

Remainder of the course: solving + applying the Einstein field equation, $G_{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi G T_{\alpha\beta}$ to build physically interesting spacetimes.

In general, the EFEs are horribly complicated if they are regarded as field equations for the spacetime metric. Consider a perfect fluid:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \partial^2_{\alpha\beta}(g_{\mu\nu})$$

↳ Complicated 2nd order, nonlinear differential operator

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = (g + P) u_\alpha u_\beta + P g_{\alpha\beta}$$

Depends on fluid properties

↑ and spacetime metric.

Note: fluid 4-vel also depends on metric through
 $u^\alpha u^\beta g_{\alpha\beta} = -1$.

Moral: general solution to EFE is extraordinarily difficult.

Road to success: Consider

1. "Weak" gravity: Spacetime not too different from $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$.
2. Symmetric solutions: With perturbations, quite powerful.
3. Numerical evolution: Just code up that puppy and attack!

First look at "weak" gravity \rightarrow "linearized" GR.

We consider spacetimes that are nearly flat. In this case, we can choose coordinates such that

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}$$

where $\|h_{\alpha\beta}\| =$ the magnitude of non-zero components of $h_{\alpha\beta}$
 $\ll 1$.

Such a coordinate system is called "nearly Lorentz coordinates":

As close to the Lorentz / globally inertial coordinates as possible.
 (Could use other coordinates ... but these are particularly convenient.)

Consider coordinate transformation of metric. General rule is

$$g_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}} = L^{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mu}} L^{\beta}_{\tilde{\nu}} g_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\text{where } L^{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mu}} = \partial x^{\alpha} / \partial \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\mu}}.$$

In flat spacetime, we picked out a special category of coordinate transformations:

$$\eta_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}} = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mu}} \Lambda^{\beta}_{\tilde{\nu}} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$$

where $\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\tilde{\mu}}$ are Lorentz transformations and BOTH

$\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\eta_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\nu}}$ are diag $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ - flat spacetime is invariant under Lorentz transformations.

In general, Lorentz only has physical meaning in S.R. - not applicable or useful in general, except with a LLF.

Just for fun, apply Lorentz transformation to nearly flat spacetime:

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} &= \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\bar{\mu}} \Lambda^{\beta}_{\bar{\nu}} (\eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}) \\&= \eta_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} + \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\bar{\mu}} \Lambda^{\beta}_{\bar{\nu}} h_{\alpha\beta} \\&= \eta_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} + h_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}\end{aligned}$$

The "background" - flat spacetime - is unchanged by the Lorentz transformation, but the "perturbation" $h_{\alpha\beta}$ transforms like any tensor field in special relativity.

Name: "Background Lorentz transformation" since the flat background respects Lorentz invariance.

Relationship $h_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\bar{\mu}} \Lambda^{\beta}_{\bar{\nu}} h_{\alpha\beta}$ tells us that a useful fiction is to regard $h_{\alpha\beta}$ as a simple tensor field residing in flat spacetime. Fiction since spacetime is really curved ... but a useful fiction for calculational convenience.

Other operations in linearized theory:

$$h^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} h_{\mu\nu}$$

$$= \eta^{\alpha\mu} \eta^{\beta\nu} h_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

Always drop these terms
in linearized theory.

- Indices are raised and lowered using the background metric - consistent with treatment of $h_{\mu\nu}$ as a tensor field in a flat background.

Using this, we can invert the metric:

$$g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\gamma} = \delta^\alpha_\gamma \rightarrow \text{definition!}$$

$$(\eta^{\alpha\beta} + m^{\alpha\beta})(\eta_{\beta\gamma} + h_{\beta\gamma}) = \delta^\alpha_\gamma$$

of the same order
as $h_{\mu\nu}$, must be
determined.

$$\delta^\alpha_\gamma + h^\alpha_\gamma + m^\alpha_\gamma + \mathcal{O}(h^2) = \delta^\alpha_\gamma$$

$$\rightarrow m^\alpha_\gamma = - h^\alpha_\gamma$$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{g^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} - h^{\alpha\beta}}$$

To linear order.

An important detail: Consider a coordinate shift, defined by
 $x^{\alpha'} = x^\alpha + \xi^\alpha(x^\beta)$

(Note: lousy notation, abusing indices. Live with it! Not intended as a coordinate invariant relation, but rather just a connection between quantities in 2 specific coordinate systems.)

Coordinate transformation matrix: $L^{\alpha'}_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta + \partial_\beta \xi^\alpha$
↳ Take to be $\alpha' = 1$

Inverse: $L^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta - \partial_\beta \xi^\alpha + O((\partial \xi)^2)$ "Infinitesimal" transformation.

Examine how the metric changes under this coordinate transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu'\nu'} &= L^\alpha_{\mu'} L^\beta_{\nu'} g_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= (\delta^\alpha_\mu - \partial_\mu \xi^\alpha)(\delta^\beta_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi^\beta)(\eta_{\alpha\beta} + h_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \xi_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi_\mu + O(h \partial \xi), \dots \end{aligned}$$

Effect of coordinate transformation is to change the perturbation:

$$h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \xi_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi_\mu$$

Transformation of this kind is called a GAUGE TRANSFORMATION.

Closely analogous to $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda$ in E+M.

Now, build some physics! Run metric through machinery to build curvature tensors - discard nonlinear terms, raise & lower indices with $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$.

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu h_{\rho\sigma} + \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma h_{\nu\rho} - \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\nu \partial_\rho h_{\mu\sigma})$$

[UV&f] aside - What happens to Riemann under gauge transformation?

Compare Riemann computed from $h_{\alpha\beta}$ to that with $h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\alpha \xi_\beta - \partial_\beta \xi_\alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} & (-\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\rho \xi_\sigma - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma \xi_\rho - \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma \xi_\nu \\ & - \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \xi_\sigma + \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \xi_\sigma + \partial_\mu \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \xi_\mu \\ & + \partial_\rho \partial_\nu \partial_\sigma \xi_\mu + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\rho \xi_\sigma) = 0 ! \end{aligned}$$

Gauge change changes metric, but leaves curvature unchanged!

Very closely analogous to how gauge change in E+M changes potentials but leaves fields unchanged:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{metric} &\leftrightarrow \text{potential} \\ \text{curvature} &\leftrightarrow \text{field. } \square \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu h_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\mu \partial^\mu h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta h - \square h_{\alpha\beta})$$

$$\text{where } h = h^\mu_\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\square = \partial^\mu \partial_\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \text{wave operator in flat spacetime.}$$

$$R = \eta^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^\alpha \partial^\mu h_{\mu\alpha} + \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h_{\mu\nu} - 2 \square h) \\ = \partial^\alpha \partial^\mu h_{\mu\alpha} - \square h.$$

$$\rightarrow G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} R = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} R \\ = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha \partial^\mu h_{\mu\beta} + \partial_\beta \partial^\nu h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta h - \square h \eta_{\alpha\beta} \\ + \eta_{\alpha\beta} \square h - \eta_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h_{\mu\nu})$$

Sleight of hand lets us clean this up: Define

$$\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} h$$

Significance: $\bar{h} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = h - \frac{1}{2} h \eta^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta} = -h$
 $\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ is "trace-reversed" metric perturbation.

Insert $h_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} h$ into Einstein tensor:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha \partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \cancel{\eta_{\mu\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial^\mu h} + \partial_\beta \partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \\ + \cancel{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\beta \partial^\mu h} - \cancel{\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta h} - \square \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} - \cancel{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \square h} \\ + \cancel{\eta_{\alpha\beta} \square h} - \eta_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} - \cancel{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h})$$

$$\rightarrow G_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\alpha \partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\beta} + \partial_\beta \partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} - \square \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta})$$

Taking advantage of the fact that $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is just trace reversed
 $R_{\alpha\beta}$.

If we could set $\partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$, we could cleanup even more.

$$\partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \rightarrow 4 \text{ conditions.}$$

Gauge generators: $\mathcal{Z}_\nu \rightarrow 4 \text{ free functions.}$

Can we use gauge freedom to kill off those annoying terms?

$$h_{\mu\nu}^{\text{new}} = h_{\mu\nu}^{\text{old}} - \partial_\mu \mathcal{Z}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{Z}_\mu$$

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{new}} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{old}} - \partial_\mu \mathcal{Z}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{Z}_\mu + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\alpha \mathcal{Z}_\alpha$$

$$\partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{new}} = \partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{old}} - \square \mathcal{Z}_\nu - \cancel{\partial_\nu \partial^\mu \mathcal{Z}_\mu} + \cancel{\partial_\nu \partial^\mu \mathcal{Z}_\mu}$$

If we choose our gauge generators \mathcal{Z}_ν such that

$$\square \mathcal{Z}_\nu = \partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{old}}$$

Then we have $\partial^\mu \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{new}} = 0$! Solutions of this guaranteed to exist as long as $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{\text{old}}$ is well-behaved (fine for weak gravity).

"Lorentz gauge" (or Lorenz gauge) in analogy with similar gauge in E&M.

LG: $G_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \square \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}$

EFE:

$$\square \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = -16\pi G T_{\alpha\beta}$$

Take source to be a static perfect fluid (hydrostatic equilibrium). Take it to be non-relativistic: $\mathbf{g} \approx \mathbf{P}$ (ie, $c^2 \approx v_{sound}^2$). Then,

$$T_{\alpha\beta} \approx g u_\alpha u_\beta$$

$$\rightarrow T_{00} \approx g \quad (\text{all others negligible.})$$

The only nontrivial field equation component is

$$\square \bar{h}_{00} = -16\pi G g$$

Source is static \rightarrow field is static:

$$\nabla^2 \bar{h}_{00} = -16\pi G g$$

$$\bar{h}_{00} = -4\phi \quad \leftarrow \text{Newtonian potential.}$$

All other $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \bar{h}$$

$$\rightarrow h_{\mu\nu} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \bar{h} \quad \text{Trace reverse twice!}$$

$$\bar{h} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -\bar{h}_{00} = +4\phi$$

$$\text{So, } h_{00} = -4\phi \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (\eta_{00}) (4\phi) = -2\phi$$

$$h_{11} = h_{22} = h_{33} = 0 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4\phi = -2\phi$$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{ds^2 = (\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}) dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ = - (1 + 2\Phi) dt^2 + (1 - 2\Phi)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)}$$

Pset 2: Convection due to rotation of body.