \bar{\psi} \rightarrow -i\bar{\psi}\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\gamma}\psi = \bar{\psi}\psi.$$

Then

$$\hat{r} \cdot \vec{j} = \bar{\psi}\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\gamma}\psi = 0$$

on the surface $r = R$. Thus the current is conserved.

(b) From partial integration,

$$\int d^3r\psi^\dagger(-i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\psi = \int d^3r(-i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\psi)^\dagger\psi + \oint d^2s(-i\psi^\dagger\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\alpha}\psi).$$

The boundary condition sets the surface integral to be 0. Thus H is Hermitian.

(c) From the Dirac equation we find

$$\begin{aligned} -i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\chi &= E\varphi \\ -i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\varphi &= E\chi. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equations, we find

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla^2\chi &= E^2\chi \\ -\nabla^2\varphi &= E^2\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

These two equations are identical to the free Schrödinger equation. Assuming $E > 0$. We let $\varphi = Aj_0(Er)$ which is merely the s-wave solution. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \frac{1}{E}(-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\varphi) \\ &= \frac{-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}}{E}\partial_r j_0(Er) \\ &= E\frac{i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}}{E}j_1(Er)\end{aligned}$$

We now plug this solution into the B.C. and find

$$-i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \hat{r}\psi = \psi \rightarrow j_1(ER) = j_0(ER)$$

Solving we find $ER = 2.0428$.

(d) Letting $a \approx 2.0428$, we start with

$$E(R) = \frac{3a}{R} + \frac{4\pi BR^3}{3}.$$

Setting $\frac{\partial E}{\partial R} = 0$ gives

$$R_0 = \left(\frac{3a}{4\pi B}\right)^{1/4} \Rightarrow E(R_0) = \frac{4}{3}(3a)^{3/4}(4\pi B)^{1/4}.$$

We then set $E(R_0) = 939MeV$. Solving for B gives $B = (96MeV)^4$. Restoring \hbar and c and converting to atmospheres gives

$$B \sim 1.75 \times 10^{28} \text{atm.}$$

5. Helicity and Chirality

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 [h, H_D] &= [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{p}, \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}] + [\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{p}, \beta m] \\
 &= \hat{p}_i p_j [\sigma_i, \alpha_j] \\
 &= \hat{p}_i p_j (2i) \epsilon_{ijk} \alpha_k \\
 &= 2i \vec{\alpha} \cdot (\hat{p} \times \vec{p}) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{p} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow h^2 = I.$$

Thus h has eigenvalues ± 1 .

(b) Suppose we are looking at the eigenstate with momentum \vec{p} , energy E , and helicity $+1$. Let $|\vec{p}| = p$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_D \psi &= E \psi \\
 (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m) \psi &= E \psi \\
 (\gamma^5 (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{p}) p + \beta m) \psi &= E \psi \\
 (\gamma^5 p + \beta m - E) \psi &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma^5 (\beta u_R) &= -\beta u_R \\
 \gamma^5 (\beta u_L) &= \beta u_L.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\beta u_R = u_L$ and $\beta u_L = u_R$. We let $\psi = c_R u_R + c_L u_L$.

$$p(c_R u_R - c_L u_L) = E(c_R u_R + c_L u_L) - m(c_R u_L + c_L u_R).$$

Thus

$$\frac{c_R}{c_L} = \frac{p + E}{m}$$

Normalizing, one finds that up to a p and E independent constant

$$\psi_+ \sim \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p + E)^2}} u_L + \frac{E + p}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p + E)^2}} u_R$$

One can do the same procedure for $h = -1$ and find

$$\psi_- \sim \frac{E + p}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p + E)^2}} u_L + \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 + (p + E)^2}} u_R$$

If $E \rightarrow \infty$, then can set $m = 0$ giving

$$\psi_+ \sim u_R$$

$$\psi_- \sim u_L.$$

In other words the helicity eigenstate with $h = 1(-1)$ is equivalent to the right(left) chirality eigenstate.

If $E \rightarrow m$, then in both cases ψ is an equal mixture of u_R and u_L .

6. Duffin-Kemmer Formulation of Maxwell's Equations

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} &= -\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} \\
 &= -\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j E_k \\
 &= i \Sigma_{ik}^j \partial_j E_k \\
 &= i(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) \vec{E} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\hbar} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}) \vec{E}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hbar \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} &= c(\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{B} \\
 \hbar \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} &= -c(\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{E}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$i\hbar \partial_t \vec{v}_{\pm} = \pm c(\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{v}_{\pm}.$$

(b) Under the parity operation $\vec{E} \rightarrow -\vec{E}$ but $\vec{B} \rightarrow \vec{B}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vec{v}_+ &\rightarrow -\vec{v}_- \\
 \vec{v}_- &\rightarrow -\vec{v}_+
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$

$$i\hbar \partial_t \vec{v}_{\pm} = \pm c(\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{v}_{\pm} \rightarrow i\hbar \partial_t \vec{v}_{\mp} = \mp c(\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{v}_{\mp}$$

(c) One way of doing this is to take

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} v_+ + v_- \\ v_+ - v_- \end{pmatrix} \quad \tilde{\Sigma}^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Sigma^k \\ \Sigma^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(d) From (9) we find

$$-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} = c^2 (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p})^2 f$$

Plugging in from (c)

$$-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ i\vec{B} \end{pmatrix} = c^2 \begin{pmatrix} (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p})^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p})^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} \\ i\vec{B} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p})_{jm}^2 &= (i\epsilon_{jik}p_i)(i\epsilon_{klm}p_l) \\
&= -\epsilon_{kji}\epsilon_{klm}p_i p_l \\
&= p^2 \delta_{jm} - p_j p_m
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2\right) \vec{E} + \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) = 0$$

and similarly for \vec{B} . Thus we have the wave equation for the transverse fields

Starting with (8), we find

$$i\hbar \partial_t(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v}_{\pm}) = \pm c \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{v}_{\pm}$$

It then follows that

$$\partial_t(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) \sim \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}) = 0.$$

This is also true for \vec{B} .

(e) Consider (8) with solution

$$\vec{v}_{\pm} = \vec{\epsilon}_{\pm}(p) e^{iEt/\hbar - i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}/\hbar} \Rightarrow \frac{E}{c} \vec{\epsilon}_{\pm}(p) = \pm c (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{\epsilon}_{\pm}(p)$$

If $E = cp$ then

$$\vec{\epsilon}_{\pm} = \pm i(\hat{k} \times \vec{\epsilon}_{\pm}).$$

Which are just the conditions for left and right circularly polarized light.