Introduction: Research design

17.871

Statistics

- **1. a.** In early use, that branch of political science dealing with the collection, classification, and discussion of facts (especially of a numerical kind) bearing on the condition of a state or community. In recent use, the department of study that has for its object the collection and arrangement of numerical facts or data, whether relating to human affairs or to natural phenomena. (OED)
- First usage: 1770

Etymology of statistics

From German Statistik, political science, from New Latin statisticus, of state affairs, from Italian statista, person skilled in statecraft, from stato, state, from Old Italian, from Latin status, position, form of government.

-American Heritage Dictionary of the American Language

Political science is a profession that arose to improve human kind by (1) documenting the performance of states and (2) holding them accountable for their actions by careful measurement of the social world.

The Biggest Problem in Research: Establishing Causality

Example: HIV and circumcision

- Observational studies suggest that male circumcision may provide protection against HIV infection.
- How do we establish causality? By ruling out alternative explanations.

□ Legal analogy: prosecutor versus defense

Why is causality such a problem?

- In observational studies, selection into "treatment" and "control" cases rarely random
 - □ Schooling examples (private vs. public)
 - Voting examples (pro-choice versus pro-life)
- Treatment and control cases may thus differ in other ways that affect the outcome of interest
- The two primary drivers of selection are
 - Confounding variables
 - Reverse causation

How to Establish Causality

(i.e., how to rule out alternatives)

- Run a field experiment! (best approach)
- Donald Campbell and Julian Stanley, Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research (1963)

Post-test only experiment

Summary:

R X O R O

No prior observation

Classical scientific and agricultural experimentalism

Field Experiment example: HIV and male circumcision

- 3,274 uncircumcised men, aged 18–24 y, were randomized to a control or an intervention group with follow-up visits at months 3, 12, and 21
- Control group: 0.85 per 100 person-years
- Treatment group: 2.1 per 100 person-years

p < 0.001 on difference</p>

HIV and male circumcision

When controlling for behavioral factors, including sexual behavior that increased slightly in the intervention group, condom use, and health-seeking behavior, the protection was

□ 61% (95% CI: 34%–77%).

- Male circumcision provides a degree of protection against acquiring HIV infection, equivalent to what a vaccine of high efficacy would have achieved.
- Male circumcision may provide an important way of reducing the spread of HIV infection in sub-Saharan Africa.
- PLoS Medicine Vol. 2, No. 11

How to Establish Causality

(i.e., how to rule out alternatives)

- But, running an experiment is often impossible
 Try anyway: e.g., HIV and circumcision
- If you can't run an experiment: Natural experiment
 - Exploit something that is exogenous
 - Accidental deaths
 - Timing of Senate elections
 - Imposition of new voting machines
 - 9/11 terrorist attacks
 - Geographical boundaries
 - Exploit a discontinuity
 - Regression discontinuity (RD) design



Summa Cum Laude

GPA

Example: Class size and achievement

Hypothesis: Maimonides's Rule: Class sizes large than 40 students are bad for kids.

Context: In Israel kindergarten class sizes are never greater than 40.

What is the pattern predicted? 0-40 grow as you expect, then at 41 average size 20.5, increase again to 80, at 81 avg. 27.



a. Fifth Grade

(Angrist and Lavy, 1999) 14

How to Establish Causality

(i.e., how to rule out alternatives)

- If you can't run an experiment or find a natural experiment/discontinuity
 - Control for confounding variables
 - Difference-in-differences (DD)
 - Matching
 - Controlling for variables with parametric models, e.g., regression
 - □ Eliminate reverse causation
 - Exploit time with panel data, i.e., measure the outcome before and after some treatment

Difference-in-differences

Media effects example

- Endorsement changes in the 1997 British election
- Illustrates
 - difference-in-differences, which reduces bias from confounding variables
 - Panel data, which can help rule out reverse causation



7 17





7 19

How to Establish Causality

(i.e., how to rule out alternatives)

- If you can't run an experiment or find a natural experiment
 - Control for confounding variables
 - Difference-in-differences (DD)
 - Matching

Much 17.871 is about this

- Controlling for variables with parametric models, e.g., regression
- □ Eliminate reverse causation
 - Exploit time with panel data, i.e., measure the outcome before and after some treatment

Summary

Classical experimentation unlikely, but always preferred

- Always keep a classical experiment in mind when designing observational studies
- Strive for "natural" or quasi-experiments
 - Alternating years of standardized testing
 - Timing of Senate elections
 - Imposition of new voting machines
 - 9/11 terrorist attacks
 - Use Regression-discontinuity designs
 - Geographical boundaries (e.g., minimum wage study)
- Use Difference-in-differences designs
- Gather as much cross-time data as possible (panel studies)
- If you only have cross-sectional data, be humble!