MASTER EQUATION CALCULATIONS FOR HYDROCARBON AND NITROGENOUS SPECIES REACTION RATE CONSTANTS AT LOW PRESSURES AND TEMPERATURES

Greg Smith Molecular Physics Laboratory SRI International Menlo Park, CA 94025 USA

Support from NASA Outer Planets Research Program







Titan's cold hydrocarbon surface and hazy lower atmosphere from Huygens.

Jovian planet photochemistry starts very high up with Lyman- α photolysis of methane and subsequent reactions, at very low temperature (60K) & pressure (1 µbar).

Pressure dependent radical recombination (and chemical activation) reactions are particularly important in outer planet atmospheres, especially those involving H or CH₃, because they usually have no energy barrier.

1. Methods

- 2. Benzene formation and photolysis
- 3. H addition, tunneling, infrared (IR) radiative stabilization
- 4. Chemical activation and supercollisions: $H + C_3H_7$
- 5. $NH_2 + CH_2CN$
- 6. Haze precursor steps

 $A + B \rightarrow AB^* k_{-2}$ High Pressure $AB^* \rightarrow A + B k_2$ $AB^* + M \rightarrow AB + M k_{-1}$ Low Pressure

Low pressure rates can be very temperature dependent.

 $k_{diss} = k_1[M] k_2/(k_1[M] + k_2)$ $k_{recomb} = (K_{EQ}) k_{diss}$

IF we can determine rates at each energy for the competing steps, rate constants can be calculated for a pressure P and initial energy distribution (temperature) using timeevolving behavior of the Master Equation:

$$k_{I}(E) = \sum k_{JI}(E) - k_{IJ}(E) - k_{M}(E) + k_{M}(E',E)n(E')$$

- Collisional energy transfer rates $k_M(E,E")$ are determined from ΔE parameter.

- Chemical rate from species I to species or product J are computed by transition state theory according to the configuration describing when reaction occurs.

- Use potential energy surface information, fit room T data, calculate for lower T

Use John Barker's MultiWell code

Benzene has been observed in atmospheres of Jupiter, Saturn, Neptune, and Titan. First aromatic and a precursor to condensates.

PROPARGYL - BENZENE POTENTIAL SURFACE: MILLER & KLIPPENSTEIN TS PARAMETERS THAT FIT DATA J. Phys. Chem. A 107, 7783-7799 (2003)



LINEAR PRODUCTS DOMINATE ABOVE 1 MBAR PRESSURE AROMATICS AT LOWER PRESSURE, DOMINATE BELOW 0.1 TORR



PROPARGYL RECOMBINATION AT 140 K

Calculated Yield of Benzene and Phenyl from Propargyl Recombination versus Density at the Temperatures Shown





The same master equation setup can calculate pressure dependent photolysis yields, assuming rapid internal conversion of the photoexcitation energy.

PRESSURE (TORR)

Calculated pressure dependence of benzene photolysis product yields at 191 nm (150 kcal/mole) and 165 K. Little net aromatic loss is predicted.



Benzene/phenyl formation rates for 3 reactions (left) and benzene concentration profile (right) for Jupiter's atmosphere using species profiles from the 2005 model of Moses et al. Our new low T,P rate constants for 3 alternative mechanisms show propargyl self-reaction is likely source for planetary benzene. (Left scale is altitude above 1 bar level, in km.)

H addition and recombination reactions prominent in important chemistry. Ethyl, Vinyl, Allyl Formation by H Addition to Ethylene, Acetylene, Allene

Ex.: Reaction $H + C_2H_4 \rightarrow C_2H_5$ has 2.4 kcal/mole barrier, but tunneling occurs! Effects at low pressure from tunneling and radiative stabilization.



At very low pressures, IR radiative stabilization will place a lower limit on these rate constants. At low T and E, this is competitive with decomposition of the activated complex, k_2 . Use the Multiwell code to compute density of states with the IR active mode excluded; the ratio can be used to derive populations of emitting modes vs. energy. Using IR band strengths, related to lifetimes, $k_{rad}(E)$ is calculated, or $k_{rad}(E_{< kT>})$ estimated. (Barker, Dunbar)

 $k(rad) = g\rho(N-1,E-h\nu)/\rho(N,E)/\tau(rad), E = E_0 + E(kT)$ k(rad) = $\sum xg\rho(N-x,E-xh\nu)/\rho(N,E)/\tau(rad).$

Some results for H addition products: C_2H_5 : k(0) ~ k₀[M] @ 10⁻⁵torr 100K, ~ 10⁻¹⁵ cm³/s C_3H_5 : at 100-140K, k(0) ~ k₀[M] @ 10⁻⁵t and k ~ k_∞ H + C₃H₅ \rightarrow C₃H₆ + IR : k(0) ~ 7 x 10⁻¹¹ at 140K ~ k_∞/3 Fast rates for stable molecules at low T may overcome the P falloff Lowest energy channel only Another example, interconversion of 2 observed nitriles: $H + HCCCN \rightarrow C_2H_2CN$ Experimental barrier of 1 kcal/mole, poor quantum predictions, thus uncertain tunnel frequency. (used est. 670i) $k_{\infty} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{-12} e^{-500/T}$; $k_0 \sim 5.6 \times 10^{-26} (T/300)^{-1.34}$; Fc = 0.6 $H + C_2H_2CN \rightarrow CH_2CHCN$ simple barrierless recombination est. $k_{\infty} \sim 2 \times 10^{-11}$, calc. $k_0 = 5.0 \times 10^{-24} (T/300)^{-1.39}$

H + HCN (+M) → H₂CN (+M) ΔH₀ = -23 kcal E=4.6 υ=764*i* cm⁻¹ HCNH (+M) ΔH₀ = -16 kcal E=7.6 Our DFT-computed (6-311++G(2d,2p)/MPW1K) addition barrier is high (consistent with others) giving slow calculated rate constants – $k_{\infty} = 2.43 \times 10^{-33} T^{7.964}$ and $k_0 = 2.57 \times 10^{-9} T^{-8.635} e^{-1048/T}$

 \rightarrow Almost no HCNH can be made by this H addition route. This species has been proposed to initiate HCN polymerization, (Wilson & Atreya 03) but it is difficult to locate feasible low T routes to high N/C nitriles.



Master equation rate constants for H + HCN \rightarrow CH₂N, HCNH using the theoretical 4.6, 7.6 kcal/mole barrier heights and 764i cm⁻¹ tunneling frequency. (6-311++G(2d,2p) mpw1k) Large tunnel effect greatly increases the low P rates at low T.

significant known addition routes for chain growth: $C_2H + C_2H_2 \Leftrightarrow C_4H_3 \Leftrightarrow H + C_4H$ FAST $CN + C_2H_2 \rightarrow HCCCN + H$ or $C_2H_2CN \ 2x10^{-10}$ $C_2H + HCN \rightarrow HCCCN + H$ or $C_2H_2CN \ 5x10^{-12}e^{-3000/T}$ 1.5 kcal barrier CN + HCCCN fast; but CN + NCCN has a 3-4 kcal barrier -- hard adding to HCN at low T There is evidence in the literature for a small fraction of collisions removing large amounts of energy. (see Oref, Barker, Mullin, Liu) This can have a large effect on higher energy channel recombination reactions at low pressure. Non-linear and higher order pressure dependences

and abnormal falloff curves can occur.



Recombination / Chemical Activation :

Propane

 $H + CH_3CHCH_3 \rightarrow C_3H_8$ $\Delta H = -97.9$

 $H + CH_3CHCH_3 \rightarrow CH_3 + C_2H_5 \Delta H = -11.3$

Effect of Minority Supercollisions on Higher Energy Channel Recombination

Calculated pressure falloff (k/k_{∞}) for H+iC₃H₇ \rightarrow C₃H₈ at 150 K for various collisional energy transfer assumptions. (2000 cm⁻¹=5.7 kcal) •Dashed green line shows effect of increasing the ΔE parameter from 105 to 400 cm⁻¹. •Various colored lines show the effect of varying the fraction and ΔE of supercollisions. •The lowest red line allows only supercollisions, at 0.1% of the gas kinetic rate. •Low pressure exponents of 1.5-4 without supercollisions; odd falloff shapes.
$$\begin{split} NH_2 + CH_2CN &\Leftrightarrow NH_2CH_2CN \Leftrightarrow HCN + CH_2NH \quad \Delta H = -42 \; (44.6) \text{kcal} \\ A & B \\ \Delta H_o(A) = -69.1(76.4) \; \text{kcal} \qquad \Delta E_o(B) = -37.5 \quad \Delta E_o(A \rightarrow B) = -4.4 \end{split}$$

- This reaction converts a nitrile to an amine, NH_x to HCN.
- The amino-acetonitrile intermediate that might be stabilized at high pressure is (via hydrolysis) a precursor to amino acid glycine.
- The transition state for B involves a rearrangement with an energy barrier.
- 6-311++G(2d,2p)/mpw1k calculations used for energies and transition state B parameters. (see also Basiuk JPC A 105, 4252)



$$\begin{split} k_{\infty}(A) &= 6.7 \text{ x } 10^{-12} \text{ T}^{0.5} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molec/s} \\ k_{o}(A) &= 10^{-22} \text{T}^{-1.93} \text{e}^{-105/\text{T}} \text{ cm}^6/\text{molec}^2/\text{s}; \text{ Fc} = 1.0 \\ k_{o}(A \rightarrow B) &= 0.106 \text{T}^{-4.08} \text{e}^{-321/\text{T}} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molec/s} \\ k(A \rightarrow B) &= k_{o}(A \rightarrow B) \text{ x } (1 - k(A)/k_{\infty}(A)) \end{split}$$



Fraction of the high pressure limit recombination rate constant going to each specified product channel for the $NH_2 + CH_2CN$ reaction at 2 temperatures, vs. pressure.

Some of the excited complex falls back apart to reactants at low pressure. Falloff depends on uncertain difference in energy barriers, and thermodynamics. Above used a calculated rearrangement barrier 4.4 kcal/mole below the entrance. Basiuk, and Xu & Wang computed lower TS(B) barriers relative to NH₂CH₂CN. This shifts falloff pressures 10x higher and increases the chemical activation yield, as shown for $\Delta\Delta E_0 = -16$ kcal/mole:



CHEMISTRY FOR AEROSOLS & HAZE:

Making large and complex organic polymers in reducing planetary atmospheres and predicting haze compositions can be divided into gas phase, condensation, and heterogeneous processes:

A. Reactions forming larger molecules occur in the gas phase photochemistry.

B. Chemical synthesis and transport produce concentrations of species above their vapor pressures, leading to condensation.

C. Reactions and photochemistry continue in the aerosols, including gas-surface processes, producing larger polymeric species.

Quantum and rate theory methods can be applied to estimate likely reactions and rate constants, for the gas phase (A) part.

Low T vapor pressures fall quite dramatically with molecular size, so it is possible to select an upper limit in each category for consideration of the gas-phase precursor chemistry -3 rings or 10 C+N atoms.

Aromatic Growth Mechanisms

A) Consider addition steps with low barriers from soot literature - Sequential C_2H_x additions (w. H loss and rearrangements) will form naphthalene from benzene.

- $cyC_6H_5 + C_2H_2$ barrier ~4 kcal/mole, slows rates greatly at low T So rates computed with the Wang & Frenklach mechanism are slow.
- Start with barrierless $C_2H \bullet + cyC_6H_6$, or recombination $cyC_6H_5 + C_2H_3 \rightarrow cyC_6H_5C_2H_3$

Calculations for $C_2H + C_6H_6$ using abridged surface parameters of Tokmakov & Lin (JACS 2003 125:11397)

$C_2H + C_6H_6 \Leftrightarrow C_6H_5CHCH^*$	(-62.4)	$C_6H_5CHCH^* \rightarrow C_6H_5CCH + H (-30.6)$
$C_6H_5CHCH^* \Leftrightarrow C_6H_5CCH_2^*$	(-69.6)	$C_6H_5CCH_2^* \rightarrow C_6H_5CCH + H$ (-30.6)
$C_6H_5CHCH^* \Leftrightarrow C_6H_4C_2H_3^*$	(-61.2)	$C_6H_5CHCH^* \rightarrow C_6H_5 + C_2H_2 (-21.9)$



B) Some radical-radical pathways to larger aromatics also merit consideration, pathways less important for high T combustion / pyrolysis / soot chemistry

For example:

 Form biphenyl from two phenyl radicals (McKay) cyC₆H₅[•] + cyC₆H₅[•] → (cyC₆H₅)-(cyC₆H₅)
Lose para H by abstraction or photolysis → (cyC₆H₅)-(cyC₆H₄[•])
Recombine with a CH₃ radical → (cyC₆H₅)-(cyC₆H₄CH₃)
Abstract the weak benzyllic H → (cyC₆H₅)-(cyC₆H₄CH₂[•])
Close center 5 member ring and lose other para H, forming fluorene

(adding a C_2H_X radical instead leads to phenanthrene) 2. Fast recombination of phenyl and benzyl radicals cyC_6H_5 + $cyC_6H_5CH_2$ \rightarrow (cyC_6H_5)CH₂(cyC_6H_5) Repeating the same steps above yields (9,10)dihydroanthracene (loss of 2 H then would make anthracene) Conclusions –

With guidance from literature and quantum calculations, master equation computations can provide adequate rate parameters for cold low pressure Jovian planet reducing atmospheric chemistry and photolysis. Transport and observational uncertainties mitigate the need for high accuracy.

Interesting phenomena may play large roles under such marginal conditions: tunneling, IR radiative stabilization, low probability super-stabilizing collisions in chemical activation systems, and large k_0 values. Hard to address experimentally.

Refinements from T dependence of energy transfer ΔE , and treatments of angular momentum conservation remain to be considered.